



Glenn Hegar
Comptroller of Public Accounts

You are here: [Home](#) » [Procurement](#) » [Tools](#) » [Electronic State Business Daily](#)

RFP No. 720-1729 Medical Billing Compliance Software

Open Date: 07/06/17 02:30 PM

Agency Requisition Number: 720-1729

NOTE: You will need to download all of the following files for specifications and other required document, including a HUB subcontracting plan(if required).

Help: Right Click to and choose "save file as" or "save target as" to your computer.

-Package 1 size: 574471 (in bytes) Type: Specification Format: (ASCII Plain Text)

-Package 2 size: 482547 (in bytes) Type: Additional Specification(s) Format: Acrobat PDF Files

-Package 3 size: 23872 (in bytes) Type: Additional Specification(s) Format: Excel for Windows 97

Agency: UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM (720)

Open Date: 07/06/17 02:30 PM

Agency Requisition Number: 720-1729

Previous Price Paid: N/A

Solicitation type: 21 Days or more for solicitation notice

NIGP Commodity Code(s):

Class-Item: 208 - 10

Class-Item: 209 - 11

Contact Information:

Contact Name: Darya Vienne
Email: dvienne@utsystem.edu
Address: 504 Lavaca Street
Ste. 810
Austin, TX 78701
Phone: (512) 322-3710

Upload Date: 2017-06-08 12:50:12.74 Updated date: 2017-06-08 13:00:42.09

[texas.gov](#) | [Texas Records and Information Locator \(TRAIL\)](#) | [State Link Policy](#) | [Texas Homeland Security](#) | [Texas Veterans Portal](#)

Glenn Hegar, Texas Comptroller • [Home](#) • [Contact Us](#)
[Privacy and Security Policy](#) | [Accessibility Policy](#) | [Link Policy](#) | [Public Information Act](#) | [Compact with Texans](#)



REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

RFP No. 720-1729 Medical Billing Compliance Software

Proposal Submittal Deadline: Thursday, July 6th, 2017 at 2:30 PM CST

The University of Texas System

Prepared By:
Darya Vienne
The University of Texas System
210 West Sixth St.
Suite B. 140E
Austin, Texas 78701-2891
dvienne@utsystem.edu
June 8th, 2017

REQUEST FOR PROPOSAL

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION 1

SECTION 2: NOTICE TO PROPOSER..... 4

SECTION 3: SUBMISSION OF PROPOSAL..... 7

SECTION 4: GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS 9

SECTION 5: SPECIFICATIONS AND ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS 10

SECTION 6: PRICING AND DELIVERY SCHEDULE 21

Attachments:

APPENDIX ONE: PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS

APPENDIX TWO: AGREEMENT

APPENDIX THREE: HUB SUBCONTRACTING PLAN

APPENDIX FOUR: ACCESS BY INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES

APPENDIX FIVE: ELECTRONIC AND INFORMATION RESOURCES ENVIRONMENT SPECIFICATIONS

APPENDIX SIX: SECURITY CHARACTERISTICS AND FUNCTIONALITY OF CONTRACTOR'S INFORMATION RESOURCES

APPENDIX SEVEN: CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PARTIES (FORM 1295)

APPENDIX EIGHT: INFORMATION SECURITY THIRD-PARTY ASSESSMENT SURVEY

APPENDIX NINE: UT SYSTEM GUIDING PRINCIPLES

APPENDIX TEN: STANDARD SET OF REPORTS

APPENDIX ELEVEN: CLOUD SECURITY QUESTIONNAIRE

SECTION 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Description of The University of Texas System

For more than 130 years, The University of Texas System (“**UT System**” and “**University**”) has been committed to improving the lives of Texans and people all over the world through education, research and health care.

The University of Texas System is one of the nation’s largest systems of higher education, with 14 institutions that educate more than 217,000 students. Each year, UT institutions award more than one-third of all undergraduate degrees in Texas and almost two-thirds of all health professional degrees. With about 20,000 faculty – including Nobel laureates – and more than 70,000 health care professionals, researchers, student advisors and support staff, the UT System is one of the largest employers in the state.

The UT System ranks third in the nation in patent applications, and because of the high caliber of scientific research conducted at UT institutions, the UT System is ranked No. 1 in Texas and third in the nation in federal research expenditures. In addition, the UT System is home to three (3) of the nation’s National Cancer Institute Cancer Centers – UT MD Anderson, UT Southwestern and UT Health Science Center-San Antonio – which must meet rigorous criteria for world-class programs in cancer research.

Chancellor William H. McRaven’s ambitious vision for the UT System includes eight “Quantum Leaps,” that address many of the most significant challenges of our time, including building the nation’s next generation of leaders through core education in leadership and ethics; leading a brain health revolution by accelerating discoveries and treatments for neurological diseases; elevating higher education’s role in national security; driving unprecedented levels of collaboration between higher and K-12 education; and increasing student access and success.

Other numerous transformational initiatives implemented over the past several years have cemented UT as a national leader in higher education, including the expansion of educational opportunities in South Texas with the opening of The University of Texas Rio Grande Valley in the fall of 2015. UT is the only system of higher education in the nation establishing not one (1), but two (2) new medical schools in 2016 at The University of Texas at Austin and UT Rio Grande Valley.

University of Texas institutions are setting the standard for excellence in higher education and will continue do so thanks to our generous donors and the leadership of the Chancellor, the Board of Regents and UT presidents.

1.2 Background and Special Circumstances

UT System intends to identify one Contractor to provide the software tools and services for medical billing compliance that are the subject of this RFP.

The details noted below will form the basis of the Scope of Work to be included in the Agreement to be concluded between UT System and Contractor. The following outlines the essential requirements for the provision of Services. The Proposer acknowledges and

understands that this RFP provides a general description of the work to be performed and is not intended to be all-inclusive. Proposer must be familiar with the requirements and general conditions that are essential to provide the Services consistent with industry best practices and in accordance with all licensing, regulations, and professional standards. Services within the scope of this RFP include, but are not limited to, those described below.

UT System is seeking to acquire software tools and related services that would help its health institutions (“**Institutional Participant**”) manage internally generated reviews / audits of medical billing activity to ensure that coding and documentation are correct and billable in compliance with applicable law, regulations, and institution policies. At present, all six UT System health institutions participate in a UT System agreement with a supplier that licenses medical billing compliance review/audit management software to the institutions. The existing software is used by all six (6) health institutions to audit billings by physician practices (involving about 5,850 billing providers in total), and by four institutions to audit billings by their hospitals. These existing licensing arrangements are due to expire on September 30, 2017.

Currently, UT System and its Institutional Participants adhere to various Guiding Principles documents that are intended to establish a framework for billing compliance program activities at the UT System health institutions. These Principles are based on the U. S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) Office of Inspector General (OIG) Compliance Program guidance for ensuring professional service and hospital service coding/billing compliance. These Guiding Principles documents allow each health institution to follow a risk-based approach to conducting billing compliance monitoring work, a billing provider-centric (i.e., physician, advanced practice provider, etc.) approach to conducting billing compliance monitoring work, or a combination of both approaches. The applicable UT System Guiding Principles documents can be found in **APPENDIX NINE**. Proposers should carefully review **APPENDIX NINE** to ensure that the proposed software tools and related services will support the health institutions in meeting the applicable requirements contained within the Guiding Principles.

While all proposals will be carefully considered, preference will be given to software systems that are Web / Cloud-based or housed, and that do not require the health institutions to manage servers locally or to locally house software systems or billing data. In addition, a strong preference will be given to software systems that already are fully developed (i.e., not in beta development), active, and in use at various client sites.

1.3 Objective of Request for Proposal

The University of Texas System is soliciting proposals in response to this Request for Proposal No.720-1729 (this “**RFP**”), from qualified vendors to provide Medical Billing Compliance Software (the “**Solution**”) more specifically described in **Section 5** of this RFP.

1.4 Group Purchase Authority

Texas law authorizes institutions of higher education (defined by [§61.003, Education Code](#)) to use the group purchasing procurement method (ref. §§[51.9335](#), [73.115](#), and [74.008](#), *Education Code*). Additional Texas institutions of higher education may therefore elect to enter into a contract with the successful Proposer under this RFP.

In particular, Proposer should note that University is part of The University of Texas System (**UT System**), which is comprised of fourteen institutions described at <http://www.utsystem.edu/institutions>. UT System institutions routinely evaluate whether a contract resulting from a procurement conducted by one of the institutions might be suitable for use by another, and if so, this RFP could give rise to additional purchase volumes. As a result, in submitting its proposal, Proposer should consider proposing a pricing model and other commercial terms that take into account the higher volumes and other expanded opportunities that could result from the eventual inclusion of other institutions in the purchase contemplated by this RFP. Any purchases made by other institutions based on this RFP will be the sole responsibility of those institutions.

SECTION 2

NOTICE TO PROPOSER

2.1 Submittal Deadline

University will accept proposals submitted in response to this RFP until 2:30 p.m., Central Standard Time (“CST”) on Thursday, July 6th, 2017 (the “**Submittal Deadline**”).

2.2 University Contact Person

Proposers will direct all questions or concerns regarding this RFP to the following University contact (“**University Contact**”):

Darya Vienne
Email: dvienne@utsystem.edu

University specifically instructs all interested parties to restrict all contact and questions regarding this RFP to written communications delivered to (i) University Contact, or (ii) if questions relate to Historically Underutilized Businesses, to HUB Coordinator (ref. **Section 2.5** of this RFP). *University Contact must receive all questions or concerns no later than 2:30 p. m. CST on June 22nd, 2017.* University will have a reasonable amount of time to respond to questions or concerns. It is University’s intent to respond to all appropriate questions and concerns; however, University reserves the right to decline to respond to any question or concern.

2.3 Criteria for Selection

The successful Proposer, if any, selected by University through this RFP will be the Proposer that submits a proposal on or before the Submittal Deadline that is the most advantageous to University. The successful Proposer is referred to as “**Contractor**.”

Proposer is encouraged to propose terms and conditions offering the maximum benefit to University in terms of (1) service, (2) total overall cost, and (3) project management expertise.

The evaluation of proposals and the selection of Contractor will be based on the information provided in the proposal. University may consider additional information if University determines the information is relevant.

Criteria to be considered by University in evaluating proposals and selecting Contractor, will be these factors:

2.3.1 Threshold Criteria Not Scored

- A. Ability of University to comply with laws regarding Historically Underutilized Businesses; and
- B. Ability of University to comply with laws regarding purchases from persons with disabilities.

2.3.2 Scored Criteria

- A. Cost (25%);
- B. Vendor Experience and Capabilities (20%);
- C. Services, Project Approach and Implementation Plan (25%);
- D. Partners, Affiliates and Subcontractors (5%);
- E. Competitive Advantage (5%);

- F. Fee Model (5%);
- G. Hosting Services Environment (5%);
- H. Management of Hosted Applications (5%);
- I. Privacy (5%).

2.4 Key Events Schedule

Issuance of RFP	Thursday, June 8 th , 2017
Deadline for Questions / Concerns (ref. Section 2.2 of this RFP)	Thursday, June 22 nd , 2017
Submittal Deadline (ref. Section 2.1 of this RFP)	2:30 p.m. CST on Thursday, July 6 th , 2017

2.5 Historically Underutilized Businesses

- 2.5.1 All agencies of the State of Texas are required to make a good faith effort to assist historically underutilized businesses (each a “**HUB**”) in receiving contract awards. The goal of the HUB program is to promote full and equal business opportunity for all businesses in contracting with state agencies. Pursuant to the HUB program, if under the terms of any agreement or contractual arrangement resulting from this RFP, Contractor subcontracts any of the Services, then Contractor must make a good faith effort to utilize HUBs certified by the Procurement and Support Services Division of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts. Proposals that fail to comply with the requirements contained in this **Section 2.5** will constitute a material failure to comply with advertised specifications and will be rejected by University as non-responsive. Additionally, compliance with good faith effort guidelines is a condition precedent to awarding any agreement or contractual arrangement resulting from this RFP. Proposer acknowledges that, if selected by University, its obligation to make a good faith effort to utilize HUBs when subcontracting any of the Services will continue throughout the term of all agreements and contractual arrangements resulting from this RFP. Furthermore, any subcontracting of the Services by Proposer is subject to review by University to ensure compliance with the HUB program.
- 2.5.2 University has reviewed this RFP in accordance with [34 TAC §20.285](#), and has determined that subcontracting opportunities are probable under this RFP.
- 2.5.3 A HUB Subcontracting Plan (“**HSP**”) is a required as part of Proposer’s proposal. The HSP will be developed and administered in accordance with University’s Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses attached as **APPENDIX THREE** and incorporated for all purposes.

*Each Proposer must complete and return the HSP in accordance with the terms and conditions of this RFP, including **APPENDIX THREE**. Proposers that fail to do so will be considered non-responsive to this RFP in accordance with [§2161.252, Government Code](#).*

Questions regarding the HSP may be directed to:

*Contact: Cynthia Booker
Coordinator, HUB Technical Assistant*

Phone: 409-772-1353

Email: cbooker@utsystem.edu

Contractor will not be permitted to change its HSP *unless*: (1) Contractor completes a new HSP in accordance with the terms of **APPENDIX THREE**, setting forth all modifications requested by Contractor, (2) Contractor provides the modified HSP to University, (3) University approves the modified HSP *in writing*, and (4) all agreements resulting from this RFP are amended in writing to conform to the modified HSP.

2.5.4 Proposer must submit two (2) originals of the HSP to University at the same time it submits its proposal to University (ref. **Section 3.2** of this RFP.) **The originals of the HSP must be submitted under separate cover (mailed independently) and in two (2) separate envelopes (the “HSP Envelopes”).** Proposer must ensure that the top outside surface of HSP Envelopes clearly indicating:

2.5.4.1 the RFP No. (ref. **Section 1.3** of this RFP) and the Submittal Deadline (ref. **Section 2.1** of this RFP), both located in the lower left hand corner of the top surface of the envelope,

2.5.4.2 the name and the return address of the Proposer, and

2.5.4.3 the phrase “HUB Subcontracting Plan”.

Any proposal submitted in response to this RFP that is not accompanied by two (2) separate HSP Envelopes meeting the above requirements may be rejected by University and returned to Proposer unopened as non-responsive due to material failure to comply with advertised specifications.

University will open Proposer’s HSP Envelopes prior to opening the proposal to confirm Proposer submitted the HSP. Proposer’s failure to submit two (2) completed and signed originals of Proposer’s HUB Subcontracting Plan may result in University’s rejection of the proposal as non-responsive due to material failure to comply with advertised specifications; such a proposal will be returned to the Proposer unopened (ref. **Section 1.5 of APPENDIX ONE** to this RFP). **Note:** The requirement that Proposer provide two (2) originals of the HSP under this **Section 2.5.4** is separate from and does not affect Proposer’s obligation to provide University with the number of copies of its proposal as specified in **Section 3.1** of this RFP.

If Proposer’s submitted HSP refers to specific page(s) / Sections(s) of Proposer’s proposal that explain how Proposer will perform entire contract with its own equipment, supplies, materials and/or employees, Proposer must include copies of those pages in HSP Envelopes. Failure to do so will slow the evaluation process and may result in DISQUALIFICATION.

SECTION 3

SUBMISSION OF PROPOSAL

3.1 Number of Copies

- A. One (1) complete paper copy of its *entire* proposal.

The paper copy of the proposal should contain the mark “original” on the front cover of the proposal. An original signature by an authorized officer of Proposer must appear on the Execution of Offer (ref. Section 2 of APPENDIX ONE) of the submitted paper copy of the proposal.

University does not consider electronic signatures to be valid therefore the original signature must be a “wet signature.”

- B. One (1) complete electronic copy of its entire proposal in a single .pdf file on USB Flash Drive. USB Flash Drive must include a protective cover and be labeled with Proposer’s name and RFP number.

In addition, Proposer must submit one (1) complete electronic copy of the proposal in a single .pdf file on separate USB Flash Drive on which all proposed pricing information, provided in response to Section 6, has been removed.

3.2 Submission

Proposals must be received by University on or before the Submittal Deadline (ref. **Section 2.1** of this RFP) and should be delivered to:

The University of Texas System Administration
210 West Sixth St.
Suite B. 140E
Austin, Texas 78701-2891
Attn: Darya Vienne

NOTE: Show the Request for Proposal number and submittal date in the lower left-hand corner of sealed bid envelope (box / container).

Proposals must be typed on letter-size (8-1/2” x 11”) paper, and must be submitted in a 3-ring binder. Preprinted material should be referenced in the proposal and included as labeled attachments. Sections within a proposal should be divided by tabs for ease of reference.

3.3 Proposal Validity Period

Each proposal must state that it will remain valid for University’s acceptance for a minimum of one hundred and twenty (120) days after the Submittal Deadline, to allow time for evaluation, selection, and any unforeseen delays.

3.4 Terms and Conditions

- 3.4.1 Proposer must comply with the requirements and specifications contained in this RFP, including the Terms and Conditions (ref. **APPENDIX TWO**), the Notice to Proposer (ref. **Section 2** of this RFP), Proposal Requirements (ref. **APPENDIX**

ONE) and the Specifications and Additional Questions (ref. **Section 5** of this RFP). If there is a conflict among the provisions in this RFP, the provision requiring Proposer to supply the better quality or greater quantity of services will prevail, or if such conflict does not involve quality or quantity, then interpretation will be in the following order of precedence:

3.4.1.1. Specifications and Additional Questions (ref. **Section 5** of this RFP);

3.4.1.2. Terms and Conditions (ref. **Section 4** and **APPENDIX TWO**);

3.4.1.3. Proposal Requirements (ref. **APPENDIX ONE**);

3.4.1.4. Notice to Proposers (ref. **Section 2** of this RFP).

3.5 Submittal Checklist

Proposer is instructed to complete, sign, and return the following documents as a part of its proposal. If Proposer fails to return each of the following items with its proposal, then University may reject the proposal:

3.5.1 Signed and Completed Execution of Offer (ref. **Section 2** of **APPENDIX ONE**)

3.5.2 Signed and Completed Pricing and Delivery Schedule (ref. **Section 6** of this RFP)

3.5.3 Responses to Proposer's General Questionnaire (ref. **Section 3** of **APPENDIX ONE**)

3.5.4 Signed and Completed Addenda Checklist (ref. **Section 4** of **APPENDIX ONE**)

3.5.5 Responses to questions and requests for information in the Specifications and Additional Questions Section (ref. **Section 5** of this RFP)

3.5.6 Signed and completed originals of the HUB Subcontracting Plan or other applicable documents (ref. **Section 2.5** of this RFP and **APPENDIX THREE**).

3.5.7 Responses to questions and requests for information in **APPENDICES FOUR, FIVE, SIX** and **EIGHT**.

SECTION 4

GENERAL TERMS AND CONDITIONS

The structure of the transaction UT System intends to enter into as a result of this RFP will be substantially similar to the following: a Preferred Supplier Agreement between UT System and Preferred Supplier, with UT System signing on behalf of itself and six named UT System institutions, with each named institution being an Institutional Participant (the “**Agreement**”).

The terms and conditions contained in the attached Agreement (ref. **APPENDIX TWO**) or, in the sole discretion of University, terms and conditions substantially similar to those contained in the **APPENDIX TWO**, will constitute and govern any agreement that results from this RFP. If Proposer takes exception to any terms or conditions set forth in the **APPENDIX TWO**, Proposer will submit redlined **APPENDIX TWO** as part of its proposal in accordance with **Section 5.2.1** of this RFP. Proposer’s exceptions will be reviewed by University and may result in disqualification of Proposer’s proposal as non-responsive to this RFP. If Proposer’s exceptions do not result in disqualification of Proposer’s proposal, then University may consider Proposer’s exceptions when University evaluates the Proposer’s proposal.

SECTION 5

SPECIFICATIONS AND ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS

5.1 General

The minimum requirements and the specifications for the Services, as well as certain requests for information to be provided by Proposer as part of its proposal, are set forth below. As indicated in **Section 2.3** of this RFP, the successful Proposer is referred to as the “**Contractor.**”

Contract Term: University intends to enter into an agreement with the Contractor to perform the Services for an initial three (3) year base term, with the option to renew for two (2) additional one (1) year renewal periods, upon mutual written agreement of both parties.

Approval by the Board of Regents: No Agreement resulting from this RFP will be effective for amounts exceeding one million dollars (\$1,000,000) until approved by the Board of Regents of The University of Texas System.

Disclosure of Existing Agreement: University has an existing software licensing agreement with Hayes Management, Inc., which is scheduled to expire September 30, 2017.

Administrative Fee: Proposer must pay to the UT System Office of Collaborative Business Services a quarterly administrative fee of 2.0% of the Total Net Sales made under the Agreement during such quarter (ref. **Section 6** of this RFP), which will be used to defray the costs of implementing, sustaining and optimizing collaborations among UT System institutions, and particularly the project that is the subject of this RFP.

5.2 Additional Questions Specific to this RFP

Proposer must submit the following information as part of Proposer’s proposal:

- 5.2.1 If Proposer takes exception to any terms or conditions set forth in the Agreement (ref. **APPENDIX TWO**), Proposer must redline **APPENDIX TWO** and include **APPENDIX TWO** as part of its Proposal. If Proposer agrees with terms or conditions set forth in the **APPENDIX TWO**, Proposer will submit a written statement acknowledging it.
- 5.2.2 By signing the Execution of Offer (ref. **Section 2** of **APPENDIX ONE**), Proposer agrees to comply with Certificate of Interested Parties laws (ref. [§2252.908, Government Code](#)) and [1 TAC §§46.1 through 46.5](#)) as implemented by the Texas Ethics Commission (“**TEC**”), including, among other things, providing TEC and University with information required on the form promulgated by TEC and set forth in **APPENDIX SEVEN**. *Proposer may learn more about these disclosure requirements, including the use of the TEC electronic filing system, by reviewing the information on the TEC website at https://www.ethics.state.tx.us/whatsnew/FAQ_Form1295.html. **The Certificate of Interested Parties must only be submitted by Contractor upon delivery to University of a signed Agreement.***
- 5.2.3 In its proposal, Proposer must indicate whether it will consent to include in the Agreement the “Access by Individuals with Disabilities” language that is set forth in **APPENDIX FOUR, Access by Individuals with Disabilities**. If Proposer objects to the inclusion of the “Access by Individuals with Disabilities” language in the Agreement, Proposer must, as part of its proposal, specifically identify and describe in detail all of the reasons for Proposer’s objection. NOTE THAT A GENERAL OBJECTION IS NOT AN ACCEPTABLE RESPONSE TO THIS QUESTION.

- 5.2.4 In its proposal, Proposer must respond to each item listed in **APPENDIX FIVE, Electronic and Information Resources (EIR) Environment Specifications**. **APPENDIX FIVE** will establish specifications, representations, warranties and agreements related to the EIR that Proposer is offering to provide to University. Responses to **APPENDIX FIVE** will be incorporated into the Agreement and will be binding on Contractor.
- 5.2.5 In its proposal, Proposer must respond to each item listed in **APPENDIX SIX, Security Characteristics and Functionality of Contractor's Information Resources**. **APPENDIX SIX** will establish specifications, representations, warranties and agreements related to the EIR that Proposer is offering to provide to University. Responses to **APPENDIX SIX** will be incorporated into the Agreement and will be binding on Contractor.

5.3 Scope of Work

Contractor will provide Solution and related services that will:

- A. Provide the health institutions with the capability to monitor and analyze (i.e., perform data analytics of) their coding, billing, and payment data to identify potential or real issues that represent compliance risk to the institutions;
- B. Automate and simplify billing compliance audit-related administrative tasks, including, but not limited to, identification of key billing compliance risks, audit case selection, audit timing / scheduling, selection of services to be included in audit cases, etc.;
- C. Permit auditing of professional, technical / hospital, and research charges, including the ability to capture professional, hospital, research services and entire hospital stays in a single audit session, the ability to create audits by identified billing compliance risk, as well as the ability to create and conduct audits by clinical department/division, by provider, by CPT, HCPCS, or ICD-9 / ICD-10 code, by APC or DRG, by modifier, by Revenue Code, Type of Bill (TOB) Code, or by payer (e.g., Medicare);
- D. Allow seamless and effective patient demographic, coding, charge and payment data integration from the involved institutions' billing software systems to the auditing software tool(s);
- E. Provide users with the ability to generate standard reports, user-customized reports, and dashboards that would be useful in identifying potential or real billing compliance risks, as well as provide useful information about audit findings / results.
- F. Provide users with the ability to use available software system data analytics capabilities to create dashboard reports, tools, and/or reports that would allow each institution to identify, remedy, and/or remediate identified compliance exceptions. These capabilities would allow for the use of metrics established by each institution to better identify and manage its own high risks.
- G. Allow UT System, with the input of the Institutional Participants, to establish the timing (e.g., 12:00 a.m. each Sunday morning) and frequency (e.g., weekly) of data transfers / uploads from the involved institutions' billing software systems to the auditing software tool(s), as well as provide electronic notices (through e-mail) to the affected health institution(s) of the success or failure of the scheduled data transfers / uploads;
- H. Permit real-time monitoring of the status of pending and / or active audits;
- I. Capture and report audit data / results / findings in an effective and end user-friendly manner;

- J. Offer the capability to produce detailed audit reports, including the options to extract / export reports to other Microsoft Office-based programs (e.g., Excel) and produce graphs / charts / tables as part of the audit reports;
- K. Employ a flexible audit scheduling capability that would allow users to schedule, create, and conduct specific risk-based audits (e.g., critical care services, MS-DRG 130, drug units, clinical research services, etc.), individual provider audits based on a set schedule (e.g., quarterly, semi-annually, etc.), focused / probe audits (to determine the potential over-payment / under-payment risk associated with a specific issue / risk), and / or other types of audits;
- L. Allow flexibility to customize audit case / sample selection based on payer(s), high-risk billing compliance issues, high volume services, and/or high reimbursement / top dollar services;
- M. Use a consistent audit-scoring and audit-finding methodology which would provide more uniform responses and analyses of audit results across the health institutions;
- N. Offer the ability to conduct both retrospective and prospective billing compliance audits;
- O. Offer a comprehensive package of standard and user-identified / selected audit-result reports that can be manipulated easily by the end-user (see earlier references to reports, and the report examples provided in **APPENDIX TEN**);
- P. Permit audit findings to be reported in detail (individually) and in the aggregate (by division, clinical department, hospital unit, or institution), as well as allow for billing and coding trends (and potential risks) to be easily identified;
- Q. Maintain or increase the consistency of how medical billing compliance issues are identified, monitored, reported on, and addressed across the health institutions;
- R. Have the capability to store and retrieve current and previous code information (e.g., ICD-9, ICD-10, CPT, HCPCS, APC, DRG, Revenue Code, etc.) for purposes of conducting retrospective audits across multiple calendar years;
- S. Permit end-users, when appropriate, to upload documents, files, spreadsheets, etc. from the other reporting tools and / or sources;
- T. Offer easy-to-use workflows to end-users to assist them in effectively creating, managing, conducting, and reporting on audits;
- U. Offer a means to record, manage, monitor, and store external audit request (e.g., RAC, MAC, OIG, CERT, ZPIC, etc.) information/data and assist with managing responses to such external audit requests;
- V. Enable interfaces with institution-specific electronic health record (EHR) technology;
- W. Be compatible with Windows-based functionality for report-writing capability;
- X. Enable the health institutions to access institution-specific, other UT System health institution-specific (de-identified), other client-specific (de-identified), and commercially available (e.g., Medicare) coding and / or audit result data to allow for coding, payment, and audit result trend comparison;
- Y. Enable end-user recording, tracking, and reporting of billing compliance training/re-training completion dates; and

- Z. Enable end-user recording, tracking, and reporting of refunds and/or claim corrections/rebills resulting from audit findings.

5.4 Additional Questions Specific to this RFP

Proposer must submit the following information as part of Proposer's proposal:

Vendor Experience and Capabilities (20%)

1. Provide references from three (3) of Proposer's customers from the past five (5) years for services that are similar in scope, size, and complexity to the Services described in this RFP.

Provide the following information for each customer:

- Customer name and address;
 - Contact name with email address and phone number;
 - Time period in which work was performed;
 - Scope and annual volume of provided service (in \$).
2. Has Proposer worked with University institutions in the past five (5) years? If "yes," state University Institution name, department name, department contact, and provide a brief description of work performed.
 3. List all new customer accounts for such software and services that Proposer has established within last twelve (12) months. Proposer's customer reference list should include the company name; contact person including telephone number; and scope of services.
 4. Provide Proposer's experience providing software and services to higher education in general, and health institutions in particular.
 5. Provide Proposer's experience providing software and services for applications similar to that sought under this RFP. For how many years? What size institution(s)?
 6. How many employees does Proposer have dedicated to Proposer's software and services business (not including consultants)?
 7. How many clients does Proposer have that are in production and for how long?
 8. How many FTEs are supporting Proposer's hosted environments? Are these vendor employees or subcontractors?
 9. How many hosted clients would UT System be sharing resources with? Describe Proposer's staffing ratios per client (for example, number of DBAs or system administrators per client).
 10. Describe Proposer's company's setup or transition procedures and related timeline necessary to utilize your services. Is this process documented? If yes, include an overview of the procedures and time-line.

11. Describe Proposer's roles / responsibilities in the transition and the roles / responsibilities of UT System and Institutions.
12. Provide proposed service level agreement which should include service level management, help desk, communication, monitoring, reaction levels, performance thresholds and monitoring, change management, disaster recovery, penalties, and reporting.

Services, Project Approach and Implementation Plan (25%)

13. Provide a detailed description for the full range of services available from Proposer in relation to the project that is the scope of this RFP, including Proposer tool's ability to assist with audits related to hospital / technical charges, professional charges and research related charges.
14. Describe whether Solution has the ability to interface with institution-specific charge master, fee-schedule and/or CMS claims/reimbursement data, and how Solution uses these data (e.g., comparison of institution-specific professional services coding patterns to CMS coding data, etc.).
15. Describe Solution's project management reporting capabilities, including how end-users can generate reports based on audit findings and whether Solution includes report templates and / or the ability to create customized reports.
16. Describe Proposer's experience with working in conjunction with different EHR platforms, including any experience with Proposer's Solution and staff interfacing with Epic.
17. Does Solution have the ability to provide denial management functionality or can the Solution interface with existing institution-specific denial management systems?
18. Can Proposer's Solution capture payment data received through 835 files (i.e., electronic remittance advice) for all payors?
19. Describe Solution's ability to assist with auditing a range of service dates, sequential visits and / or full length of hospital stays when selecting audit parameters.
20. Explain Solution's data storage capabilities, including limitations with respect to data access for purposes of selecting cases for auditing, length of look-back period capabilities and report storage.
21. Explain Solution's ability to perform trend analysis based on audit findings and charging patterns with respect to professional and hospital / technical services.
22. Describe Proposer's project approach and best service delivery model to provide the services described in this RFP. Describe how this approach and service delivery model would meet UT System's objectives as described in this RFP.
23. Provide a high-level implementation plan identifying the key tasks, milestones, and outcomes commencing date of contract award through the initial twelve (12) months of the contract term. Proposer's response should highlight both Proposer's and UT System's responsibilities and resources required during each phase.

24. Describe the communication models used by Proposer's company to keep project personnel and internal Institutional Participants informed during transition / implementation. How would Proposer's models support consistent communications across a multi-institution deployment?
25. Describe the greatest implementation risks and Proposer's mitigation strategy.
26. Explain the ability to "scale" Proposer's service delivery solution upward or downward. What component elements of Proposer's service delivery solution are not scalable and why?
27. Does Proposer have and use active user groups to leverage feedback from current customers?
28. Describe the expectations that Proposer has from Institutional Participant users, including expectations with respect to software and file updates, testing and loading upgrades and reporting writing.
29. Are there opportunities for individual institutions to customize the tool and / or add-on modules once the Solution is installed?
30. Describe how much of the proposed Solution's functionality is currently available via mobile devices (iOS and Android).
31. Describe the process for prioritizing and deploying emergency patches and fixes. Description should include how security vulnerability fixes are handled in the fix prioritization process.
32. Describe what browsers are supported within Proposer's Solution, as well as the minimum system, hardware, and / or memory requirements needed to successfully access and use the Proposer's Solution.
33. Provide listings, applicable descriptions, including screenshots, and details about standard and user-customizable reports that are available within the Solution.
34. Comment on Proposer's capabilities to produce reports as described in **APPENDIX TEN**, in addition to providing tools to enable Institutional Participants to design additional, more custom-tailored reports).
35. Explain how data is uploaded. Through an FTP site provided by Proposer?
36. Explain if Proposer is able to support the campuses' use of Shibboleth to regulate access to the software services.

Partners, Affiliates and Subcontractors (5%)

37. List any partners or affiliates that would be part of delivering the services and describe the nature of your relationship with this entity. Identify the projects on which you are currently utilizing these partners or affiliates or have utilized them within the past two (2) years. Your response must also indicate the location from which each of the partners or affiliates you listed provides their services.
38. Describe what opportunities you foresee to utilize subcontractors to perform portions of the work contemplated under this RFP.

39. Describe your company's process for the selection of subcontractors and your process for evaluating subcontractors' performance.
40. Identify the subcontractor resources outside of your company that you typically engage to assist in performing the services contemplated under this RFP and the role they play in performing the services. Identify the projects on which you are currently utilizing these subcontractors or have utilized them within the past two (2) years. Your response must also indicate the location from which each of the subcontractors you listed provides their services.

Competitive Advantage (5%)

Note: For all questions in this section, limit the response to no more than two (2) pages per question.

41. Projects of this type usually have challenges and / or difficulties along the way. Identify the challenges and / or difficulties Proposer typically have encountered in providing similar services. What suggestions does Proposer have for UT System to avoid or better position itself to manage these challenges?
42. Briefly describe Proposer company's advantage in the marketplace or special benefits in UT System selecting Proposer's company that are not otherwise disclosed in the RFP response.
43. List any industry recognitions and / or rankings Proposer received during the past three (3) years as a provider of services of the type described in this RFP.
44. What relevant certifications does Proposer maintain?
45. Indicate any additional "value added" services or programs not otherwise asked or disclosed herein that should be considered during the RFP response evaluation process.

Fee Model (5%)

46. Identify and describe the various types of fees and charges that are typically billed to Institutional Participants by Proposer under a services arrangement of the type described in this RFP.
47. Provide the criteria used by Proposer to determine the types and amount of fees to be billed to Institutional Participants for performing the services. Indicate any assumptions or key drivers that would drive the fees upward or downward.
48. Identify any fee-related factors not discussed in Proposer responses above that UT System should consider when doing business with Proposer's company and that could create a more cost effective arrangement for UT System.
49. Describe how Proposer would keep fees competitive over the contract term.

Hosting Services Environment (5%)

Provide detailed information about your hosting services environment. Specifically address the following items:

50. Where are Proposer's data centers located? How many does Proposer have?
51. Describe the data center's physical environment (flooring, AC, generator, etc.).

52. Does Proposer operate its own data centers or does Proposer outsource? Does Proposer acquire co-location space from a third party for the data center? If Proposer outsources or acquires co-location space from a third party, describe this relationship, including the identity of the third party and where they are located.
53. Do you outsource outside of the continental United States?
54. Describe the practices and services available within Proposer's data center to prevent unscheduled down time, including system redundancy, power backup, network redundancy, environmental controls, security, etc.
55. Describe partnerships with hardware and software vendors.
56. What is Proposer's capital investment strategy over the next three (3) years? What is Proposer's strategy to keep infrastructure current? How often is hardware refreshed or replaced?
57. Are data centers Proposer is using TIER certified? If so, provide copies of relevant certification documentation. If not, what is Proposer's assertion regarding the tier level(s) of your data center(s)?
58. Provide detailed information about Proposer's hosting physical security at Proposer's data center. Specifically address the following items:
 - Describe structure design, practices, and measures taken to secure physical access and protect assets.
 - Describe if and how client servers, storage, and network are isolated from other customers. How are decisions made around clients sharing infrastructure components? Provide examples.
 - Describe Proposer's employee screening procedure including performing background checks for employees or contracted third parties who will have access to confidential information. Does this include screening against the OIG and / or GSA exclusion list?
59. How does Proposer's hosting infrastructure solution accommodate temporary surges in users or transaction volume, to meet expected or unexpected additional peak periods of volume through the year? Describe how Proposer have done this for current customer demands.
60. What software does Proposer supply as part of Proposer's hosting solution? Does Proposer utilize any third party tools or software to facilitate proactive support? Identify all of Proposer's proposed contractual terms and conditions required for such software or tools.
61. Describe the hardware utilized for Proposer's hosting offering. Address any partnerships with vendors outside of Proposer's organization to help facilitate proposed service delivery.
62. How does Proposer ensure proper server provisioning and what level of certification have Proposer attained as an authorized provider of support services for the hardware that Proposer supports?
63. How does Proposer ensure network connectivity and avoid DDoS, degraded ISP service, etc.?

64. What technology does Proposer utilize for data storage? What measures are in place to prevent data loss?
65. What hardware does Proposer provide for network connectivity in the data center or customer premises?
66. Describe Proposer's process for maintaining current technology levels for hardware and operating systems. What preventive maintenance measures does Proposer provide? What are Proposer's hardware repair capabilities? Does Proposer maintain computer and network spare parts inventories onsite?
67. Explain how interfaces with hosted applications are supported and what inbound / outbound security and communication mechanisms are in place. Explain how the stability for these interfaces is ensured.
68. Describe Proposer's security infrastructure. Include information on applications, platform, data, etc. What strategies are employed to ensure security? What is the escalation procedure if there is a security failure?
69. Describe the tools, technologies and procedures Proposer uses for monitoring, preventing, and correcting for system errors and performance problems with the network, systems, and database?
70. How would the connections between Institutional Participant and Proposer's data center facility established and managed? What is the strategy if this connection fails? How would this be monitored and communicated?
71. Describe Proposer's disaster recovery plan. How does the data center strategy address high-availability and disaster recovery requirements? What are the disaster recovery procedures? Describe Proposer's disaster recovery strategy. Does Proposer use another vendor for the back-up for disaster recovery? If so, who is the vendor?
72. Describe how the hosting services provided are monitored. Are the systems to be available 24 x 7 x 365 except for scheduled maintenance? Describe scheduled maintenance periods. Does Proposer monitor the entire infrastructure including applications, servers, databases, and networks for both performance and fault detection? Describe the notification procedure in the event of failure. Would Institutional Participant get real-time visibility into network and application performance and monitoring status? Explain how this is proposed to be provided.
73. What specific metrics / targets does Proposer track to measure the performance related to availability?
74. Describe any external security audit with which Proposer is in good standing (e.g., SSAE 16 or equivalent) and provide a copy of Proposer's most recent audit. Describe the procedures for auditing services, any audit standards used for auditing purposes, and the frequency of those audits.

Management of Hosted Applications (5%)

75. How would Proposer accommodate required access to the hosted application for application management purposes?
76. If full development level access to the non-production databases is required, how does Proposer propose that this be provided? Describe the level of access that applications

programmers will have in each production and non-production environment. Describe Proposer's change management methodology and process. Describe Proposer's migration / deployment policies and procedures including proposed roles / responsibilities and the proposed roles / responsibilities of the party requiring access.

77. How will Institutional Participant staff be able to access the servers to be able to log in and verify configuration settings / files, logs, etc.?
78. How are restores and refreshes of databases managed for hosted applications?
79. Describe Proposer's help desk and incident report process and tools completely. Include information on metrics, communication, policies, procedures, etc.
80. Describe database administration for hosted applications. Include information on staff qualifications, security tools and monitoring tools, remote access, management of upgrades and patches, and change control processes.
81. How is server administration managed for hosted applications? Include information on staff qualifications, security tools and monitoring tools, remote access, management of upgrades and patches, and change control processes.
82. Describe Proposer's network administration for hosted applications. Include information on bandwidth, service providers and Proposer's contracts with those providers, security, topology, and connectivity for Institutional Participant. Include information on Institutional Participant requirements to work with Proposer's services.
83. Describe how Proposer manages storage including disaster recovery.
84. Describe how Proposer manages security in relation to all levels of the databases.
85. Describe Proposer's audit procedure, frequency, and monitoring practices.
86. Describe how often the Solution is upgraded / updated.
87. When / how often is the client's data extracted from their billing system and interphase to proposed system? How is the client notified / alerted if the data refresh / interphase was successful or failed?

Privacy (5%)

88. In relation to the Solution and services provided for Institutional Participant, Contractor may be a Covered Entity that is required to comply with all applicable provisions of the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act, codified at 42 USC § 1320d through d-8 (HIPAA), and any regulations, rules, and mandates pertaining to the HIPAA privacy and security rules, as well as with any applicable state medical privacy requirements. Contractor will also be required to comply with Institutional Participant's privacy and applicable information technology security policies. The Agreement will require Contractor to sign a Business Associate Agreement with each Institutional Participant, as appropriate. In response to the related interrogatories included in **APPENDIX SIX** of this RFP, Proposer must describe in detail its HIPAA privacy and security programs as well as its information security program.
89. Provide a detailed description of Proposer's HIPAA privacy and security compliance programs as these would apply to Institutional Participant data. Include information on workforce training and monitoring. Describe all policies and practices implemented to

ensure the privacy of all confidential information as defined in the Agreement, including but not limited to protected health information as defined by the HIPAA privacy rule, employee / participant information, or other confidential information about Institutional Participant. Include a link to Proposer's HIPAA policies and notice of privacy practices as well as a brief description of any HIPAA violations alleged against Proposer by consumers or the Department of Health and Human Services, including the outcomes. (See **APPENDIX SIX** for additional questions regarding Information Security.)

90. Confirm that Proposer is currently in compliance with all HIPAA requirements; in particular, confirm compliance with the rules and regulations applicable to data transmission and privacy, and the organization's willingness to comply with future changes.
91. Provide the name of Proposer's HIPAA privacy officer and a description of his or her qualifications.
92. *Solution and services provider may be a Covered Entity, with the related database containing information subject to applicable federal, state and local, laws, regulations, and ordinances, including the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (Public Law No: 106-102) and the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act, 20 U.S.C. §1232g ("FERPA") as outlined in this RFP. Contractor will also be required to comply with UT System's and / or Institutional Participant's privacy and applicable information technology security policies.* Describe in detail Proposer's FERPA privacy and security programs as well as Proposer's information security program that would apply to Institutional Participant data. Include information on workforce training and monitoring. Describe all policies and practices implemented to ensure the privacy of all confidential information as defined in the Agreement, including but not limited to protected health information as defined by the FERPA privacy rule, employee / participant information, or other confidential information about Institutional Participant. Include a link to Proposer's FERPA policies and notice of privacy practices as well as a brief description of any FERPA violations alleged against Proposer by consumers or governing agencies, including the outcomes. (See **APPENDIX SIX** for additional questions regarding Information Security.)
93. Confirm that Proposer is currently in compliance with all FERPA requirements; in particular, confirm compliance with the rules and regulations applicable to data transmission and privacy, and the organization's willingness to comply with future changes.
94. Provide the name of Proposer's FERPA privacy officer and a description of his or her qualifications.

SECTION 6

PRICING AND DELIVERY SCHEDULE

Proposal of: _____
(Proposer Company Name)

To: The University of Texas System

RFP No.: 720-1721 Medical Billing Compliance Software

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Having carefully examined all the specifications and requirements of this RFP and any attachments thereto, the undersigned proposes to furnish the required pursuant to the above-referenced Request for Proposal upon the terms quoted (firm fixed price) below. The University will not accept proposals which include assumptions or exceptions to the work identified in this RFP.

6.1 Pricing for Services Offered

Contractor must provide detailed information about the pricing for services/solutions offered in its proposal. Pricing may be based on (a) the annual volume of 835 and 837 files provided to Contractor for use in the selected software solution(s) by each institutional participant; (b) the number of active billing providers and, if applicable, the number of licensed hospital beds (based on the current Medicare Cost Report) at each institutional participant; (c) the annual number of institutional participant users who would regularly be accessing the Contractor's solution(s) to perform billing compliance audit work; or (d) some other method, as described by the Contractor. Proposed pricing should be sufficiently detailed to identify the annual cost to each institutional participant, as well as to UT System, for the use of and/or access to the proposed solution(s). Pricing should also describe the costs associated with additional institutional participants (i.e., UT Austin Dell Medical School, UT Rio Grande Valley School of Medicine, etc.) being added at a future date.

University will reimburse, without mark-up, reasonable expenses validly incurred by Contractor directly and solely in support of Work and *approved by University in advance*. Contractor will be subject to the then-current Travel Reimbursement Rates promulgated by the Comptroller of Public Accounts for the State of Texas at <https://fm.xcpa.state.tx.us/fm/travel/travelrates.php> with regard to all travel expenses. Contractor will not be reimbursed by University for expenses that are prohibited or that exceed the allowable amounts provided in the then current Travel Reimbursement Rates. As a condition precedent to receiving reimbursement for expenses, Contractor must submit to University receipts, invoices, and other documentation requested by University.

Reimbursement for expenses and disbursements will not exceed a maximum expense cap (*to be mutually agreed by Contractor and Respondent and provided in the Agreement*), without the prior written approval of University.

6.2 Discounts

Describe all discounts that may be available to University, including, educational, federal, state and local discounts.

6.3 Delivery Schedule of Events and Time Periods

Indicate number of calendar days needed to commence the Services from the execution of the services agreement:

_____ Calendar Days

6.4 Payment Terms

University’s standard payment terms are “net 30 days” as mandated by the *Texas Prompt Payment Act* (ref. [Chapter 2251, Government Code](#)).

Indicate below the prompt payment discount that Proposer offers:

Prompt Payment Discount: _____% _____days / net 30 days.

[Section 51.012, Education Code](#), authorizes University to make payments through electronic funds transfer methods. Proposer agrees to accept payments from University through those methods, including the automated clearing house system (“ACH”). Proposer agrees to provide Proposer’s banking information to University in writing on Proposer letterhead signed by an authorized representative of Proposer. Prior to the first payment, University will confirm Proposer’s banking information. Changes to Proposer’s bank information must be communicated to University in writing at least thirty (30) days before the effective date of the change and must include an [IRS Form W-9](#) signed by an authorized representative of Proposer.

University, an agency of the State of Texas, is exempt from Texas Sales & Use Tax on goods and services in accordance with [§151.309, Tax Code](#), and [Title 34 TAC §3.322](#). Pursuant to [34 TAC §3.322\(c\)\(4\)](#), University is not required to provide a tax exemption certificate to establish its tax exempt status.

Respectfully submitted,

Proposer: _____

By: _____
(Authorized Signature for Proposer)

Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

**APPENDIX ONE
PROPOSAL REQUIREMENTS**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION 1: GENERAL INFORMATION..... 1

SECTION 2: EXECUTION OF OFFER 4

SECTION 3: PROPOSER'S GENERAL QUESTIONNAIRE 7

SECTION 4: ADDENDA CHECKLIST 9

SECTION 1

GENERAL INFORMATION

1.1 Purpose

University is soliciting competitive sealed proposals from Proposers having suitable qualifications and experience providing services in accordance with the terms, conditions and requirements set forth in this RFP. This RFP provides sufficient information for interested parties to prepare and submit proposals for consideration by University.

By submitting a proposal, Proposer certifies that it understands this RFP and has full knowledge of the scope, nature, quality, and quantity of the services to be performed, the detailed requirements of the services to be provided, and the conditions under which such services are to be performed. Proposer also certifies that it understands that all costs relating to preparing a response to this RFP will be the sole responsibility of the Proposer.

PROPOSER IS CAUTIONED TO READ THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS RFP CAREFULLY AND TO SUBMIT A COMPLETE RESPONSE TO ALL REQUIREMENTS AND QUESTIONS AS DIRECTED.

1.2 Inquiries and Interpretations

University may in its sole discretion respond in writing to written inquiries concerning this RFP and mail its response as an Addendum to all parties recorded by University as having received a copy of this RFP. Only University's responses that are made by formal written Addenda will be binding on University. Any verbal responses, written interpretations or clarifications other than Addenda to this RFP will be without legal effect. All Addenda issued by University prior to the Submittal Deadline will be and are hereby incorporated as a part of this RFP for all purposes.

Proposers are required to acknowledge receipt of each Addendum as specified in this Section. The Proposer must acknowledge all Addenda by completing, signing and returning the Addenda Checklist (ref. **Section 4** of **APPENDIX ONE**). The Addenda Checklist must be received by University prior to the Submittal Deadline and should accompany the Proposer's proposal.

Any interested party that receives this RFP by means other than directly from University is responsible for notifying University that it has received an RFP package, and should provide its name, address, telephone and facsimile (**FAX**) numbers, and email address, to University, so that if University issues Addenda to this RFP or provides written answers to questions, that information can be provided to that party.

1.3 Public Information

Proposer is hereby notified that University strictly adheres to all statutes, court decisions and the opinions of the Texas Attorney General with respect to disclosure of public information.

University may seek to protect from disclosure all information submitted in response to this RFP until such time as a final agreement is executed.

Upon execution of a final agreement, University will consider all information, documentation, and other materials requested to be submitted in response to this RFP, to be of a non-confidential and non-proprietary nature and, therefore, subject to public disclosure under the *Texas Public Information Act* (ref. [Chapter 552, Government Code](#)). Proposer will be advised of a request for public information that implicates their materials and will have the opportunity to raise any objections to disclosure to the Texas Attorney General. Certain information may be protected from release under §§[552.101](#), [552.104](#), [552.110](#), [552.113](#), and [552.131](#), *Government Code*.

1.4 Type of Agreement

Contractor, if any, will be required to enter into a contract with University in a form substantially similar to the Agreement between University and Contractor (the "**Agreement**") attached to this RFP as **APPENDIX TWO** and incorporated for all purposes.

1.5 Proposal Evaluation Process

University will select Contractor by using the competitive sealed proposal process described in this Section. Any proposals that are not submitted by the Submittal Deadline or that are not accompanied by required number of completed and signed originals of the HSP will be rejected by University as non-responsive due to material failure to comply with this RFP (ref. **Section 2.5.4** of this RFP). Upon completion of the initial review and evaluation of proposals, University may invite one or more selected Proposers to participate in oral presentations. University will use commercially reasonable efforts to avoid public disclosure of the contents of a proposal prior to selection of Contractor.

University may make the selection of Contractor on the basis of the proposals initially submitted, without discussion, clarification or modification. In the alternative, University may make the selection of Contractor on the basis of negotiation with any of the Proposers. In conducting negotiations, University will use commercially reasonable efforts to avoid disclosing the contents of competing proposals.

University may discuss and negotiate all elements of proposals submitted by Proposers within a specified competitive range. For purposes of negotiation, University may establish, after an initial review of the proposals, a competitive range of acceptable or potentially acceptable proposals composed of the highest rated proposal(s). In that event, University may defer further action on proposals not included within the competitive range pending the selection of Contractor; provided, however, University reserves the right to include additional proposals in the competitive range if deemed to be in the best interest of University.

After the Submittal Deadline but before final selection of Contractor, University may permit Proposer to revise its proposal in order to obtain the Proposer's best and final offer. In that event, representations made by Proposer in its revised proposal, including price and fee quotes, will be binding on Proposer. University will provide each Proposer within the competitive range with an equal opportunity for discussion and revision of its proposal. University is not obligated to select the Proposer offering the most attractive economic terms if that Proposer is not the most advantageous to University overall, as determined by University.

University reserves the right to (a) enter into an agreement for all or any portion of the requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP with one or more Proposers, (b) reject any and all proposals and re-solicit proposals, or (c) reject any and all proposals and temporarily or permanently abandon this selection process, if deemed to be in the best interests of University. Proposer is hereby notified that University will maintain in its files concerning this RFP a written record of the basis upon which a selection, if any, is made by University.

1.6 Proposer's Acceptance of RFP Terms

Proposer (1) accepts [a] Proposal Evaluation Process (ref. **Section 1.5** of **APPENDIX ONE**), [b] Criteria for Selection (ref. **2.3** of this RFP), [c] Specifications and Additional Questions (ref. **Section 5** of this RFP), [d] terms and conditions of the Agreement (ref. **APPENDIX TWO**), and [e] all other requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP; and (2) acknowledges that some subjective judgments must be made by University during this RFP process.

1.7 Solicitation for Proposal and Proposal Preparation Costs

Proposer understands and agrees that (1) this RFP is a solicitation for proposals and University has made no representation written or oral that one or more agreements with University will be awarded under this RFP; (2) University issues this RFP predicated on University's anticipated requirements for the Services, and University has made no representation, written or oral, that any particular scope of services will actually be required by University; and (3) Proposer will bear, as its sole risk and responsibility, any cost that arises from Proposer's preparation of a proposal in response to this RFP.

1.8 Proposal Requirements and General Instructions

- 1.8.1 Proposer should carefully read the information contained herein and submit a complete proposal in response to all requirements and questions as directed.
- 1.8.2 Proposals and any other information submitted by Proposer in response to this RFP will become the property of University.
- 1.8.3 University will not provide compensation to Proposer for any expenses incurred by the Proposer for proposal preparation or for demonstrations or oral presentations that may be made by Proposer. Proposer submits its proposal at its own risk and expense.
- 1.8.4 Proposals that (i) are qualified with conditional clauses; (ii) alter, modify, or revise this RFP in any way; or (iii) contain irregularities of any kind, are subject to disqualification by University, at University's sole discretion.
- 1.8.5 Proposals should be prepared simply and economically, providing a straightforward, concise description of Proposer's ability to meet the requirements and specifications of this RFP. Emphasis should be on completeness, clarity of content, and responsiveness to the requirements and specifications of this RFP.
- 1.8.6 University makes no warranty or guarantee that an award will be made as a result of this RFP. University reserves the right to accept or reject any or all proposals, waive any formalities, procedural requirements, or minor technical inconsistencies, and delete any requirement or specification from this RFP or the Agreement when deemed to be in University's best interest. University reserves the right to seek clarification from any Proposer concerning any item contained in its proposal prior to final selection. Such clarification may be provided by telephone conference or personal meeting with or writing to University, at University's sole discretion. Representations made by Proposer within its proposal will be binding on Proposer.
- 1.8.7 Any proposal that fails to comply with the requirements contained in this RFP may be rejected by University, in University's sole discretion.

1.9 Preparation and Submittal Instructions

1.9.1 Specifications and Additional Questions

Proposals must include responses to the questions in Specifications and Additional Questions (ref. **Section 5** of this RFP). Proposer should reference the item number and repeat the question in its response. In cases where a question does not apply or if unable to respond, Proposer should refer to the item number, repeat the question, and indicate N / A (Not Applicable) or N / R (No Response), as appropriate. Proposer should explain the reason when responding N / A or N / R.

1.9.2 Execution of Offer

Proposer must complete, sign and return the attached Execution of Offer (ref. **Section 2** of **APPENDIX ONE**) as part of its proposal. The Execution of Offer must be signed by a representative of Proposer duly authorized to bind the Proposer to its proposal. Any proposal received without a completed and signed Execution of Offer may be rejected by University, in its sole discretion.

1.9.3 Pricing and Delivery Schedule

Proposer must complete and return the Pricing and Delivery Schedule (ref. **Section 6** of this RFP), as part of its proposal. In the Pricing and Delivery Schedule, the Proposer should describe in detail (a) the total fees for the entire scope of the Services; and (b) the method by which the fees are calculated. The fees must be inclusive of all associated costs for delivery, labor, insurance, taxes, overhead, and profit.

University will not recognize or accept any charges or fees to perform the Services that are not specifically stated in the Pricing and Delivery Schedule.

In the Pricing and Delivery Schedule, Proposer should describe each significant phase in the process of providing the Services to University, and the time period within which Proposer proposes to be able to complete each such phase.

1.9.4 Proposer's General Questionnaire

Proposals must include responses to the questions in Proposer's General Questionnaire (ref. **Section 3** of **APPENDIX ONE**). Proposer should reference the item number and repeat the question in its response. In cases where a question does not apply or if unable to respond, Proposer should refer to the item number, repeat the question, and indicate N / A (Not Applicable) or N / R (No Response), as appropriate. Proposer should explain the reason when responding N / A or N / R.

1.9.5 Addenda Checklist

Proposer should acknowledge all Addenda to this RFP (if any) by completing, signing and returning the Addenda Checklist (ref. **Section 4** of **APPENDIX ONE**) as part of its proposal. Any proposal received without a completed and signed Addenda Checklist may be rejected by University, in its sole discretion.

1.9.6 Submission

*Proposer should submit all proposal materials as instructed in **Section 3** of this RFP. RFP No. (ref. **Title Page** of this RFP) and Submittal Deadline (ref. **Section 2.1** of this RFP) should be clearly shown (1) in the Subject line of any email transmitting the proposal, and (2) in the lower left-hand corner on the top surface of any envelope or package containing the proposal. In addition, the name and the return address of the Proposer should be clearly visible in any email or on any envelope or package.*

Proposer must also submit two (2) copies of the HUB Subcontracting Plan (also called the HSP) as required by **Section 2.5** of this RFP.

University will not under any circumstances consider a proposal that is received after the Submittal Deadline or which is not accompanied by the HSP as required by **Section 2.5** of this RFP. University will not accept proposals submitted by telephone or FAX transmission.

Except as otherwise provided in this RFP, no proposal may be changed, amended, or modified after it has been submitted to University. However, a proposal may be withdrawn and resubmitted at any time prior to the Submittal Deadline. No proposal may be withdrawn after the Submittal Deadline without University's consent, which will be based on Proposer's written request explaining and documenting the reason for withdrawal, which is acceptable to University.

SECTION 2

EXECUTION OF OFFER

THIS EXECUTION OF OFFER MUST BE COMPLETED, SIGNED AND RETURNED WITH PROPOSER'S PROPOSAL. FAILURE TO COMPLETE, SIGN AND RETURN THIS EXECUTION OF OFFER WITH THE PROPOSER'S PROPOSAL MAY RESULT IN THE REJECTION OF THE PROPOSAL.

- 2.1 Representations and Warranties.** Proposer represents, warrants, certifies, acknowledges, and agrees as follows:
- 2.1.1 Proposer will furnish the Services to University and comply with all terms, conditions, requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP and any resulting Agreement.
 - 2.1.2 This RFP is a solicitation for a proposal and is not a contract or an offer to contract. Submission of a proposal by Proposer in response to this RFP will not create a contract between University and Proposer. University has made no representation or warranty, written or oral, that one or more contracts with University will be awarded under this RFP. Proposer will bear, as its sole risk and responsibility, any cost arising from Proposer's preparation of a response to this RFP.
 - 2.1.3 Proposer is a reputable company that is lawfully and regularly engaged in providing the Services.
 - 2.1.4 Proposer has the necessary experience, knowledge, abilities, skills, and resources to perform the Services.
 - 2.1.5 Proposer is aware of, is fully informed about, and is in full compliance with all applicable federal, state and local laws, rules, regulations and ordinances relating to performance of the Services.
 - 2.1.6 Proposer understands (i) the requirements and specifications set forth in this RFP and (ii) the terms and conditions set forth in the Agreement under which Proposer will be required to operate.
 - 2.1.7 Proposer will not delegate any of its duties or responsibilities under this RFP or the Agreement to any sub-contractor, except as expressly provided in the Agreement.
 - 2.1.8 Proposer will maintain any insurance coverage required by the Agreement during the entire term.
 - 2.1.9 All statements, information and representations prepared and submitted in response to this RFP are current, complete, true and accurate. University will rely on such statements, information and representations in selecting Contractor. If selected by University, Proposer will notify University immediately of any material change in any matters with regard to which Proposer has made a statement or representation or provided information.
 - 2.1.10 PROPOSER WILL DEFEND WITH COUNSEL APPROVED BY UNIVERSITY, INDEMNIFY, AND HOLD HARMLESS UNIVERSITY, THE STATE OF TEXAS, AND ALL OF THEIR REGENTS, OFFICERS, AGENTS AND EMPLOYEES, FROM AND AGAINST ALL ACTIONS, SUITS, DEMANDS, COSTS, DAMAGES, LIABILITIES AND OTHER CLAIMS OF ANY NATURE, KIND OR DESCRIPTION, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEYS' FEES INCURRED IN INVESTIGATING, DEFENDING OR SETTling ANY OF THE FOREGOING, ARISING OUT OF, CONNECTED WITH, OR RESULTING FROM ANY NEGLIGENT ACTS OR OMISSIONS OR WILLFUL MISCONDUCT OF PROPOSER OR ANY AGENT, EMPLOYEE, SUBCONTRACTOR, OR SUPPLIER OF PROPOSER IN THE EXECUTION OR PERFORMANCE OF ANY CONTRACT OR AGREEMENT RESULTING FROM THIS RFP.
 - 2.1.11 Pursuant to §§[2107.008](#) and [2252.903](#), *Government Code*, any payments owing to Proposer under the Agreement may be applied directly to any debt or delinquency that Proposer owes the State of Texas or any agency of the State of Texas, regardless of when it arises, until such debt or delinquency is paid in full.
 - 2.1.12 Any terms, conditions, or documents attached to or referenced in Proposer's proposal are applicable to this procurement only to the extent that they (a) do not conflict with the laws of the State of Texas or this RFP, and (b) do not place any requirements on University that are not set forth in this RFP. Submission of a proposal is Proposer's good faith intent to enter into the Agreement with University as specified in this RFP and that Proposer's intent is not contingent upon University's acceptance or execution of any terms, conditions, or other documents attached to or referenced in Proposer's proposal.
- 2.2 No Benefit to Public Servants.** Proposer has not given or offered to give, nor does Proposer intend to give at any time hereafter, any economic opportunity, future employment, gift, loan, gratuity, special discount, trip, favor or service to a public servant in connection with its proposal. Failure to sign this Execution of Offer, or signing with a false statement, may void the submitted proposal or any resulting Agreement, and Proposer may be removed from all proposer lists at University.
- 2.3 Tax Certification.** Proposer is not currently delinquent in the payment of any taxes due under [Chapter 171, Tax Code](#), or Proposer is exempt from the payment of those taxes, or Proposer is an out-of-state taxable entity that is not subject to those taxes, whichever is applicable. A false certification will be deemed a material breach of any resulting contract or agreement and, at University's option, may result in termination of any resulting Agreement.
- 2.4 Antitrust Certification.** Neither Proposer nor any firm, corporation, partnership or institution represented by Proposer, nor anyone acting for such firm, corporation or institution, has violated the antitrust laws of the State of Texas, codified in [§15.01 et seq., Business and Commerce Code](#), or the Federal antitrust laws, nor communicated directly or indirectly the proposal made to any competitor or any other person engaged in such line of business.
- 2.5 Authority Certification.** The individual signing this document and the documents made a part of this RFP, is authorized to sign the documents on behalf of Proposer and to bind Proposer under any resulting Agreement.

- 2.6 Child Support Certification.** Under [§231.006, Family Code](#), relating to child support, the individual or business entity named in Proposer's proposal is not ineligible to receive award of the Agreement, and any Agreements resulting from this RFP may be terminated if this certification is inaccurate.
- 2.7 Relationship Certifications.**
- No relationship, whether by blood, marriage, business association, capital funding agreement or by any other such kinship or connection exists between the owner of any Proposer that is a sole proprietorship, the officers or directors of any Proposer that is a corporation, the partners of any Proposer that is a partnership, the joint venturers of any Proposer that is a joint venture, or the members or managers of any Proposer that is a limited liability company, on one hand, and an employee of any member institution of University, on the other hand, other than the relationships which have been previously disclosed to University in writing.
 - Proposer has not been an employee of any member institution of University within the immediate twelve (12) months prior to the Submittal Deadline.
 - No person who, in the past four (4) years served as an executive of a state agency was involved with or has any interest in Proposer's proposal or any contract resulting from this RFP (ref. [§669.003, Government Code](#)).
 - All disclosures by Proposer in connection with this certification will be subject to administrative review and approval before University enters into any Agreement resulting from this RFP with Proposer.
- 2.8 Compliance with Equal Employment Opportunity Laws.** Proposer is in compliance with all federal laws and regulations pertaining to Equal Employment Opportunities and Affirmative Action.
- 2.9 Compliance with Safety Standards.** All products and services offered by Proposer to University in response to this RFP meet or exceed the safety standards established and promulgated under the Federal Occupational Safety and Health Law ([Public Law 91-596](#)) and the *Texas Hazard Communication Act*, [Chapter 502, Health and Safety Code](#), and all related regulations in effect or proposed as of the date of this RFP.
- 2.10 Exceptions to Certifications.** Proposer will and has disclosed, as part of its proposal, any exceptions to the information stated in this Execution of Offer. All information will be subject to administrative review and approval prior to the time University makes an award or enters into any Agreement with Proposer.
- 2.11 Manufacturer Responsibility and Consumer Convenience Computer Equipment Collection and Recovery Act Certification.** If Proposer will sell or lease computer equipment to University under any Agreement resulting from this RFP then, pursuant to [§361.965\(c\), Health & Safety Code](#), Proposer is in compliance with the Manufacturer Responsibility and Consumer Convenience Computer Equipment Collection and Recovery Act set forth in [Chapter 361, Subchapter Y, Health & Safety Code](#), and the rules adopted by the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality under that Act as set forth in [30 TAC Chapter 328, §361.952\(2\), Health & Safety Code](#), states that, for purposes of the Manufacturer Responsibility and Consumer Convenience Computer Equipment Collection and Recovery Act, the term "computer equipment" means a desktop or notebook computer and includes a computer monitor or other display device that does not contain a tuner.
- 2.12 Conflict of Interest Certification.**
- Proposer is not a debarred vendor or the principal of a debarred vendor (i.e. owner, proprietor, sole or majority shareholder, director, president, managing partner, etc.) either at the state or federal level.
 - Proposer's provision of services or other performance under any Agreement resulting from this RFP will not constitute an actual or potential conflict of interest.
 - Proposer has disclosed any personnel who are related to any current or former employees of University.
 - Proposer has not given, nor does Proposer intend to give, at any time hereafter, any economic opportunity, future employment, gift, loan, gratuity, special discount, trip, favor or service to an officer or employee of University in connection with this RFP.
- 2.13 Proposer should complete the following information:**

If Proposer is a Corporation, then State of Incorporation: _____

If Proposer is a Corporation, then Proposer's Corporate Charter Number: _____

RFP No.: 720-1729 Medical Billing Compliance Software

NOTICE: WITH FEW EXCEPTIONS, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED ON REQUEST TO BE INFORMED ABOUT THE INFORMATION THAT GOVERNMENTAL BODIES OF THE STATE OF TEXAS COLLECT ABOUT SUCH INDIVIDUALS. UNDER §§[552.021](#) AND [552.023](#), GOVERNMENT CODE, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED TO RECEIVE AND REVIEW SUCH INFORMATION. UNDER [§559.004, GOVERNMENT CODE](#), INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED TO HAVE GOVERNMENTAL BODIES OF THE STATE OF TEXAS CORRECT INFORMATION ABOUT SUCH INDIVIDUALS THAT IS INCORRECT.

Submitted and Certified By:

(Proposer Institution's Name)

(Signature of Duly Authorized Representative)

(Printed Name / Title)

(Date Signed)

(Proposer's Street Address)

(City, State, Zip Code)

(Telephone Number)

(FAX Number)

(Email Address)

SECTION 3

PROPOSER'S GENERAL QUESTIONNAIRE

NOTICE: WITH FEW EXCEPTIONS, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED ON REQUEST TO BE INFORMED ABOUT THE INFORMATION THAT GOVERNMENTAL BODIES OF THE STATE OF TEXAS COLLECT ABOUT SUCH INDIVIDUALS. UNDER §§552.021 AND 552.023, *GOVERNMENT CODE*, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED TO RECEIVE AND REVIEW SUCH INFORMATION. UNDER 559.004, *GOVERNMENT CODE*, INDIVIDUALS ARE ENTITLED TO HAVE GOVERNMENTAL BODIES OF THE STATE OF TEXAS CORRECT INFORMATION ABOUT SUCH INDIVIDUALS THAT IS INCORRECT.

Proposals must include responses to the questions contained in this Proposer's General Questionnaire. Proposer should reference the item number and repeat the question in its response. In cases where a question does not apply or if unable to respond, Proposer should refer to the item number, repeat the question, and indicate N / A (Not Applicable) or N / R (No Response), as appropriate. Proposer will explain the reason when responding N / A or N / R.

3.1 Proposer Profile

3.1.1 Legal name of Proposer company:

Address of principal place of business:

Address of office that would be providing service under the Agreement:

Number of years in Business: _____

State of incorporation: _____

Number of Employees: _____

Annual Revenues Volume: _____

Name of Parent Corporation, if any _____

NOTE: If Proposer is a subsidiary, University prefers to enter into a contract or agreement with the Parent Corporation or to receive assurances of performance from the Parent Corporation.

3.1.2 State whether Proposer will provide a copy of its financial statements for the past two (2) years, if requested by University.

3.1.3 Proposer will provide a financial rating of the Proposer entity and any related documentation (such as a Dunn and Bradstreet analysis) that indicates the financial stability of Proposer. If Proposer did not provide DUNS number as requested above, provide two (2) financial references (one (1) trade reference and one (1) financial institution / bank reference). List should include company name, mailing address, telephone number, FAX number, contact person and length of financial relationship. Provide the percentage of Proposer's company's total revenue that is generated by services relating to software and services similar to that sought in this RFP.

3.1.4 Is Proposer currently for sale or involved in any transaction to expand or to become acquired by another business entity? If yes, Proposer will explain the expected impact, both in organizational and directional terms.

3.1.5 Proposer will provide any details of all past or pending litigation or claims filed against Proposer that would affect its performance under the Agreement with University (if any).

3.1.6 Is Proposer currently in default on any loan agreement or financing agreement with any bank, financial institution, or other entity? If yes, Proposer will specify the pertinent date(s), details, circumstances, and describe the current prospects for resolution.

3.1.7 Proposer will provide a customer reference list of no less than three (3) organizations with which Proposer currently has contracts and / or to which Proposer has previously provided services (within the past five (5) years) of a type and scope similar to those required by University's RFP. Proposer will include in its customer reference list the customer's company name, contact person, telephone number, project description, length of business relationship, and background of services provided by Proposer.

- 3.1.8 Does any relationship exist (whether by family kinship, business association, capital funding agreement, or any other such relationship) between Proposer and any employee of University? If yes, Proposer will explain.
- 3.1.9 Proposer will provide the name and Social Security Number for each person having at least 25% ownership interest in Proposer. This disclosure is mandatory pursuant to [§231.006, Family Code](#), and will be used for the purpose of determining whether an owner of Proposer with an ownership interest of at least 25% is more than 30 days delinquent in paying child support. Further disclosure of this information is governed by the *Texas Public Information Act* (ref. [Chapter 552, Government Code](#)), and other applicable law.

3.2 Approach to Project Services

- 3.2.1 Proposer will provide a statement of the Proposer's service approach and will describe any unique benefits to University from doing business with Proposer. Proposer will briefly describe its approach for each of the required services identified in **Section 5.3** Scope of Work of this RFP.
- 3.2.2 Proposer will provide an estimate of the earliest starting date for services following execution of the Agreement.
- 3.2.3 Proposer will submit a work plan with key dates and milestones. The work plan should include:
- 3.2.3.1 Identification of tasks to be performed;
 - 3.2.3.2 Time frames to perform the identified tasks;
 - 3.2.3.3 Project management methodology;
 - 3.2.3.4 Implementation strategy; and
 - 3.2.3.5 The expected time frame in which the services would be implemented.
- 3.2.4 Proposer will describe the types of reports or other written documents Proposer will provide (if any) and the frequency of reporting, if more frequent than required in this RFP. Proposer will include samples of reports and documents if appropriate.

3.3 General Requirements

- 3.3.1 Proposer will provide summary resumes for its proposed key personnel who will be providing services under the Agreement with University, including their specific experiences with similar service projects, and number of years of employment with Proposer.
- 3.3.2 Proposer will describe any difficulties it anticipates in performing its duties under the Agreement with University and how Proposer plans to manage these difficulties. Proposer will describe the assistance it will require from University.

3.4 Service Support

Proposer will describe its service support philosophy, how it is implemented, and how Proposer measures its success in maintaining this philosophy.

3.5 Quality Assurance

Proposer will describe its quality assurance program, its quality requirements, and how they are measured.

3.6 Miscellaneous

- 3.6.1 Proposer will provide a list of any additional services or benefits not otherwise identified in this RFP that Proposer would propose to provide to University. Additional services or benefits must be directly related to the goods and services solicited under this RFP.
- 3.6.2 Proposer will provide details describing any unique or special services or benefits offered or advantages to be gained by University from doing business with Proposer. Additional services or benefits must be directly related to the goods and services solicited under this RFP.
- 3.6.3 Does Proposer have a contingency plan or disaster recovery plan in the event of a disaster? If so, then Proposer will provide a copy of the plan.

SECTION 4

ADDENDA CHECKLIST

Proposal of: _____
(Proposer Company Name)

To: The University of Texas System

Ref.: Medical Billing Compliance Software

RFP No.: 720-1729

Ladies and Gentlemen:

The undersigned Proposer hereby acknowledges receipt of the following Addenda to the captioned RFP (initial if applicable).

Note: If there was only one (1) Addendum, initial just the first blank after No. 1, not all five (5) blanks below.

No. 1 _____ No. 2 _____ No. 3 _____ No. 4 _____ No. 5 _____

Respectfully submitted,

Proposer: _____

By: _____
(Authorized Signature for Proposer)

Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

APPENDIX TWO

SAMPLE PREFERRED SUPPLIER AGREEMENT

for

MEDICAL BILLING COMPLIANCE SOFTWARE SERVICES

between

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM

and

University of Texas Agreement Number: _____

This Software as a Service (“SaaS”) Agreement (this “Agreement”), dated effective as of _____, 2017 (“Effective Date”), is made by and between **The University of Texas System (“UT System”)**, a state agency and institution of higher education authorized under the laws of the State of Texas, acting on behalf of itself and the UT System institutions identified on the signature page of this Agreement (each of the named UT System institutions being an “**Institutional Participant**”), and _____ (“**Preferred Supplier**”), a _____ corporation, Federal Tax Identification Number _____, with its principal offices located at _____.

[Note: as indicated elsewhere in this RFP, UT System has a strong preference for a cloud-based software solution, with Institutional Participants accessing the software via the internet on a subscription basis. UT System has not identified a readily available alternative that involves a UT System institution hosting the software for use by all Institutional Participants. At the same time, UT System does not want to foreclose any alternative. This sample Agreement presumes that the software solution will be cloud-based, with Preferred Supplier’s charges being assessed on a subscription basis. If it is not, appropriate changes will need to be made throughout the draft.]

This Agreement specifies the terms and conditions applicable to the supply by Preferred Supplier of medical billing compliance software services, all as further described below.

Now, therefore, the parties, intending to be legally bound, agree as follows:

SECTION 1 – Definitions

“**Authorized Users**” means the employees, staff, administration, and contractors of Institutional Participants.

“**Preferred Supplier Solution**” means the software solution described in **Rider 1** and to which the modified version of Preferred Supplier’s Standard Ts&Cs (**Rider 5**) applies.

“**Services**” means the services provided by Preferred Supplier under this Agreement, including software implementation, data extraction and loading, data hosting via internet-based, shared servers owned and maintained by Preferred Supplier, system and product maintenance and support, operation of Preferred Supplier’s Solution, training of Authorized Users, product enhancement, and account management services, together with all documentation, tools, utilities, methodologies, specifications, techniques and other materials and know-how related thereto, as further described in **Rider 1** and elsewhere in this Agreement.

“**University Content**” means all data and other information created, uploaded or transferred in connection with the Services by an Institutional Participant or Authorized Users.

“**University Records**” means UT Party information to which Preferred Supplier may have access, receives from or on behalf of UT System or Institutional Participants, or creates through the Services under this Agreement. University Records may include social security numbers, credit card numbers, or data protected or made confidential or sensitive by law. University Records includes University Content.

“**University Rules**” means the rules, regulations and policies of UT System and Institutional Participants.

“**UT Party**” means, as applicable, UT System and/or the Institutional Participants.

“**UT System Contract Administrator**” means the Associate Vice Chancellor for Collaborative Business Services, who will be the initial contact for all contractual concerns related to this Agreement.

SECTION 2 – Term:

The term of this Agreement will begin on the Effective Date and expire _____ [*initial fixed term of three years*], unless earlier terminated in accordance with the provisions of this Agreement. UT System will have the option to extend the term of this Agreement for an additional two-year period, upon written notice given to Preferred Supplier at least 90 days in advance of the renewal term.

The Parties acknowledge that, prior to any scheduled expiration of this Agreement, UT System may conduct a competitive procurement for the purchase of products and services comparable to the Services, for the period following expiration. If Preferred Supplier is not selected as the source for the succeeding period, Institutional Participants may need to transition over a period of time to purchasing the products and services primarily from the new source, rather than from Preferred Supplier. In such event, in order to allow for an orderly transition, Institutional Participants may wish to continue purchasing from Preferred Supplier for a limited period of time after the anticipated expiration of this Agreement. As a result, Preferred Supplier agrees that, notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement:

- Preferred Supplier will make the Services available for purchase by Institutional Participants after _____, 20__ (or the anticipated expiration date under any extended term of this Agreement), for a transitional period of six months (the “**Transition Period**”), on the same terms and conditions set forth in this Agreement.
- The Administrative Fee provided for in **Rider 1** (Description of Services) will apply to all products and services purchased hereunder during the Transition Period, and all related obligations of Preferred Supplier under this Agreement (such as to report sales volumes to UT System) will continue during such period.

- The Administrative Fee will apply to all future payments made by Institutional Participants for purchases of products and services initiated during this Agreement, including the Transition Period, even if such payments are made following expiration of this Agreement.
- All incentive / rebate trigger amounts that may be established in this Agreement for any calendar year will be pro-rated automatically on a straight-line basis, to account for partial calendar years during which this Agreement exists, including the Transition Period.

SECTION 3 – Amendment:

No change, modification, alteration, or waiver of this Agreement will be effective unless it is set forth in a written agreement that is signed by UT System and Preferred Supplier.

SECTION 4 – Performance by Preferred Supplier:

Preferred Supplier will provide the Services to UT System, Institutional Participants, and their respective Authorized Users. Preferred Supplier grants to each Institutional Participant a non-exclusive, non-transferrable right and license to use and access the licensed Preferred Supplier Solution in accordance with this Agreement (as detailed with greater specificity in **Rider 5**) and the Services for the term of this Agreement. Institutional Participants retain all ownership and intellectual property rights in University Content. Preferred Supplier retains all ownership and intellectual property rights in the Services, subject to the license rights expressly granted herein.

Preferred Supplier will perform its obligations under this Agreement to the satisfaction of UT Party. Time is of the essence in connection with this Agreement. UT Party will not have any obligation to accept late performance or waive timely performance by Preferred Supplier.

Preferred Supplier agrees to:

- 4.1 comply with all applicable laws, rules and regulations, including without limitation all applicable intellectual property, privacy, and ethical laws, in its performance under this Agreement;
- 4.2 comply with the rules and regulations of the Board of Regents of UT System and University Rules;
- 4.3 call to UT System's attention in writing all information in any materials supplied to Preferred Supplier (by Institutional Participant or any other party) that Preferred Supplier regards as unsuitable, improper or inaccurate in connection with the purposes for which the material is furnished;
- 4.4 use best efforts to perform the Services in a good and workman-like manner and in accordance with the highest standards of Preferred Supplier's profession and business, and all Services will be of the quality that prevails among similar businesses of superior knowledge and skill engaged in providing similar services in major United States urban areas under same or similar circumstances;
- 4.5 maintain a staff of properly trained and experienced personnel to ensure satisfactory performance under this Agreement and ensure that all persons connected with Preferred Supplier and performing Services under this Agreement are registered or licensed under all applicable federal, state and municipal, laws, regulations, codes, ordinances and orders, including those of any other body or authority having jurisdiction.
- 4.6 obtain, at its own cost, any and all approvals, licenses, filings, registrations and permits required by applicable laws for performance of the Services;
- 4.7 provide security controls to safeguard access to the Services and the processing, storage and transmission of any University Records in accordance with this Agreement and immediately notify

UT System and the relevant Institutional Participant in the event of any known or suspected breach of security;

4.8 hold University Records in strict confidence and not use or disclose University Records except as (a) permitted or required by this Agreement, (b) required by law, or (c) otherwise authorized by UT System in writing;

4.9 safeguard University Records according to applicable administrative, physical and technical standards (such as standards established by the National Institute of Standards and Technology and the Center for Internet Security as well as Payment Card Industry Data Security Standards) that are no less rigorous than the standards by which Preferred Supplier protects its own confidential information;

4.10 continually monitor its operations and take any action necessary to ensure that University Records are safeguarded and the confidentiality of University Records is maintained in accordance with applicable laws and this Agreement;

4.11 comply with University Rules regarding the access to and use of Institutional Participant's computer systems, including UTS 165 at <https://www.utsystem.edu/board-of-regents/policy-library/policies/uts165-information-resources-use-and-security-policy>;

4.12 at the request of UT System, provide UT System with a written summary of the procedures Preferred Supplier uses to safeguard and maintain the confidentiality of University Records;

4.13 not to "sunset" the Preferred Supplier's Solution during the term of this Agreement, i.e., not to cease ongoing support and maintenance;

4.14 if an impermissible use or disclosure of any University Records occurs, provide written notice to UT System and the relevant Institutional Participant within one (1) business day after Preferred Supplier' discovery of that use or disclosure, and promptly provide UT System and the relevant Institutional Participant with all information requested regarding the impermissible use or disclosure;

4.15 if Preferred Supplier discloses any University Records to a subcontractor or agent, require the subcontractor or agent to comply with the same restrictions and obligations as are imposed on Preferred Supplier by this Agreement;

4.16 except as expressly permitted in this Agreement, not make any press releases, public statements, or advertisement referring to this Agreement or work hereunder; and

4.17 take commercially reasonable measures consistent with Preferred Supplier' security policies to protect University Content against deterioration or degradation of data quality and authenticity.

SECTION 5 – Family Code Child Support Certification:

Pursuant to Section 231.006, *Family Code*, Preferred Supplier certifies that it is not ineligible to receive the award of or payments under this Agreement and acknowledges that this Agreement may be terminated and payment may be withheld if this certification is inaccurate.

SECTION 6 – Eligibility Certifications:

Pursuant to Sections 2155.004 and 2155.006, *Texas Government Code*, Preferred Supplier certifies that it has not received compensation for participation in the preparation of the Request for Proposal related to this Agreement and is not ineligible to receive the award of or payments under this Agreement; and acknowledges that this Agreement may be terminated and payment withheld if these certifications are inaccurate.

SECTION 7 – Tax Certification:

If Preferred Supplier is a taxable entity as defined by Chapter 171, Texas Tax Code (“**Chapter 171**”), then Preferred Supplier certifies that it is not currently delinquent in the payment of any taxes due under Chapter 171, or that Preferred Supplier is exempt from the payment of those taxes, or that Preferred Supplier is an out-of-state taxable entity that is not subject to those taxes, whichever is applicable.

SECTION 8 – Payment of Debt or Delinquency to the State:

Pursuant to Sections 2107.008 and 2252.903, *Texas Government Code*, Preferred Supplier agrees that any payments owing to Preferred Supplier under this Agreement may be applied directly toward any debt or delinquency that Preferred Supplier owes the State of Texas or any agency of the State of Texas regardless of when it arises, until such debt or delinquency is paid in full.

SECTION 9 – Loss of Funding:

Performance by UT Party under this Agreement may be dependent upon the appropriation and allotment of funds by the Texas State Legislature (the “**Legislature**”) and/or allocation of funds by the Board of Regents of The University of Texas System (the “**Board**”). If the Legislature fails to appropriate or allot the necessary funds, or the Board fails to allocate the necessary funds, then UT Party will issue written notice to Preferred Supplier and UT Party may terminate this Agreement without further duty or obligation hereunder, other than payment for goods and services already delivered or provided to Institutional Participant. Preferred Supplier acknowledges that appropriation, allotment, and allocation of funds are beyond the control of UT Party.

SECTION 10 – Force Majeure:

None of the parties to this Agreement will be liable or responsible to another for any loss or damage or for any delays or failure to perform due to causes beyond its reasonable control including acts of God, strikes, epidemics, war, riots, flood, fire, sabotage, or any other circumstances of like character (“**force majeure occurrence**”). Provided, however, in the event of a force majeure occurrence, Preferred Supplier agrees to use its best efforts to mitigate the impact of the occurrence so that UT Party may continue to provide healthcare services during the occurrence.

SECTION 11 – Notices:

Except as otherwise provided in this Section, all notices, consents, approvals, demands, requests or other communications provided for or permitted to be given under any of the provisions of this Agreement will be in writing and will be sent via registered or certified mail, overnight courier, confirmed facsimile transmission (to the extent a facsimile number is set forth below), or email (to the extent an email address is set forth below), and notice will be deemed given (i) if mailed, when deposited, postage prepaid, in the United States mail, (ii) if sent by overnight courier, one business day after delivery to the courier, (iii) if sent by facsimile (to the extent a facsimile number is set forth below), when transmitted, and (iv) if sent by email (to the extent an email address is set forth below), when received:

If to UT System or an: Office of Business Affairs
Institutional Participant The University of Texas System
201 W. 7th Street
Attn: Executive Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs
Austin, Texas 78701-2982
Fax: 512-499-4289
Email: Lloyd@utsystem.edu

with copy to: The University of Texas System
Attn: Associate Vice Chancellor for Collaborative Business Services.

210 W. 6th Street, Suite B.140E
Austin, TX 78701

If to Preferred Supplier: _____

Attn: _____
Fax: _____
Email: _____

or such other person or address as may be given in writing by either party to the other in accordance with the aforesaid.

SECTION 12 – Preferred Supplier's Representations and Warranties.

12.1. Preferred Supplier will promptly correct or re-perform any defective or nonconforming Services. Preferred Supplier' duties and obligations under this Agreement will at no time be in any way diminished by reason of any approval by UT System or Institutional Participants nor will Preferred Supplier be released from any liability by reason of any such approval, it being agreed that UT System and Institutional Participants at all times are relying upon Preferred Supplier's skill and knowledge in performing the Services. Preferred Supplier will, at its own cost, correct all material defects in Services supplied under this Agreement, as soon as practical after Preferred Supplier becomes aware of the defects.

12.2. Preferred Supplier represents and warrants that:

12.2.1 its performance under this Agreement will not violate the terms of any contract, obligation, law, regulation, ordinance, Rules and Regulations of the Board of Regents, the Policies of UT System, and applicable University Rules.

12.2.2 no claim, lien or action exists or is threatened against Preferred Supplier that would interfere with UT Party's use of the Services.

12.2.3 the Services and any other work performed by Preferred Supplier hereunder will not infringe upon any United States or foreign copyright, patent, trade secret, or other proprietary right, or misappropriate any trade secret, of any third party.

12.2.4 the Services and any other work performed by Preferred Supplier hereunder will: (a) be accurate and free from material defects, (b) conform to the requirements and specifications set forth in this Agreement, including **Rider 1** (Description of Services), and (c) will contain no harmful surreptitious code, including code designed to modify, delete, damage, deactivate, disable, harm or otherwise impede in any manner the operation of the Services or any other associated software, firmware, hardware, computer system or network (a Trojan horse, worm, backdoor, etc. ("**Viruses**")).

12.2.5 neither the execution and delivery of this Agreement by Preferred Supplier nor Preferred Supplier's performance hereunder will (a) result in the violation of any provision [i] if a corporation, of Preferred Supplier' articles of incorporation or by laws, [ii] if a limited liability company, of its articles of organization or regulations, or [iii] if a partnership, of any partnership agreement by which Preferred Supplier is bound; (b) result in the violation of any provision of any agreement by which Preferred Supplier is bound; or (c) to the best of Preferred Supplier' knowledge and belief, conflict with any order or decree of any court or other body or authority having jurisdiction.

12.2.6 (a) if it is a corporation, then it is a corporation duly organized, validly existing and in good standing under the laws of the State of Texas, or a foreign corporation or limited liability company duly authorized and in good standing to conduct business in the State of Texas, that it has all necessary corporate power

and has received all necessary corporate approvals to execute and deliver this Agreement, and the individual executing this Agreement on behalf of Preferred Supplier has been duly authorized to act for and bind Preferred Supplier; or (b) if it is a partnership, limited partnership, limited liability partnership, or limited liability company then it has all necessary power and has secured all necessary approvals to execute and deliver this Agreement and perform all its obligations hereunder, and the individual executing this Agreement on behalf of Preferred Supplier has been duly authorized to act for and bind Preferred Supplier.

12.2.7 neither Preferred Supplier nor any firm, corporation or institution represented by Preferred Supplier, or anyone acting for the firm, corporation or institution, (i) has violated the antitrust laws of the State of Texas, Chapter 15, Texas Business and Commerce Code, or federal antitrust laws, or (ii) has communicated directly or indirectly the content of Preferred Supplier's response to UT Party's procurement solicitation to any competitor or any other person engaged in a similar line of business during the procurement process for this Agreement.

12.2.8 the electronic and information resources and all associated information, documentation, and support that it provides under this Agreement (collectively, the "EIRs") comply with the applicable requirements set forth in Title 1, Chapter 213, Texas Administrative Code, and Title 1, Chapter 206, Rule §206.70, Texas Administrative Code (as authorized by Chapter 2054, Subchapter M, Government Code) (the "EIR Accessibility Warranty"). To the extent Preferred Supplier becomes aware that the EIRs, or any portion thereof, do not comply with the EIR Accessibility Warranty, then Preferred Supplier represents and warrants that it will, at no cost to UT Party, either (1) perform all necessary remediation to make the EIRs satisfy the EIR Accessibility Warranty or (2) replace the EIRs with new EIRs that satisfy the EIR Accessibility Warranty. In the event that Preferred Supplier fails or is unable to do so, then UT System may terminate this Agreement and Preferred Supplier will refund to UT Party all amounts paid under this Agreement within thirty (30) days after the termination date. Preferred Supplier will provide all assistance and cooperation necessary for the performance of accessibility testing conducted by UT Party or UT Party's third-party testing resources as required by Title 1, Rule §213.38(g) of the Texas Administrative Code.

12.2.9 it has the knowledge, ability, skills, and resources to perform its obligations hereunder.

SECTION 13 – State Auditor's Office:

Preferred Supplier understands that acceptance of funds under this Agreement constitutes acceptance of the authority of the Texas State Auditor's Office, or any successor agency (collectively, "Auditor"), to conduct an audit or investigation in connection with those funds pursuant to Sections 51.9335(c), 73.115(c) and 74.008(c), Education Code. Preferred Supplier agrees to cooperate with the Auditor in the conduct of the audit or investigation, including without limitation providing all records requested. Preferred Supplier will include this provision in all contracts with permitted subcontractors.

SECTION 14 – Governing Law:

Travis County, Texas, will be the proper place of venue for suit on or in respect of this Agreement. This Agreement and all of the rights and obligations of the parties thereto and all of the terms and conditions thereof will be construed, interpreted and applied in accordance with and governed by and enforced under the internal laws of the State of Texas.

SECTION 15 – Breach of Contract Claims:

15.1 To the extent that Chapter 2260, Texas Government Code, as it may be amended from time to time ("Chapter 2260"), is applicable to this Agreement and is not preempted by other Applicable Law, the dispute resolution process provided for in Chapter 2260 will be used, as further described herein, by

UT Party and Preferred Supplier to attempt to resolve any claim for breach of contract made by Preferred Supplier:

15.1.1 Preferred Supplier's claims for breach of this Agreement that the parties cannot resolve pursuant to other provisions of this Agreement or in the ordinary course of business will be submitted to the negotiation process provided in subchapter B of Chapter 2260. To initiate the process, Preferred Supplier will submit written notice, as required by subchapter B of Chapter 2260, to UT Party in accordance with the notice provisions in this Agreement. Preferred Supplier's notice will specifically state that the provisions of subchapter B of Chapter 2260 are being invoked, the date and nature of the event giving rise to the claim, the specific contract provision that UT Party allegedly breached, the amount of damages Preferred Supplier seeks, and the method used to calculate the damages. Compliance by Preferred Supplier with subchapter B of Chapter 2260 is a required prerequisite to Preferred Supplier's filing of a contested case proceeding under subchapter C of Chapter 2260. The UT Party's chief business officer, or another officer of UT Party as may be designated from time to time by UT Party by written notice thereof to Preferred Supplier in accordance with the notice provisions in this Agreement, will examine Preferred Supplier's claim and any counterclaim and negotiate with Preferred Supplier in an effort to resolve the claims.

15.1.2 If the parties are unable to resolve their disputes under Section 4.11.1.1, the contested case process provided in subchapter C of Chapter 2260 is Preferred Supplier's sole and exclusive process for seeking a remedy for any and all of Preferred Supplier's claims for breach of this Agreement by UT Party.

15.1.3 Compliance with the contested case process provided in subchapter C of Chapter 2260 is a required prerequisite to seeking consent to sue from the Legislature under Chapter 107, Civil Practices and Remedies Code. The parties hereto specifically agree that (i) neither the execution of this Agreement by UT Party nor any other conduct, action or inaction of any representative of UT Party relating to this Agreement constitutes or is intended to constitute a waiver of UT Party's or the state's sovereign immunity to suit and (ii) UT Party has not waived its right to seek redress in the courts.

15.2 The submission, processing and resolution of Preferred Supplier's claim is governed by the published rules adopted by the Texas Attorney General pursuant to Chapter 2260, as currently effective, thereafter enacted or subsequently amended.

15.3 UT Party and Preferred Supplier agree that any periods set forth in this Agreement for notice and cure of defaults are not waived.

SECTION 16 – Compliance with Law:

Preferred Supplier will perform hereunder in compliance with all Applicable Law. Preferred Supplier represents and warrants that neither Preferred Supplier nor any firm, corporation or institution represented by Preferred Supplier, nor anyone acting for such firm, corporation or institution, (1) has violated the antitrust laws of the State of Texas, Chapter 15, *Texas Business and Commerce Code*, or federal antitrust laws, or (2) has communicated directly or indirectly the content of Preferred Supplier's response to UT System's procurement solicitation to any competitor or any other person engaged in a similar line of business during the procurement process.

SECTION 17 – UT System's Right to Audit:

At any time during the term of this Agreement and for a period of four (4) years thereafter UT System or a duly authorized audit representative of UT System, or the State of Texas, at its expense and at reasonable times, reserves the right to audit Preferred Supplier's records and books directly related to charges paid for all products and services provided under this Agreement. The right will not extend to any fixed fee component of the charges or to any services performed more than one year prior to the date of request for review. In the event such an audit by UT System reveals any errors or overpayments by UT System which error or overpayment is confirmed by Preferred Supplier, Preferred Supplier will

refund UT System the full amount of such overpayments within thirty (30) days of such audit findings, or UT System, at its option, reserves the right to deduct such amounts owing to UT System from any payments due Preferred Supplier

SECTION 18 – Access to Documents:

To the extent applicable to this Agreement, in accordance with Section 1861(v)(1)(i) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1395x) as amended, and the provisions of 42 CFR Section 420.300, et seq., Preferred Supplier agrees to allow, during and for a period of not less than four (4) years after this Agreement term, access to this Agreement and its books, documents, and records; and contracts between Preferred Supplier and its subcontractors or related organizations, including books, documents and records relating to same, by the Comptroller General of the United States, the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and their duly authorized representatives.

SECTION 19 – Insurance:

19.1 Preferred Supplier, consistent with its status as an independent contractor, will carry and will cause its subcontractors to carry, at least the following insurance, with companies authorized to do insurance business in the State of Texas or eligible surplus lines insurers operating in accordance with the Texas Insurance Code, having an A.M. Best Rating of A:VII or better, and in amounts not less than the following minimum limits of coverage:

19.1.1 Workers' Compensation Insurance with statutory limits, and Employer's Liability Insurance with limits of not less than \$1,000,000:

Employers Liability - Each Accident	\$1,000,000
Employers Liability - Each Employee	\$1,000,000
Employers Liability - Policy Limit	\$1,000,000

Workers' Compensation policy must include under Item 3.A. on the information page of the workers' compensation policy the state in which services are to be performed for Institutional Participant.

19.1.2 Commercial General Liability Insurance with limits of not less than:

Each Occurrence Limit	\$1,000,000
Damage to Rented Premises	\$ 300,000
Personal & Advertising Injury	\$1,000,000
General Aggregate	\$2,000,000
Products - Completed Operations Aggregate	\$2,000,000

The required Commercial General Liability policy will be issued on a form that insures Preferred Supplier's and subcontractor's liability for bodily injury (including death), property damage, personal and advertising injury assumed under the terms of this Agreement.

19.1.3 Business Auto Liability Insurance covering all owned, non-owned or hired automobiles, with limits of not less than \$1,000,000 single limit of liability per accident for Bodily Injury and Property Damage. Contractors transporting hazardous materials must provide the MCS-90 endorsement and CA9948 Broadened Pollution Liability endorsement on the Business Auto Liability policy. Policy limits must be in line with Federal requirements.

19.1.4 Umbrella/Excess Liability Insurance with limits of not less than \$2,000,000 per occurrence and aggregate with a deductible of no more than \$10,000, and will be excess over and at least as broad as the underlying coverage as required under **Sections 19.1.1** Employer's Liability; **19.1.2** Commercial General Liability; and **19.1.3** Business Auto Liability. Inception and expiration dates will be the same as

the underlying policies. Drop-down coverage will be provided for reduction or exhaustion of underlying aggregate limits and will provide a duty to defend for any insured.

19.1.5 Directors' and Officers' Liability Insurance with limits of not less than \$1,000,000 per claim. The coverage will be continuous for the duration of this Agreement and for not less than twenty-four (24) months following the expiration or termination of this Agreement.

19.2 Preferred Supplier will deliver to each Institutional Participant:

19.2.1 Evidence of insurance on a Texas Department of Insurance approved certificate form verifying the existence and actual limits of all required insurance policies after the execution and delivery of this Agreement and prior to the performance by Preferred Supplier under this Agreement. Additional evidence of insurance will be provided verifying the continued existence of all required insurance no later than thirty (30) days after each annual insurance policy renewal.

19.2.2 **All insurance policies** (with the exception of workers' compensation, employer's liability and professional liability) will be endorsed and name The Board of Regents of The University of Texas System and Institutional Participant as Additional Insureds for liability caused in whole or in part by Preferred Supplier's acts or omissions with respect to its on-going and completed operations up to the actual liability limits of the required insurance policies maintained by Preferred Supplier. The Commercial General Liability Additional Insured endorsement including on-going and completed operations coverage will be submitted with the Certificates of Insurance. Commercial General Liability and Business Auto Liability will be endorsed to provide primary and non-contributory coverage.

19.2.3 Preferred Supplier hereby waives all rights of subrogation against The Board of Regents of The University of Texas System and Institutional Participant. **All insurance policies** will be endorsed to provide a waiver of subrogation in favor of The Board of Regents of The University of Texas System, and Institutional Participant. No policy will be canceled until after thirty (30) days' unconditional written notice to Institutional Participant. **All insurance policies** will be endorsed to require the insurance carrier providing coverage to send notice to Institutional Participant thirty (30) days prior to any cancellation, material change, or non-renewal relating to any insurance policy required in this **Section 19**.

19.2.4 Preferred Supplier will pay any deductible or self-insured retention for any loss. Any self-insured retention must be declared to and approved by Institutional Participant prior to the performance by Preferred Supplier under this Agreement. All deductibles and self-insured retentions will be shown on the Certificates of Insurance.

19.2.5 Certificates of Insurance and Additional Insured Endorsements as required by this Agreement will be mailed, faxed, or emailed to _____.

19.3 Preferred Supplier's or subcontractor's insurance will be primary to any insurance carried or self-insurance program established by Institutional Participant or The University of Texas System. Preferred Supplier's or subcontractor's insurance will be kept in force until all obligations under this Agreement have been fully performed and accepted by Institutional Participant in writing, except as provided in this **Section 19.3**.

19.3.1 Directors and Officers Liability insurance coverage written on a claims-made basis requires Preferred Supplier to purchase an Extended Reporting Period Endorsement, effective for 24 months after the expiration or cancellation of this policy.

19.4 Cyber Liability Insurance

Preferred Supplier will maintain Cyber Liability insurance with limits of not less than \$TBD million for each wrongful act, that provides coverage for:

- Liability for security or privacy breaches, including loss or unauthorized access to University Records, whether by Preferred Supplier or any of subcontractor or cloud service provider used by Preferred Supplier;
- Costs associated with a privacy breach, including notification of affected individuals, customer support, crises management / public relations consulting, legal services of a privacy attorney, credit monitoring and identity fraud resolution services for affected individuals;
- Expenses related to regulatory compliance, government investigations, fines, fees assessments and penalties;
- Costs of restoring, updating or replacing data;
- Liability losses connected to network security, privacy, and media liability;
- “Insured versus insured” exclusion prohibited.

Certificates of Insurance and Additional Insured Endorsements reflecting applicable limits, sub-limits, self-insured retentions and deductibles will be provided to UT System upon request. Preferred Supplier will be responsible for any and all deductibles, self-insured retentions or waiting period requirements. If the Cyber Liability policy is written on a claims-made basis, the retroactive date should be prior to the commencement of this Agreement. If the Cyber Liability policy is written on a claims-made basis and non-renewed at any time during and up until expiration or termination of this Agreement, Preferred Supplier will purchase an Extended Reporting Period for at least a two year period. UT Parties and The Board of Regents of UT System will be named as an additional insureds and UT Parties will be provided with a waiver of subrogation, both by endorsement to the required Cyber Liability policy.

SECTION 20 – Indemnification:

20.1 TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, PREFERRED SUPPLIER WILL AND DOES HEREBY AGREE TO INDEMNIFY, PROTECT, DEFEND WITH COUNSEL APPROVED BY UT PARTY, AND HOLD HARMLESS UT PARTY AND ITS AFFILIATED ENTERPRISES, REGENTS, OFFICERS, DIRECTORS, ATTORNEYS, EMPLOYEES, REPRESENTATIVES AND AGENTS (COLLECTIVELY “**INDEMNITEES**”) FROM AND AGAINST ALL DAMAGES, LOSSES, LIENS, CAUSES OF ACTION, SUITS, JUDGMENTS, EXPENSES, AND OTHER CLAIMS OF ANY NATURE, KIND, OR DESCRIPTION, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEYS’ FEES INCURRED IN INVESTIGATING, DEFENDING OR SETTLING ANY OF THE FOREGOING (COLLECTIVELY “**CLAIMS**”) BY ANY PERSON OR ENTITY, ARISING OUT OF, CAUSED BY, OR RESULTING FROM PREFERRED SUPPLIER’S PERFORMANCE UNDER OR BREACH OF THIS AGREEMENT, AND THAT ARE CAUSED IN WHOLE OR IN PART BY ANY NEGLIGENT ACT, NEGLIGENT OMISSION OR WILLFUL MISCONDUCT OF PREFERRED SUPPLIER, ANYONE DIRECTLY EMPLOYED BY PREFERRED SUPPLIER OR ANYONE FOR WHOSE ACTS PREFERRED SUPPLIER MAY BE LIABLE. THE PROVISIONS OF THIS SECTION WILL NOT BE CONSTRUED TO ELIMINATE OR REDUCE ANY OTHER INDEMNIFICATION OR RIGHT WHICH ANY INDEMNITEE HAS BY LAW OR EQUITY. ALL PARTIES WILL BE ENTITLED TO BE REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL AT THEIR OWN EXPENSE.

20.2 IN ADDITION, PREFERRED SUPPLIER WILL AND DOES HEREBY AGREE TO INDEMNIFY, PROTECT, DEFEND WITH COUNSEL APPROVED BY UT PARTY, AND HOLD HARMLESS INDEMNITEES FROM AND AGAINST ALL CLAIMS ARISING FROM INFRINGEMENT OR ALLEGED INFRINGEMENT OF ANY PATENT, COPYRIGHT, TRADEMARK OR OTHER PROPRIETARY INTEREST ARISING BY OR OUT OF THE PERFORMANCE OF SERVICES OR THE PROVISION OF GOODS BY PREFERRED SUPPLIER, OR THE USE BY INDEMNITEES, AT THE DIRECTION OF PREFERRED SUPPLIER, OF ANY ARTICLE OR MATERIAL; PROVIDED, THAT, UPON BECOMING AWARE OF A SUIT OR THREAT OF SUIT FOR INFRINGEMENT, UT PARTIES WILL PROMPTLY NOTIFY PREFERRED SUPPLIER AND PREFERRED SUPPLIER WILL BE GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO NEGOTIATE A SETTLEMENT. IN THE EVENT OF LITIGATION, UT PARTIES AGREE TO REASONABLY COOPERATE WITH PREFERRED SUPPLIER. ALL PARTIES WILL BE ENTITLED TO BE REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL AT THEIR OWN EXPENSE.

SECTION 21 – Ethics Matters; No Financial Interest:

Preferred Supplier and its employees, agents, representatives and subcontractors have read and understand UT System's Conflicts of Interest Policy available at <http://www.utsystem.edu/policy/policies/int160.html>, UT System's Standards of Conduct Guide available at <http://www.utsystem.edu/systemcompliance/>, and applicable state ethics laws and rules available at www.utsystem.edu/ogc/ethics. Neither Preferred Supplier nor its employees, agents, representatives or subcontractors will assist or cause UT Party's employees to violate UT System's Conflicts of Interest Policy, provisions described by UT System's Standards of Conduct Guide, or applicable state ethics laws or rules. Preferred Supplier represents and warrants that no member of the Board has a direct or indirect financial interest in the transaction that is the subject of this Agreement.

SECTION 22 – Assignment of Overcharge Claims:

Preferred Supplier hereby assigns to UT Party any and all claims for overcharges associated with this Agreement arising under the antitrust laws of the United States, 15 U.S.C.A., Sec. 1 et seq., or arising under the antitrust laws of the State of Texas, Business and Commerce Code, Sec. 15.01, et seq.

SECTION 23 – Assignment and Subcontracting:

Except as specifically provided in any Historically Underutilized Business Subcontracting Plan (“HSP”) attached as **Rider 7** and incorporated for all purposes, neither Preferred Supplier's interest in this Agreement, its duties and obligations under this Agreement nor fees due to Preferred Supplier under this Agreement may be subcontracted, assigned, delegated or otherwise transferred to a third party, in whole or in part, and any attempt to do so will (1) not be binding on UT Party; and (2) be a breach of this Agreement for which Preferred Supplier will be subject to any remedial actions provided by Texas law, including Chapter 2161, Texas Government Code, and 34 Texas Administrative Code (“TAC”) Section 20.14. UT Party may report nonperformance under this Agreement to the Texas Procurement and Support Services Division of the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts or any successor agency (collectively, “TPSS”) in accordance with 34 TAC Chapter 20, Subchapter F, Vendor Performance and Debarment Program. The benefits and burdens of this Agreement are, however, assignable by UT Party.

SECTION 24 – Historically Underutilized Business Subcontracting Plan:

24.1 If an HSP is attached to this Agreement, Preferred Supplier agrees to use good faith efforts to subcontract the scope of work in accordance with the HSP. Preferred Supplier agrees to maintain business records documenting its compliance with the HSP and to submit a monthly compliance report to UT Party in the format required by the TPSS. Submission of compliance reports will be required as a condition for payment under this Agreement. If UT Party determines that Preferred Supplier has failed to subcontract as set out in the HSP, UT Party will notify Preferred Supplier of any deficiencies and give Preferred Supplier an opportunity to submit documentation and explain why the failure to comply with the HSP should not be attributed to a lack of good faith effort by Preferred Supplier. If UT Party determines that Preferred Supplier failed to implement the HSP in good faith, UT Party, in addition to any other remedies, may report nonperformance to the TPSS in accordance with 34 TAC Chapter 20, Subchapter F, Vendor Performance and Debarment Program. UT Party may also revoke this Agreement for breach and make a claim against the Preferred Supplier.

24.2 If at any time during the term of this Agreement, Preferred Supplier desires to change the HSP, before the proposed changes become effective (1) Preferred Supplier must comply with 34 TAC Section 20.14; (2) the changes must be reviewed and approved by UT Party; and (3) if UT Party approves changes to the HSP, this Agreement must be amended in accordance with Section 2.5.3 to replace the HSP with the revised subcontracting plan.

24.3 If UT Party expands the scope of this Agreement through a change order or any other amendment, UT Party will determine if the additional scope of work contains probable subcontracting opportunities not identified in the initial solicitation for the scope of work. If UT Party determines additional probable

subcontracting opportunities exist, Preferred Supplier will submit an amended subcontracting plan covering those opportunities. The amended subcontracting plan must comply with the provisions of 34 TAC Section 20.14 before (1) this Agreement may be amended to include the additional scope of work; or (2) Preferred Supplier may perform the additional scope of work. If Preferred Supplier subcontracts any of the additional subcontracting opportunities identified by UT Party without prior authorization and without complying with 34 TAC Section 20.14, Preferred Supplier will be deemed to be in breach of this Agreement under Section 4.19 and will be subject to any remedial actions provided by Texas law including Chapter 2161, Texas Government Code, and 34 TAC Section 20.14. UT Party may report nonperformance under this Agreement to the TPSS in accordance with 34 TAC Chapter 20, Subchapter F, Vendor Performance and Debarment Program.

SECTION 25 – Payment and Invoicing:

Institutional Participant agrees to pay fees due under this Agreement in accordance with the Texas Prompt Payment Act (“**Act**”), Chapter 2251, *Texas Government Code*. Pursuant to the Act, payment will be deemed late on the 31st day after the later of: 1) the date the performance is completed, or 2) the date Institutional Participant receives an invoice for the related goods or services. Institutional Participant will be responsible for interest on overdue payments equal to the sum of: 1) one percent, plus 2) the prime rate as published in the Wall Street Journal on the first day of July of the preceding fiscal year (Institutional Participant’s fiscal year begins September 1) that does not fall on a Saturday or Sunday. Institutional Participant will have the right to verify the details set forth in Preferred Supplier’s invoices and supporting documentation, either before or after payment, by (a) inspecting the books and records of Preferred Supplier at mutually convenient times; (b) examining any reports with respect to the related goods or services; and (c) other reasonable action.

Section 51.012, *Texas Education Code*, authorizes UT Party to make any payment through electronic funds transfer methods. Preferred Supplier agrees to receive payments from UT Party through electronic funds transfer methods, including the automated clearing house system (also known as ACH). Prior to the first payment under this Agreement, UT Party will confirm Preferred Supplier’s banking information. Any changes to Preferred Supplier’s banking information will be communicated by Preferred Supplier to UT Party in writing at least thirty (30) days in advance of the effective date of the change.

SECTION 26 – Limitations:

The parties to this Agreement are aware that there are constitutional and statutory limitations on the authority of UT Party (a state agency) to enter into certain terms and conditions of this Agreement, including, but not limited to, those terms and conditions relating to disclaimers and limitations of warranties; disclaimers and limitations of liability for damages; waivers, disclaimers and limitations of legal rights, remedies, requirements and processes; limitations of periods to bring legal action; granting control of litigation or settlement to another party; liability for acts or omissions of third parties; payment of attorneys’ fees; dispute resolution; indemnities; and confidentiality (collectively, the “**Limitations**”), and terms and conditions related to the Limitations will not be binding on UT Party except to the extent authorized by the laws and Constitution of the State of Texas.

SECTION 27 – Affirmative Action:

Preferred Supplier agrees that either a written copy of Preferred Supplier’s Civil Rights "Affirmative Action Compliance Program" or, if Preferred Supplier is not required to have such a written program, the reason Preferred Supplier is not subject to such requirement, is attached to this Agreement as **Rider 8** and incorporated for all purposes.

SECTION 28 – OSHA Compliance:

Preferred Supplier represents and warrants that all products and services furnished under this Agreement meet or exceed the safety standards established and promulgated under the Federal Occupational Safety

and Health Law (Public Law 91-598) and its regulations in effect or proposed as of the date of this Agreement.

SECTION 29 - Certifications of Nonsegregated Facilities and Equal Employment Opportunities Compliance:

Preferred Supplier certifies that, except for restrooms and wash rooms and one (1) or more lactation rooms each of which is segregated on the basis of sex: (1) it does not maintain or provide for its employees any segregated facilities at any of its establishments and that it does not permit its employees to perform their services at any location under its control where segregated facilities are maintained; (2) it will not maintain or provide for its employees any segregated facilities at any of its establishments; and (3) it will not permit its employees to perform their services at any location under its control where segregated facilities are maintained. Preferred Supplier agrees that a breach of this certification is a violation of the Equal Opportunity clause in this Agreement. The term "**segregated facilities**" means any waiting rooms, work area, rest rooms and wash rooms, entertainment areas, transportation, or housing facilities provided for employees which are segregated by explicit directive or are in fact segregated on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin, because of habit, local custom, or otherwise. Preferred Supplier further agrees that, except where it has contracts prior to the award with subcontractors exceeding \$10,000.00 which are not exempt from the provisions of the Equal Opportunity clause, Preferred Supplier will retain such certifications for each one of its subcontractors in Preferred Supplier's' files, and that it will forward the following notice to all proposed subcontractors (except where the proposed subcontractors have submitted identical certifications for specific time periods):

NOTICE TO PROSPECTIVE SUBCONTRACTORS OF REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFICATIONS OF NONSEGREGATED FACILITIES - A Certification on Nonsegregated Facilities must be submitted prior to the award of any subcontract exceeding \$10,000.00 which is not exempt from the provisions of the Equal Opportunity clause. The certification may be submitted either for each subcontract or for all subcontracts during a period (i.e. quarterly, semiannually, or annually).

Preferred Supplier understands that the penalty for making false statements regarding the subject matters of this Section is prescribed in 18 U.S.C. 1001.

SECTION 30 – Premises Rules:

If this Agreement requires Preferred Supplier's presence on UT Party's premises or in UT Party's facilities, Preferred Supplier agrees to cause its representatives, agents, employees and permitted subcontractors (if any) to become aware of, fully informed about, and in full compliance with all applicable UT Party rules and policies, including, without limitation, those relative to personal health, security, environmental quality, safety, fire prevention, noise, smoking, and access restrictions; consideration for students, patients and their families as well as employees; parking; and security.

SECTION 31 – Debarment:

Preferred Supplier confirms that neither Preferred Supplier nor its Principals are suspended, debarred, proposed for debarment, declared ineligible, or voluntarily excluded from the award of contracts from United States ("U.S.") federal government procurement or nonprocurement programs, or are listed in the List of Parties Excluded from Federal Procurement or Nonprocurement Programs issued by the U.S. General Services Administration. "**Principals**" means officers, directors, owners, partners, and persons having primary management or supervisory responsibilities within a business entity (e.g. general manager, plant manager, head of a subsidiary, division or business segment, and similar positions). Preferred Supplier will provide immediate written notification to UT Party if, at any time prior to award, Preferred Supplier learns that this certification was erroneous when submitted or has become erroneous by reason of changed circumstances. This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance will be placed when UT Party executes this Agreement. If it is later determined that Preferred

Supplier knowingly rendered an erroneous certification, in addition to the other remedies available to UT Party, UT Party may terminate this Agreement for default by Preferred Supplier.

SECTION 32 – Office of Inspector General Certification:

Preferred Supplier acknowledges that UT Party is prohibited by federal regulations from allowing any employee, subcontractor, or agent of Preferred Supplier to work on site at UT Party premises or facilities if that individual is not eligible to work on federal healthcare programs such as Medicare, Medicaid, or other similar federal programs. Therefore, Preferred Supplier will not assign any employee, subcontractor or agent that appears on the List of Excluded Individuals issued by the United States Office of the Inspector General ("**OIG**") to work on site at UT Party premises or facilities. Preferred Supplier will perform an OIG sanctions check quarterly on each of its employees, subcontractors and agents during the time such employees, subcontractors and agents are assigned to work on site at UT Party premises or facilities. Preferred Supplier acknowledges that UT Party will require immediate removal of any employee, subcontractor or agent of Preferred Supplier assigned to work at UT Party premises or facilities if such employee, subcontractor or agent is found to be on the OIG's List of Excluded Individuals. The OIG's List of Excluded Individuals may be accessed through the following Internet website: <http://www.dhhs.gov/progorg/oig/cumsan/index.htm>.

SECTION 33 – Termination:

33.1 In the event of a material failure by either party to perform in accordance with the terms of this Agreement ("**default**"), the other, non-defaulting party may terminate this Agreement upon thirty (30) days' written notice of termination setting forth the nature of the material failure. The termination will not be effective if the material failure is fully cured prior to the end of the 30-day period. No such termination will relieve the defaulting party from liability for the underlying default or breach of this Agreement or any other act or omission.

33.2 UT System may terminate this Agreement, without cause, upon written notice to Preferred Supplier; provided, however, this Agreement will not terminate until the later of (1) 90 days after receipt of notice of termination, or (2) the date that performance is complete under all purchase orders issued by Institutional Participant to Preferred Supplier prior to receipt of notice of termination. Institutional Participant may not issue any purchase orders after receipt of notice of termination. Termination of this Agreement will not relieve any party from liability for its default under or breach of this Agreement or any other act or omission of that party. In the event that this Agreement is terminated, then within thirty (30) days after termination, Preferred Supplier will reimburse UT Party for all fees paid by UT Party to Preferred Supplier that were (a) not earned by Preferred Supplier prior to termination, or (b) for goods or services that UT Party did not receive from Preferred Supplier prior to termination.

33.3 UT System or Institutional Participant may terminate an IPA, without cause, upon written notice to Preferred Supplier; provided, however, the IPA will not terminate until the later of (1) thirty (30) days after receipt of notice of termination, or (2) the date that performance is complete under all purchase orders issued by Institutional Participant to Preferred Supplier prior to receipt of notice of termination. Institutional Participant may not issue any purchase orders after receipt of notice of termination. Termination of an IPA will not relieve any party from liability for its default under or breach of the IPA or any other act or omission of that party. In the event that an IPA is terminated, then within thirty (30) days after termination, Preferred Supplier will reimburse Institutional Participant for all fees paid by Institutional Participant to Preferred Supplier that were (a) not earned by Preferred Supplier prior to termination, or (b) for goods or services that Institutional Participant did not receive from Preferred Supplier prior to termination.

33.4 If Preferred Supplier undergoes a Change of Control, UT System may, in its sole discretion, terminate this Agreement upon written notice to Preferred Supplier, effective immediately or, at UT System's option, upon conclusion of a reasonable transition period. For purposes of this Section, "**Change of Control**" means the sale of all or substantially all the assets of Preferred Supplier; any merger, consolidation or acquisition of Preferred Supplier with, by or into another corporation, entity or

person; or any change in the ownership of more than fifty percent (50%) of the voting capital stock of Preferred Supplier in one or more related transactions. Upon any such termination of this Agreement, no UT Party will have any further liability or obligation to Preferred Supplier, or to any successor, employee, agent or representative of Preferred Supplier, except to pay for services actually rendered to the effective date of termination. If UT System provides any such notice of termination, Preferred Supplier and UT System will work together diligently to bring to a logical and orderly conclusion the business arrangements that are the subject of this Agreement.

SECTION 34 – Authority:

The individuals executing this Agreement on behalf of each party have been duly authorized to act for and bind the party they represent.

SECTION 35 – Survival of Provisions:

Expiration or termination of this Agreement will not relieve either party of any obligations under this Agreement that by their nature survive such expiration or termination.

SECTION 36 – Confidentiality; Press Releases; Public Information:

36.1 Confidentiality and Safeguarding of UT Party Records. Under this Agreement, Preferred Supplier may (1) create, (2) receive from or on behalf of UT Party, or (3) have access to, UT Party's records or record systems (collectively, "**UT Party Records**"). Among other things, UT Party Records may contain social security numbers, credit card numbers, or data protected or made confidential or sensitive by applicable federal, state and local, laws, regulations, and ordinances. Preferred Supplier represents, warrants, and agrees that it will: (1) hold UT Party Records in strict confidence and will not use or disclose UT Party Records except as (a) permitted or required by this Agreement, (b) required by law, or (c) otherwise authorized by UT Party in writing; (2) safeguard UT Party Records according to reasonable administrative, physical and technical standards commonly in effect within Preferred Supplier's industry and that are no less rigorous than the standards by which Preferred Supplier protects its own confidential information; (3) continually monitor its operations in accordance with reasonable standards commonly in effect within Preferred Supplier's industry and take any action necessary to ensure that UT Party Records are safeguarded and that the confidentiality of UT Party Records is maintained in accordance with all applicable federal, state and local, laws, regulations, and ordinances, and the terms of this Agreement; and (4) comply with UT Party's rules, policies, and procedures regarding access to and use of UT Party's computer systems. At the request of UT Party, Preferred Supplier will provide UT Party with a written summary of the procedures Preferred Supplier uses to safeguard and maintain the confidentiality of UT Party Records.

36.2 Notice of Impermissible Use. If an impermissible use or disclosure of any UT Party Records occurs, Preferred Supplier will provide written notice to UT Party within one (1) business day after Preferred Supplier's discovery of that use or disclosure. Preferred Supplier will promptly provide UT Party with all information requested by UT Party regarding the impermissible use or disclosure.

36.3 Return of UT Party Records. Preferred Supplier agrees that within thirty (30) days after the expiration or termination of this Agreement, for any reason, all UT Party Records created or received from or on behalf of UT Party will be (1) returned to UT Party, with no copies retained by Preferred Supplier; or (2) if return is not feasible, destroyed. Twenty (20) days before destruction of any UT Party Records, Preferred Supplier will provide UT Party with written notice of Preferred Supplier's intent to destroy UT Party Records. Within five (5) days after destruction, Preferred Supplier will confirm to UT Party in writing the destruction of UT Party Records.

36.3 Disclosure. If Preferred Supplier discloses any UT Party Records to a subcontractor or agent, Preferred Supplier will require the subcontractor or agent to comply with the same restrictions and obligations as are imposed on Preferred Supplier by this **Section 36**.

36.4 Press Releases. Preferred Supplier will not make any press releases, public statements, or advertisement referring to this Agreement, or release any information relative to this Agreement for publication, advertisement or any other purpose, without the prior written approval of UT Party.

36.5 Public Information. UT Party strictly adheres to all statutes, court decisions and the opinions of the Texas Attorney General with respect to disclosure of public information under the *Texas Public Information Act* (“**TPIA**”), Chapter 552, *Texas Government Code*. In accordance with Section 552.002 of TPIA and Section 2252.907, *Texas Government Code*, and at no additional charge to UT Party, Preferred Supplier will make any information created or exchanged with UT Party pursuant to this Agreement (and not otherwise exempt from disclosure under TPIA) available in a format reasonably requested by UT Party that is accessible by the public.

36.6 Termination. In addition to any other termination rights set forth in this Agreement, and any other rights at law or equity, if UT Party reasonably determines that Preferred Supplier has breached any of the restrictions or obligations set forth in this Section, UT Party may immediately terminate this Agreement without notice or opportunity to cure.

36.7 Duration. The restrictions and obligations under this Section will survive expiration or termination of this Agreement for any reason.

SECTION 37 – FERPA Compliance:

37.1 The Parties agree that UT Party Records, as referenced in **Section 36**, that Preferred Supplier may (1) create, (2) receive from or on behalf of UT Party, or (3) have access to, may include records that (a) are subject to the Federal Education Rights and Privacy Act (“**FERPA**”) or (b) contain personally identifiable information from “Education Records” as defined by and subject to FERPA (collectively, “**FERPA Records**”). FERPA Records include all such data in any form whatsoever, including electronic, written and machine readable form. If any specific use of Preferred Supplier’s CAC Solution under this Agreement will involve Preferred Supplier’s access to FERPA Records or personally identifiable information of any kind, Preferred Supplier and UT Party will document such access in writing.

37.2 With respect to all UT Party Records that also constitute FERPA Records, Preferred Supplier is designated as a UT Party Official with a legitimate educational interest in and with respect to such FERPA Records, only to the extent to which Preferred Supplier is required to create, receive or maintain FERPA Records to carry out this Agreement.

37.3 In addition to all of the other obligations imposed upon Preferred Supplier with regard to UT Party Records pursuant to this Agreement, Preferred Supplier understands and agrees to abide by the following terms and conditions as to all FERPA Records, without reservation. To the extent that this **Section 37** conflicts with any other terms of this Agreement, this **Section 37** will prevail.

37.3.1 Prohibition on Unauthorized Use or Disclosure of FERPA Records: Preferred Supplier will hold FERPA Records in strict confidence. Preferred Supplier will not use or disclose FERPA Records received from or on behalf of UT System, except as permitted or required by this Agreement.

37.3.2 Maintenance of the Security of FERPA Records: Preferred Supplier will use administrative, technical and physical security measures, including secure encryption in the case of electronically maintained or transmitted FERPA Records, approved by UT Party that are at least as stringent as the requirements of UT System’s Information and Resource Use & Security Policy, UTS165 (ref. <http://www.utsystem.edu/bor/procedures/policy/policies/uts165.html>), to preserve the confidentiality and security of all FERPA Records received from, or on behalf of UT Party, its students or any third party pursuant to this Agreement.

37.3.3 Reporting of Unauthorized Disclosures or Misuse of FERPA Records and Information: Preferred Supplier, within one (1) day after discovery, will report to UT System any use or disclosure of FERPA Records not authorized by this Agreement. Preferred Supplier's report will identify: (i) the nature of the unauthorized use or disclosure, (ii) the FERPA Records used or disclosed, (iii) who made the unauthorized use or received the unauthorized disclosure, (iv) what Preferred Supplier has done or will do to mitigate any deleterious effect of the unauthorized use or disclosure, and (v) what corrective action Preferred Supplier has taken or will take to prevent future similar unauthorized use or disclosure. Preferred Supplier will provide such other information, including written reports, as reasonably requested by UT System. For purposes of this **Section 37.3.3**, an unauthorized disclosure or use includes any access or use of an "Education Record" (as defined by FERPA) by an Preferred Supplier employee or agent that the employee or agent does not require to perform services or access by any employee or agent that does not involve the provision of services.

37.3.4 Right to Audit: If UT Party has a reasonable basis to believe that Preferred Supplier is not in compliance with the terms of this **Section 37**, UT System may audit Preferred Supplier's compliance with FERPA as such compliance relates to FERPA Records maintained by Preferred Supplier.

37.3.5 Five-Year Exclusion for Improper Disclosure of Education Records. Under the federal regulations implementing FERPA, improper disclosure or redisclosure of personally identifiable information from "Education Records" (as defined by FERPA) by Preferred Supplier or its employees or agents may result in Preferred Supplier's complete exclusion from eligibility to contract with UT Party for at least five (5) years.

37.3.6 Secure Destruction of FERPA Records. Preferred Supplier agrees that no later than 30 days after expiration or termination of this Agreement for any reason, or within thirty (30) days after UT System's written request, Preferred Supplier will halt all access, use, creation, or processing of FERPA Records and will Securely Destroy all FERPA Records, including any copies created by Preferred Supplier or any subcontractor; and Preferred Supplier will certify in writing to UT System that all FERPA records have been Securely Destroyed. "**Securely Destroy**" means shredding, erasing or otherwise modifying a record so as to make it unreadable or indecipherable.

37.3.7 Disclosure. Preferred Supplier will restrict disclosure of FERPA Records solely to those employees, subcontractors or agents of Preferred Supplier that have a need to access the FERPA Records in order for Preferred Supplier to perform its obligations under this Agreement. If Preferred Supplier discloses any FERPA Records to a contractor or agent, Preferred Supplier will require the subcontractor or agent to comply with restrictions and obligations that align with the restrictions and obligations imposed on Preferred Supplier by this Agreement, including requiring each subcontractor or agent to agree to the same restrictions and obligations in writing.

37.3.8 Termination. Preferred Supplier's duties under this **Section 37** will survive expiration or termination of this Agreement as to any FERPA Records that have not been Securely Destroyed by Preferred Supplier as required by **Section 37.3.6**.

37.3.9 Breach. In the event of a breach, threatened breach or intended breach of this **Section 37** by Preferred Supplier, UT Party (in addition to any other rights and remedies available to UT Party at law or in equity) will be entitled to preliminary and final injunctions, enjoining and restraining such breach, threatened breach or intended breach.

SECTION 38 – Tax Exemption

UT Party may be an agency of the State of Texas or other non-profit entity and may be exempt from certain state taxes under various exemption statutes, including Texas Sales & Use Tax in accordance with Section 151.309, *Tax Code*, and Title 34 *Texas Administrative Code* ("**TAC**") Section 3.322. Notwithstanding its exemption from certain state taxes, UT Party will be responsible for any taxes (except corporate income taxes, franchise taxes, and taxes on Preferred Supplier's personnel, including personal

income tax and social security taxes) from which UT Party is not exempt. Preferred Supplier will provide reasonable cooperation and assistance to UT Party in obtaining any tax exemptions to which UT Party is entitled.

UT System institutions are exempt from Texas Sales & Use Tax on goods and services in accordance with Section 151.309, Tax Code, and Title 34 TAC Section 3.322. Pursuant to 34 TAC Section 3.322(c)(4), UT System institutions are not required to provide a tax exemption certificate to establish their tax exempt status.

SECTION 39 – Undocumented Workers:

The *Immigration and Nationality Act* (8 United States Code 1324a) ("**Immigration Act**") makes it unlawful for an employer to hire or continue employment of undocumented workers. The United States Immigration and Customs Enforcement Service has established the Form I-9 Employment Eligibility Verification Form ("**I-9 Form**") as the document to be used for employment eligibility verification (8 Code of Federal Regulations 274a). Among other things, Preferred Supplier is required to: (1) have all employees complete and sign the I-9 Form certifying that they are eligible for employment; (2) examine verification documents required by the I-9 Form to be presented by the employee and ensure the documents appear to be genuine and related to the individual; (3) record information about the documents on the I-9 Form, and complete the certification portion of the I-9 Form; and (4) retain the I-9 Form as required by law. It is illegal to discriminate against any individual (other than a citizen of another country who is not authorized to work in the United States) in hiring, discharging, or recruiting because of that individual's national origin or citizenship status. If Preferred Supplier employs unauthorized workers during performance of this Agreement in violation of the Immigration Act then, in addition to other remedies or penalties prescribed by law, UT Party may terminate this Agreement in accordance with Section 4.31. Preferred Supplier represents and warrants that it is in compliance with and agrees that it will remain in compliance with the provisions of the Immigration Act.

SECTION 40 – Non-Exclusivity; No Required Quantities or Minimum Amounts:

Preferred Supplier understands that this Agreement is non-exclusive and does not obligate UT Party to purchase from Preferred Supplier any or all of its requirements for services that are the same as or similar to the Services provided hereunder. This Agreement does not establish any minimum quantity or minimum dollar amount of goods or services that UT Party must purchase from Preferred Supplier during the term of this Agreement.

SECTION 41 – Background Checks:

Preferred Supplier will not knowingly assign any individual to provide services on a UT Party's campus if the individual has a history of criminal conduct unacceptable for a university campus or healthcare center, including violent or sexual offenses. If requested by any UT Party to comply with its policy, Preferred Supplier will perform appropriate criminal background checks on each individual who will provide such services on the UT Party's campus.

SECTION 42 – Business Associate Agreements:

Preferred Supplier acknowledges that Institutional Participants may be subject to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, Public 104-191 ("**HIPAA**") as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health, Title XII of Division A and Title IV of Division B of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Public Law 111-5) ("**HITECH Act**"). UT System and the respective Institutional Participants are separate entities for purposes of HIPAA. Preferred Supplier, by executing this Agreement, is deemed to have entered into a HIPAA Business Associate Agreement ("**BAA**") with each Institutional Participant, as applicable, on the terms set forth in **Rider 10** (UT System-Wide Standard BAA Terms and Conditions). **[Note: this provision represents UT System's present intention to implement a standard form in time to support this approach.]**

SECTION 43 – Entire Agreement; Modifications:

This Agreement supersedes all prior agreements, written or oral, between Preferred Supplier and UT System and will constitute the entire agreement and understanding between the parties with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement. This Agreement and each of its provisions will be binding upon the parties and may not be waived, modified, amended or altered except by a writing signed by UT System and Preferred Supplier.

SECTION 44 – Agreement Subject to Board of Regents Approval:

The validity and effectiveness of this Agreement is subject to review and approval by The Board of Regents of The University of Texas System (the “**Board**”). UT System will seek such approval promptly and will notify Preferred Supplier as soon as feasible regarding the outcome. If the Board does not provide such approval, then this Agreement will be null, void, and of no effect; *provided, however*, that UT System and Institutional Participants collectively will pay Preferred Supplier in accordance with this Agreement for all Services provided by Preferred Supplier hereunder prior to the notice, if any, of the Board’s non-approval, **in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$1 million**.

SECTION 45 – Captions:

The captions of sections and subsections in this Agreement are for convenience only and will not be considered or referred to in resolving questions of interpretation or construction.

SECTION 46 – Waivers:

No delay or omission in exercising any right accruing upon a default in performance of this Agreement will impair any right or be construed to be a waiver of any right. A waiver of any default under this Agreement will not be construed to be a waiver of any subsequent default under this Agreement.

SECTION 47 – Binding Effect:

This Agreement will be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties hereto and their respective permitted assigns and successors.

SECTION 48 – Limitations of Liability:

Except for UT Party’s obligation (if any) to pay Preferred Supplier certain fees and expenses, UT Party will have no liability to Preferred Supplier or to anyone claiming through or under Preferred Supplier by reason of the execution or performance of this Agreement. Notwithstanding any duty or obligation of UT Party to Preferred Supplier or to anyone claiming through or under Preferred Supplier, no present or future affiliated enterprise, subcontractor, agent, officer, director, employee, representative, attorney or regent of UT Party, or anyone claiming under UT Party has or will have any personal liability to Preferred Supplier or to anyone claiming through or under Preferred Supplier by reason of the execution or performance of this Agreement.

SECTION 49 – Relationship of the Parties:

For all purposes of this Agreement and notwithstanding any provision of this Agreement to the contrary, Preferred Supplier is an independent contractor and is not a state employee, partner, joint venturer, or agent of UT Party. Preferred Supplier will not bind nor attempt to bind UT Party to any agreement or contract. As an independent contractor, Preferred Supplier is solely responsible for all taxes, withholdings, and other statutory or contractual obligations of any sort, including workers’ compensation insurance.

SECTION 50 – Severability:

In case any provision of this Agreement will, for any reason, be held invalid or unenforceable in any respect, the invalidity or unenforceability will not affect any other provision of this Agreement, and this Agreement will be construed as if the invalid or unenforceable provision had not been included.

SECTION 51 – External Terms:

This Agreement completely supplants, replaces, and overrides all other terms and conditions or agreements, written or oral (“**External Terms**”), concerning Preferred Supplier’s performance under this Agreement. Such External Terms are null and void and will have no effect under this Agreement, regardless of whether UT Party or any of its employees, contractors, or agents consents or agrees to External Terms. External Terms include any shrinkwrap, clickwrap, browsewrap, web-based terms and conditions of use, and any other terms and conditions displayed in any format that UT Party, or its employees, contractors, or agents are required to accept or agree to before or in the course of accessing or using any goods or services provided solely by Preferred Supplier.

SECTION 52 – Conflicts:

In the event of a conflict between the terms and conditions of this Agreement and those of an IPA, the terms of this Agreement will control and govern.

SECTION 53 – Attachments:

The Riders listed below are attached to and fully incorporated into this Agreement as substantive parts of this Agreement:

- Rider 1** Description of Services (TBD)
- Rider 2** SLA Standards (TBD)
- Rider 3** Implementation Tasks and Timing (TBD)
- Rider 4** Hosting Roles and Responsibilities (TBD)
- Rider 5** Modified Version of Preferred Supplier’s Standard Ts&Cs (TBD)
- Rider 6** Fee Schedule (TBD)
- Rider 7** HUB Subcontracting Plan (TBD)
- Rider 8** Excerpts from Affirmative Action Compliance Program (TBD)
- Rider 9** Supplier Relationship Management (TBD)
- Rider 10** UT System-Wide Standard BAA Terms and Conditions (TBD)

Having agreed to the foregoing terms, and with the intention of being legally bound, the parties have executed this Agreement on the dates shown below.

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM,

Acting on behalf of itself and the following UT System institutions:

- The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center
- The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston
- The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston
- The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio
- The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center
- The University of Texas Health Science Center at Tyler

Signed: _____
Scott C. Kelley, Executive Vice
Chancellor for Business Affairs

Date: _____

[PREFERRED SUPPLIER]

Signed: _____

Printed Name: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

Rider 10
UT System-Wide
Business Associate Agreement Standard Terms and Conditions

Preferred Supplier, by executing the Preferred Supplier Agreement to which this Rider is attached, is deemed to have entered into a HIPAA Business Associate Agreement ("**BAA**") with each Institutional Participant on the terms set forth below. Each Institutional Participant is a "**Covered Entity**," and Preferred Supplier is a "**Business Associate**," as more fully defined below (collectively, the "**Parties**").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, Covered Entity has entered or is entering into an Agreement with Business Associate (the "**Underlying Agreement**") by which it has engaged Business Associate to perform services;

WHEREAS, Covered Entity possesses Protected Health Information that is protected under HIPAA and the HIPAA Regulations, HITECH Act and state law, including the Medical Records Privacy Act (MRPA), and is permitted to manage such information only in accordance with HIPAA and the HIPAA Regulations, HITECH Act, and MRPA;

WHEREAS, Business Associate may receive such information from Covered Entity, or create, receive, maintain or transmit such information on behalf of Covered Entity, in order to perform certain of the services under the Underlying Agreement;

WHEREAS, the Parties desire to comply with health information privacy and security protections subsequent to the enactment of the HITECH Act, Subtitle D of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 which has established requirements for compliance with HIPAA. In particular, the requirements provide that: (1) Covered Entity give affected individuals notice of security breaches affecting their PHI, and Business Associate give notice to Covered Entity pursuant to the provisions below; (2) Business Associate comply with the HIPAA security regulations; and (3) additional and/or revised provisions be included in Business Associate Agreement;

WHEREAS, Under HIPAA and HITECH, Covered Entity is required to enter into protective agreements, generally known as "business associate agreements," with certain downstream entities that will be entrusted with HIPAA-protected health information;

WHEREAS, Health information is further protected by state law, including the MRPA; and

WHEREAS, Covered Entity wishes to ensure that Business Associate will appropriately safeguard Protected Health Information.

NOW THEREFORE, Covered Entity and Business Associate agree as follows:

1. Definitions. The Parties agree that the following terms, when used in this BAA, shall have the following meanings, provided that the terms set forth below shall be deemed to be modified to reflect any changes made to such terms from time to time as defined in HIPAA and the HIPAA Regulations and the MRPA. All capitalized terms used in this BAA but not defined below shall have the meaning assigned to them under the HIPAA Regulations.
 - a. "Breach" shall have the meaning given such term under 45 C.F.R. § 164.402 as such regulation is revised from time to time.

- b. “Breach of System Security” means unauthorized acquisition of computerized data that compromises the security, confidentiality, or integrity of Sensitive Personal Information maintained by a person, including data that is encrypted if the person accessing the data has the key required to decrypt the data.
- c. “Business Associate” means, with respect to a Covered Entity, a person who:
- 1) on behalf of such Covered Entity or of an Organized Health Care Arrangement (as defined under the HIPAA Regulations) in which the Covered Entity participates, but other than in the capacity of a member of the workplace of such Covered Entity or arrangement, creates, receives, maintains, or transmits PHI for a function or activity regulated by HIPAA, HIPAA Regulations, or MRPA including claims processing or administration, data analysis, processing or administration, utilization review, quality assurance, patient safety activities listed at 42 C.F.R. 3.20, billing, benefit management, practice management, and re-pricing; or
 - 2) provides, other than in the capacity of a member of the workforce of such Covered Entity, legal, actuarial, accounting, consulting, Data Aggregation, management, administrative, accreditation, or financial services to or for such Covered Entity, or to or for an Organized Health Care Arrangement in which the Covered Entity participates, where the provision of the service involves the disclosure of PHI from such Covered Entity or arrangement, or from another Business Associate of such Covered Entity or arrangement, to the person.
- d. “Data Aggregation” means, with respect to PHI created or received by Business Associate in its capacity as the Business Associate of Covered Entity, the combining of such PHI by Business Associate with the PHI received by Business Associate of another covered entity, to permit data analyses that relate to the health care operations of the respective covered entities.
- e. “HIPAA” means the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996, Public Law 104-191.
- f. “HIPAA Regulations” means the regulations promulgated under HIPAA by the United States Department of Health and Human Services, including, but not limited to, 45 C.F.R. Part 160 and 45 C.F.R. Part 164 subparts A and E (“The Privacy Rule”) and the Security Standards as they may be amended from time to time, 45 C.F.R. Parts 160, 162 and 164, Subpart C (“The Security Rule”).
- g. “HITECH Act” means the provisions of Division A, Title XIII of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009, known as The Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health, Act 42 U.S.C. §3000 et. seq., and implementing regulations and guidance, including the regulations implemented in 78 Fed. Reg. 5566 (January 25, 2013).
- h. “Individually Identifiable Health Information” means information that is a subset of health information, including demographic information collected from an individual, and:
- 1) is created or received by a health care provider, health plan, employer, or health care clearinghouse; and

2) relates to past, present, or future physical or mental health or condition of an individual; the provision of health care to an individual; or the past, present, or future payment for the provision of health care to an individual; and

a) that identifies the individual; or

b) with respect to which there is a reasonable basis to believe the information can be used to identify the individual.

i. “MRPA” means Texas Medical Records Privacy Act, as codified in Section 181 et seq. of the Texas Health and Safety Code and as implemented through regulations including the Standards Relating to the Electronic Exchange of Health Information, codified at Title 1, Section 390.1 et seq. of the Texas Administrative Code.

j. “Protected Health Information” or “PHI” means Individually Identifiable Health Information that is transmitted by electronic media; maintained in any medium described in the definition of the term electronic media in the HIPAA Regulations; or transmitted or maintained in any other form or medium. The term excludes Individually Identifiable Health Information in educational records covered by the Family Educational Right and Privacy Act, as amended, 20 U.S.C. § 1232g; records described at 20 U.S.C. § 1232g(a)(4)(B)(iv); and employment records held by a Covered Entity in its role as employer and regarding a person who has been deceased more than 50 years.

k. “Security Incident” means the attempted or successful unauthorized access, use, disclosure, modification, or destruction of information or interference with systems operations in an information system, but does not include minor incidents that occur on a routine basis, such as scans, “pings”, or unsuccessful random attempts to penetrate computer networks or servers maintained by Business Associate.

l. “Sensitive Personal Information” means: (1) an individual’s first name or first initial and last name in combination with any one or more of the following items, if the name and the items are not encrypted: (a) social security number; (b) driver’s license number or government-issued identification number; (c) account number or credit or debit card number in combination with any required security code, access, code, or password that would permit access to an individual’s financial account; or (2) PHI information that identifies an individual and relates to: (a) the physical or mental health or condition of the individual; (b) the provision of health care to the individual; or (c) payment for the provision of health care to the individual.

m. “Unsecured PHI” means PHI that is not rendered unusable, unreadable, or indecipherable to unauthorized individuals through the use of a technology or methodology specified in the guidance issued under Section 13402(h)(2) of the HITECH Act on the HHS web site.

2. Permitted Uses and Disclosures.

a. Compliance with Law. Covered Entity and Business Associate agree to comply with HIPAA, HIPAA Regulations, the HITECH Act, and the MRPA.

b. Performance of Services. Except as otherwise permitted by this BAA, Business Associate may create, receive, maintain or transmit PHI on behalf of Covered Entity only in connection with the performance of the services contracted for in the Underlying Agreement or as Required by Law (as that term is defined by 45 C.F.R. § 164.103).

c. Proper Management and Administration. Business Associate may use PHI it receives in its capacity as Covered Entity's Business Associate for the proper management and administration of Business Associate in connection with the performance of services in the Underlying Agreement, as permitted by this BAA or as Required by Law (as that term is defined by 45 C.F.R. § 164.103), and to carry out the legal responsibilities of Business Associate. Business Associate may also disclose Covered Entity's PHI for such proper management and administration of Business Associate and to carry out the legal responsibilities of Business Associate. Any such disclosure of PHI shall only be made in accordance with the terms of this BAA, including Section 5(c) if to an agent or subcontractor of Business Associate, and only if Business Associate obtains reasonable written assurances from the person to whom the PHI is disclosed that: (1) the PHI will be held confidentially and used or further disclosed only as required by law or for the purpose for which it was disclosed to the person, and (2) Business Associate will be notified by such person of any instances of which it becomes aware in which the confidentiality of the PHI has been breached.

d. Data Aggregation. Business Associate may use and disclose PHI received by Business Associate in its capacity as Covered Entity's business associate in order to provide Data Aggregation services relating to Covered Entity's health care operations only with Covered Entity's permission.

e. Business Associate may use and disclose de-identified health information if written approval from the Covered Entity is obtained, and the PHI is de-identified in compliance with the HIPAA Rules.

3. Nondisclosure.

a. As Provided in this BAA. Business Associate shall not use or further disclose Covered Entity's PHI other than as permitted or required by this BAA or as Required by Law (as that term is defined by 45 C.F.R. § 164.103).

b. Disclosures Required By Law. Business Associate shall not, without prior written consent of Covered Entity, disclose any PHI on the possibility that such disclosure is required by law without notifying, to the extent legally permitted, Covered Entity so that the Covered Entity shall have an opportunity to object to the disclosure and to seek appropriate relief. If Covered Entity objects to such a disclosure, Business Associate, shall, to the extent permissible by law, refrain from disclosing the PHI until Covered Entity has exhausted all alternatives for relief. Business Associate shall require reasonable assurances from persons receiving PHI in accordance with Section 2(c) that such persons will provide Covered Entity with similar notice and opportunity to object before disclosing PHI when a disclosure is required by law.

c. Additional Restrictions. If Covered Entity notifies Business Associate that Covered Entity has agreed to be bound by additional restrictions on the uses or disclosures of Covered Entity's PHI pursuant to HIPAA or the HIPAA Regulations, Business Associate shall be bound by such additional restrictions and shall not disclose Covered Entity's PHI in violation of such additional restrictions to the extent possible consistent with Business Associate's obligations set forth in the Underlying Agreement.

d. Restrictions Pursuant to Subject's Request. If Business Associate has knowledge that an individual who is the subject of PHI in the custody and control of Business Associate has requested restrictions on the disclosure of PHI, Business Associate must comply with the requested restriction if (a) the Covered Entity agrees to abide by the restriction; or (b) the

disclosure is to a health plan for purposes of carrying out payment or health care operations and the PHI pertains solely to a health care item or service for which Covered Entity has been paid out of pocket in full. If the use or disclosure of PHI in this BAA is based upon an Individual's specific authorization for the use or disclosure of his or her PHI, and the Individual revokes such authorization, the effective date of such authorization has expired, or such authorization is found to be defective in any manner that renders it invalid, Business Associate shall, if it has notice of such revocation, expiration, or invalidity, cease the use and disclosure of the Individual's PHI except to the extent it has relied on such use or disclosure, or if an exception under the Privacy Rule expressly applies.

e. Remuneration. Business Associate shall not directly or indirectly receive remuneration in exchange for disclosing PHI received from or on behalf of Covered Entity except as permitted by HITECH Act § 13405, the MRPA, and any implementing regulations that may be promulgated or revised from time to time.

f. Disclosure. Business Associate shall not use or disclose PHI in a manner that would violate Subpart E of 45 C.F.R. part 164, or MRPA, if done by the Covered Entity itself except as authorized under Section 2 of this BAA.

4. Minimum Necessary. Business Associate shall limit its uses and disclosures of, and requests for, PHI, to the minimum amount of PHI necessary to accomplish the intended purpose of the use, disclosure or request.

5. Additional Business Associate Obligations.

a. Safeguards. Business Associate shall use appropriate safeguards and comply with Subpart C of 45 C.F.R. 164 with respect to electronic PHI to prevent use or disclosure of the PHI other than as provided for by this BAA. Business Associate shall implement administrative, physical and technical safeguards that reasonably and appropriately protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of any paper or electronic PHI it creates, receives, maintains, or transmits on behalf of Covered Entity.

b. To the extent the Business Associate is to carry out one or more of Covered Entity's obligation(s) under the Privacy Rule, Business Associate shall comply with the requirements of the Privacy Rule that apply to the Covered Entity in the performance of the obligations.

c. Business Associate's Agents and Subcontractors.

1) Business Associate shall ensure that any agents and subcontractors to whom it provides PHI agree to only create, receive, maintain or transmit PHI on behalf of the Business Associate under the same restrictions that apply to Business Associate. Such agreement between Business Associate and subcontractor or agent must be in writing and must comply with the terms of this BAA and the requirements outlined at 45 C.F.R. §164.504(e)(2); 45 C.F.R. §164.502(e)(1)(ii); 45 C.F.R. §164.314; and 45 C.F.R. §164.308(b)(2). Additionally, Business Associate shall ensure agent or subcontractor agree to and implement reasonable and appropriate safeguards to protect PHI.

2) If Business Associate knows of a pattern of activity or practice of its subcontractor or agent that constitutes a material breach or violation of the agent or subcontractor's obligation under the contract or other arrangement, the Business Associate must take steps to cure the breach and end the violation and if such steps are not successful, must terminate the contract or arrangement if feasible. If it is not

feasible to terminate the contract, Business Associate must promptly notify the Covered Entity.

d. Reporting. Business Associate shall, as soon as practicable but not more than five (5) business days after becoming aware of any successful security incident or use or disclosure of Covered Entity's PHI or Sensitive Personal Information in violation of this BAA, report any such use or disclosure to Covered Entity. With the exception of law enforcement delays that satisfy the requirements under 45 C.F.R. § 164.412 or as otherwise required by applicable state law, Business Associate shall notify Covered Entity in writing without unreasonable delay and in no case later than ten (10) calendar days upon discovery of a Breach of Unsecured PHI or Breach of Security System. Such notice must include, to the extent possible, the name of each individual whose Unsecured PHI or Sensitive Personal Information has been, or is reasonably believed by Business Associate to have been, accessed, acquired, or disclosed during such breach. Business Associate shall also provide, to the extent possible, Covered Entity with any other available information that Covered Entity is required to include in its notification to individuals under 45 C.F.R. § 164.404(c) and Section 521.053, Texas Business & Commerce Code at the time of Business Associate's notification to Covered Entity or promptly thereafter as such information becomes available. For purposes of this BAA, a Breach of Unsecured PHI or Breach of Security System shall be treated as discovered by Business Associate as of the first day on which such breach is known to Business Associate (including any person, other than the individual committing the breach, who is an employee, officer, or other agent of Business Associate, as determined in accordance with the federal common law of agency) or should reasonably have been known to Business Associate following the exercise of reasonable diligence.

e. Mitigation. Business Associate shall have procedures in place to mitigate, to the maximum extent practicable, any deleterious effect from any Use or Disclosure (as defined by 45 C.F.R. §160.103).

f. Sanctions. Business Associate shall apply appropriate sanctions in accordance with Business Associate's policies against any employee, subcontractor or agent who uses or discloses Covered Entity's PHI in violation of this BAA or applicable law.

g. Covered Entity's Rights of Access and Inspection. From time to time upon reasonable notice, or upon a reasonable determination by Covered Entity that Business Associate has breached this BAA, Covered Entity may inspect the facilities, systems, books and records of Business Associate related to the use and disclosure of PHI received from, or created or received by Business Associate on behalf of Covered Entity or the safeguarding of such PHI to monitor compliance with this BAA. Business Associate shall document and keep current such security measures and safeguards and make them available to Covered Entity for inspection upon reasonable request including summaries of any internal or external assessments Business Associate performed related to such security controls and safeguards. The fact that Covered Entity inspects, or fails to inspect, or has the right to inspect, Business Associate's facilities, systems and procedures does not relieve Business Associate of its responsibility to comply with this BAA, nor does Covered Entity's (1) failure to detect or (2) detection but failure to require Business Associate's remediation of any unsatisfactory practices, constitute acceptance of such practice or a waiver of Covered Entity's enforcement or termination rights under this BAA. This Section shall survive termination of this BAA.

h. United States Department of Health and Human Services. Business Associate shall make its internal practices, books and records relating to the use and disclosure of PHI received from, or created or received by Business Associate on behalf of, Covered Entity available to the Secretary of the United States Department of Health and Human Services

for purposes of determining Covered Entity's compliance with HIPAA and the HIPAA regulations, provided that Business Associate shall promptly notify Covered Entity upon receipt by Business Associate of any such request for access by the Secretary of the United States Department of Health and Human Services, and shall provide Covered Entity with a copy thereof as well as a copy of all materials disclosed pursuant thereto, unless otherwise prohibited by law.

i. Training. Business Associate shall provide such training in the privacy and security of PHI to its Workforce (as that term is defined by 45 C.F.R. § 160.103) as is required for Business Associate's compliance with HIPAA, HIPAA Regulations, HITECH, and the MRPA.

6. Obligation to Provide Access, Amendment and Accounting of PHI.

a. Access to PHI. Business Associate shall make available to Covered Entity, in the time and manner designated by the Covered Entity, such information as necessary to allow Covered Entity to meet its obligations under the HIPAA Regulations, PHI contained in a Designated Record Set held by Business Associate as Covered Entity may require to fulfill Covered Entity's obligations to provide access to, and copies of, PHI in accordance with HIPAA and the HIPAA Regulations and MRPA. In the event that any individual requests access to PHI directly from Business Associate, Business Associate shall notify Covered Entity within five (5) business days that such request has been made.

b. Amendment of PHI. Business Associate shall make available to Covered Entity PHI contained in a Designated Record Set held by Business Associate as Covered Entity may require to fulfill Covered Entity's obligations to amend PHI in accordance with HIPAA and the HIPAA Regulations. In addition, Business Associate shall, as directed by Covered Entity, incorporate any amendments to Covered Entity's PHI into copies of such information maintained by Business Associate. In the event that any individual requests amendment of PHI directly from Business Associate, Business Associate shall forward such request to Covered Entity within five (5) business days.

c. Accounting of Disclosures of PHI.

1) Record of Disclosures. Business Associate shall maintain a record of all disclosures of PHI received from, or created or received by Business Associate on behalf of, Covered Entity, except for those disclosures identified in Section 6(c)(2) below, including the date of the disclosure, the name and, if known, the address of the recipient of the PHI, a brief description of the PHI disclosed, and the purpose of the disclosure which includes an explanation of the reason for such disclosure. Business Associate shall make this record available to Covered Entity upon Covered Entity's request. If Business Associate maintains records in electronic form, Business Associate shall account for all disclosures made during the period of three (3) years preceding the request. In the event that any individual requests an accounting of disclosures of PHI directly from Business Associate, Business Associate shall notify Covered Entity within five (5) business days that such request has been made and provide Covered Entity with a record of disclosures within ten (10) days of an individual's request. If the request from an individual comes directly to Covered Entity and Covered Entity notifies Business Associate that it requires information from Business Associate in order to respond to the individual, Business Associate shall make available to Covered Entity such information as Covered Entity may require within ten (10) days from the time of request by Covered Entity.

2) Certain Disclosures Need Not Be Recorded. The following disclosures need not be recorded:

- a) disclosures to carry out Covered Entity's treatment, payment and health care operations as defined under the HIPAA Regulations;
- b) disclosures to individuals of PHI about them as provided by the HIPAA Regulations;
- c) disclosures for Covered Entity's facility's directory, to persons involved in the individual's care, or for other notification purposes as provided by the HIPAA Regulations;
- d) disclosures for national security or intelligence purposes as provided by the HIPAA Regulations;
- e) disclosures to correctional institutions or law enforcement officials as provided by the HIPAA Regulations;
- f) disclosures that occurred prior to the later of (i) the Effective Date or (ii) the date that Covered Entity is required to comply with HIPAA and the HIPAA Regulations;
- g) disclosures pursuant to an individual's authorization in accordance with HIPAA and the HIPAA Regulations; and
- h) any other disclosures excepted from the right to an accounting by the HIPAA Regulations.

7. Material Breach, Enforcement and Termination.

a. Term. This BAA shall become effective on the Effective Date of the Underlying Agreement and shall continue unless or until this BAA terminates, the Underlying Agreement terminates, or the Business Associate has completed performance of the services in the Underlying Agreement, whichever is earlier.

b. Termination. Either Party may terminate this BAA:

- 1) immediately if the other Party is finally convicted in a criminal proceeding for a violation of HIPAA or the HIPAA Regulations;
- 2) immediately if a final finding or stipulation that the other Party has violated any standard or requirement of HIPAA or other security or privacy laws is made in any administrative or civil proceeding in which the other Party has been joined; or completed performance of the services in the Underlying Agreement, whichever is earlier.
- 3) pursuant to Sections 7(c) or 8(b) of this BAA.

c. Remedies. Upon a Party's knowledge of a material breach by the other Party, the non-breaching Party shall either:

1) provide an opportunity for the breaching Party to cure the breach and end the violation or terminate this BAA and the Underlying Agreement if the breaching Party does not cure the breach or end the violation within ten (10) business days or a reasonable time period as agreed upon by the non-breaching party; or

2) immediately terminate this BAA and the Underlying Agreement if cure is not possible.

d. Injunctions. Covered Entity and Business Associate agree that any violation of the provisions of this BAA may cause irreparable harm to Covered Entity. Accordingly, in addition to any other remedies available to Covered Entity at law or in equity, Covered Entity shall be entitled to seek an injunction or other decree of specific performance with respect to any violation of this BAA or explicit threat thereof, without any bond or other security being required and without the necessity of demonstrating actual damages.

e. Indemnification. This indemnification provision is enforceable against the Parties only to the extent authorized under the constitution and laws of the State of Texas. The Parties will indemnify, defend and hold harmless each other and each other's respective employees, directors, officers, subcontractors, agents or other members of its workforce, each of the foregoing hereinafter referred to as "indemnified party," against all actual and direct losses suffered by the indemnified party and all liability to third parties arising from or in connection with any breach of this BAA or of any warranty hereunder or from any negligence or wrongful acts or omissions, including failure to perform its obligations under MRPA, HIPAA, the HIPAA Regulations, and the HITECH Act by the indemnifying party or its employees, directors, officers, subcontractors, agents or other members of its workforce.

f. Breach of PHI and Breach of System Security. Business Associate will pay or reimburse Covered Entity for all costs and penalties incurred by Covered Entity in connection with any incident giving rise to a Breach of PHI and/or a Breach of System Security, including without limitation all costs related to any investigation, any notices to be given, reasonable legal fees, or other actions taken to comply with HIPAA, the HITECH Act, or any other applicable law or regulation, where (i) the PHI was in the custody or control of Business Associate when the Breach of PHI and/or Breach of System Security occurred, or (ii) the Breach of PHI and/or Breach of System Security was caused by the negligence or wrongful acts or omissions of Business Associate and its employees, directors, officers, subcontractors, agents or other members of its workforce.

8. General Provisions.

a. State Law. Nothing in this BAA shall be construed to require Business Associate to use or disclose PHI without written authorization from an individual who is a subject of the PHI, or written authorization from any other person, where such authorization would be required under state law for such use or disclosure.

b. Amendment. Covered Entity and Business Associate agree to enter into good faith negotiations to amend this BAA to come into compliance with changes in state and federal laws and regulations relating to the privacy, security and confidentiality of PHI. Covered Entity may terminate this BAA upon thirty (30) days written notice in the event that Business Associate does not promptly enter into an amendment that Covered Entity, in its sole discretion, deems sufficient to ensure that Covered Entity will be able to comply with such laws and regulations.

c. No Third Party Beneficiaries. Nothing express or implied in this BAA is intended or shall be deemed to confer upon any person other than Covered Entity, Business Associate, and their respective successors and assigns, any rights, obligations, remedies or liabilities.

d. Ambiguities. The Parties agree that any ambiguity in this BAA shall be resolved in favor of a meaning that complies and is consistent with applicable law protecting the privacy, security, and confidentiality of PHI, including, without limitation, MRPA, HIPAA, the HIPAA Regulations, and the HITECH Act.

e. Primacy. To the extent that any provision of this BAA conflicts with the provision of any other agreement or understanding between the Parties, this BAA shall control.

f. Destruction/Return of PHI. Business Associate agrees that, pursuant to 45 C.F.R. § 164.504(e)(2)(ii)(I), upon termination of this BAA or the Underlying Agreement, for whatever reason,

1) It will return or destroy all PHI, if feasible, received from or created or received by it on behalf of Covered Entity that Business Associate maintains in any form, and retain no copies of such information which for purposes of this BAA shall mean all backup tapes. Prior to doing so, Business Associate further agrees to recover any PHI in the possession of its subcontractors or agents. An authorized representative of Business Associate shall certify in writing to Covered Entity, within thirty (30) days from the date of termination or other expiration of the Underlying Agreement, that all PHI has been returned or disposed of as provided above and that Business Associate or its subcontractors or agents no longer retain any such PHI in any form.

2) If it is not feasible for Business Associate to return or destroy said PHI, Business Associate will notify the Covered Entity in writing. The notification shall include a statement that the Business Associate has determined that it is infeasible to return or destroy the PHI in its possession, and the specific reasons for such determination. Business Associate shall comply with the Security Rule and extend any and all protections, limitations and restrictions contained in this BAA to Business Associate's use and/or disclosure of any PHI retained after the termination of this BAA, and to limit any further uses and/or disclosures to the purposes that make the return or destruction of the PHI infeasible.

3) If it is infeasible for Business Associate to obtain, from a subcontractor or agent any PHI in the possession of the subcontractor or agent, Business Associate must provide a written explanation to Covered Entity and require the subcontractors and agents to agree to comply with the Security Rule and extend any and all protections, limitations and restrictions contained in this BAA to the subcontractors' and/or agents' use and/or disclosure of any PHI retained after the termination of this BAA, and to limit any further uses and/or disclosures to the purposes that make the return or destruction of the PHI infeasible.

g. Offshore Work. In performing the functions, activities or services for, or on behalf of Covered Entity, Business Associate shall not, and shall not permit any of its agents or subcontractors who receive Covered Entity's PHI to, transmit or make available any PHI to any entity or individual outside the United States without prior written consent of Covered Entity.

h. Integration. This BAA embodies and constitutes the entire agreement and understanding between the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and supersedes

all prior oral or written agreements, commitments and understandings pertaining to the subject matter hereof.

i. **Governing Law.** This BAA is governed by, and shall be construed in accordance with, applicable federal law and the laws of the State of Texas without regard to choice of law principles.

j. **Notices.** Any notices to be given hereunder to a Party shall be made via U.S. Mail or express courier to such Party's address given below, and/or (other than for the delivery of fees) via facsimile to the facsimile telephone numbers listed below.

If to Covered Entity:
The applicable U.T. Institution(s)'s Privacy Officer.

With copy to:
The University of Texas System Privacy Officer
Office of Systemwide Compliance

If to Business Associate: _____

Each Party named above may change its address and that of its representative for notice by the giving of notice thereof in the manner herein above provided.

k. **Privilege.** Notwithstanding any other provision in this BAA, this BAA shall not be deemed to be an agreement by Business Associate to disclose information that is privileged, protected, or confidential under applicable law to the extent that such privilege, protection or confidentiality (a) has not been waived or (b) is not superseded by applicable law.

APPENDIX THREE
HUB SUBCONTRACTING PLAN
(INCLUDED AS SEPARATE ATTACHMENT)

APPENDIX FOUR

ACCESS BY INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES

Contractor represents and warrants (**EIR Accessibility Warranty**) the electronic and information resources and all associated information, documentation, and support Contractor provides to University under this Agreement (**EIRs**) comply with applicable requirements set forth in [1 TAC Chapter 213](#), and [1 TAC §206.70](#) (ref. [Subchapter M, Chapter 2054, Government Code](#).) To the extent Contractor becomes aware that EIRs, or any portion thereof, do not comply with the EIR Accessibility Warranty, then Contractor represents and warrants it will, at no cost to University, either (1) perform all necessary remediation to make EIRs satisfy the EIR Accessibility Warranty or (2) replace EIRs with new EIRs that satisfy the EIR Accessibility Warranty. If Contractor fails or is unable to do so, University may terminate this Agreement and, within thirty (30) days after termination, Contractor will refund to University all amounts University paid under this Agreement.

APPENDIX FIVE

ELECTRONIC AND INFORMATION RESOURCES ENVIRONMENT SPECIFICATIONS

The specifications, representations, warranties and agreements set forth in Proposer's responses to this **APPENDIX FIVE** will be incorporated into the Agreement.

Basic Specifications

1. If the EIR will be hosted by University, please describe the overall environment requirements for the EIR (size the requirements to support the number of concurrent users, the number of licenses and the input/output generated by the application as requested in the application requirements).
 - A. Hardware: If Proposer will provide hardware, does the hardware have multiple hard drives utilizing a redundant RAID configuration for fault tolerance? Are redundant servers included as well?
 - B. Operating System and Version:
 - C. Web Server: Is a web server required? If so, what web application is required (Apache or IIS)? What version? Are add-ins required?
 - D. Application Server:
 - E. Database:
 - F. Other Requirements: Are any other hardware or software components required?
 - G. Assumptions: List any assumptions made as part of the identification of these environment requirements.
 - H. Storage: What are the space/storage requirements of this implementation?
 - I. Users: What is the maximum number of users this configuration will support?
 - J. Clustering: How does the EIR handle clustering over multiple servers?
 - K. Virtual Server Environment: Can the EIR be run in a virtual server environment?
2. If the EIR will be hosted by Proposer, describe in detail what the hosted solution includes, and address, specifically, the following issues:
 - A. Describe the audit standards of the physical security of the facility; and
 - B. Indicate whether Proposer is willing to allow an audit by University or its representative.
3. If the user and administrative interfaces for the EIR are web-based, do the interfaces support Firefox on Mac as well as Windows and Safari on the Macintosh?
4. If the EIR requires special client software, what are the environment requirements for that client software?
5. Manpower Requirements: Who will operate and maintain the EIR? Will additional University full time employees (FTEs) be required? Will special training on the EIR be required by Proposer's technical staff? What is the estimated cost of required training.
6. Upgrades and Patches: Describe Proposer's strategy regarding EIR upgrades and patches for both the server and, if applicable, the client software. Included Proposer's typical release schedule, recommended processes, estimated outage and plans for next version/major upgrade.

Security

1. Has the EIR been tested for application security vulnerabilities? For example, has the EIR been evaluated against the Open Web Application Security Project (**OWASP**) Top 10 list that includes flaws like cross site scripting and SQL injection? If so, please provide the scan results and specify the tool used. University will not take final delivery of the EIR if University determines there are serious vulnerabilities within the EIR.
2. Which party, Proposer or University, will be responsible for maintaining critical EIR application security updates?
3. If the EIR is hosted, indicate whether Proposer's will permit University to conduct a penetration test on University's instance of the EIR.
4. If confidential data, including HIPAA or FERPA data, is stored in the EIR, will the data be encrypted at rest and in transmittal?

Integration

1. Is the EIR authentication Security Assertion Markup Language (**SAML**) compliant? Has Proposer ever implemented the EIR with Shibboleth authentication? If not, does the EIR integrate with Active Directory? Does the EIR support TLS connections to this directory service?
2. Does the EIR rely on Active Directory for group management and authorization or does the EIR maintain a local authorization/group database?
3. What logging capabilities does the EIR have? If this is a hosted EIR solution, will University have access to implement logging with University's standard logging and monitoring tools, RSA's Envision?
4. Does the EIR have an application programming interface (**API**) that enables us to incorporate it with other applications run by the University? If so, is the API .Net based? Web Services-based? Other?
5. Will University have access to the EIR source code? If so, will the EIR license permit University to make modifications to the source code? Will University's modifications be protected in future upgrades?
6. Will Proposer place the EIR source code in escrow with an escrow agent so that if Proposer is no longer in business or Proposer has discontinued support, the EIR source code will be available to University.

Accessibility Information

Proposer must provide the following, as required by [1 TAC §213.38\(b\)](#):

1. Accessibility information for the electronic and information resources (**EIR**)¹ products or services proposed by Proposer, where applicable, through one of the following methods:
 - (A) URL to completed Voluntary Product Accessibility Templates (**VPATs**)² or equivalent reporting templates;
 - (B) accessible electronic document that addresses the same accessibility criteria in substantially the same format as VPATs or equivalent reporting templates; or
 - (C) URL to a web page which explains how to request completed VPATs, or equivalent reporting templates, for any product under contract; and
2. Credible evidence of Proposer's capability or ability to produce accessible EIR products and services. Such evidence may include, but is not limited to, Proposer's internal accessibility policy documents, contractual warranties for accessibility, accessibility testing documents, and examples of prior work results.

¹ Electronic and information resources are defined in [§2054.451, Government Code](#) and [1 TAC §213.1 \(6\)](#).

² Voluntary Product Accessibility Templates are defined in [1 TAC §213.1 \(19\)](#). For further information, see this [VPAT document](#) provided by the Information Technology Industry Council.

APPENDIX SIX

SECURITY CHARACTERISTICS AND FUNCTIONALITY OF CONTRACTOR'S INFORMATION RESOURCES

The specifications, representations, warranties and agreements set forth in Proposer's responses to this **APPENDIX SEVEN** will be incorporated into the Agreement.

"Information Resources" means any and all computer printouts, online display devices, mass storage media, and all computer-related activities involving any device capable of receiving email, browsing Web sites, or otherwise capable of receiving, storing, managing, or transmitting Data including, but not limited to, mainframes, servers, Network Infrastructure, personal computers, notebook computers, hand-held computers, personal digital assistant (PDA), pagers, distributed processing systems, network attached and computer controlled medical and laboratory equipment (i.e. embedded technology), telecommunication resources, network environments, telephones, fax machines, printers and service bureaus. Additionally, it is the procedures, equipment, facilities, software, and Data that are designed, built, operated, and maintained to create, collect, record, process, store, retrieve, display, and transmit information.

"University Records" means records or record systems that Proposer (1) creates, (2) receives from or on behalf of University, or (3) has access, and which may contain confidential information (including credit card information, social security numbers, and private health information (**PHI**) subject to Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (**HIPAA**) of 1996 (Public Law 104-191), or education records subject to the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (**FERPA**).

General Protection of University Records

1. Describe the security features incorporated into Information Resources (ref. **Section 5.3.4** of this RFP) to be provided or used by Proposer pursuant to this RFP.
2. List all products, including imbedded products that are a part of Information Resources and the corresponding owner of each product.
3. Describe any assumptions made by Proposer in its proposal regarding information security outside those already listed in the proposal.

Complete the following additional questions if the Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:

4. Describe the monitoring procedures and tools used for monitoring the integrity and availability of all products interacting with Information Resources, including procedures and tools used to, detect security incidents and to ensure timely remediation.
5. Describe the physical access controls used to limit access to Proposer's data center and network components.
6. What procedures and best practices does Proposer follow to harden all systems that would interact with Information Resources, including any systems that would hold or process University Records, or from which University Records may be accessed?
7. What technical security measures does the Proposer take to detect and prevent unintentional, accidental and intentional corruption or loss of University Records?
8. Will the Proposer agree to a vulnerability scan by University of the web portal application that would interact with Information Resources, including any systems that would hold or process University Records, or from which University Records may be accessed? If Proposer objects, explain basis for the objection to a vulnerability scan.
9. Describe processes Proposer will use to provide University assurance that the web portal and all systems that would hold or process University Records can provide adequate security of University Records.
10. Does Proposer have a data backup and recovery plan supported by policies and procedures, in place for Information Resources? If yes, briefly describe the plan, including scope and frequency of backups, and how often the plan is updated. If no, describe what alternative methodology Proposer uses to ensure the restoration and availability of University Records.
11. Does Proposer encrypt backups of University Records? If yes, describe the methods used by Proposer to encrypt backup data. If no, what alternative safeguards does Proposer use to protect backups against unauthorized access?
12. Describe the security features incorporated into Information Resources to safeguard University Records containing confidential information.

Complete the following additional question if Information Resources will create, receive, or access University Records containing PHI subject to HIPAA:

13. Does Proposer monitor the safeguards required by the HIPAA Security Rule (45 C.F.R. § 164 subpts. A, E (2002)) and Proposer's own information security practices, to ensure continued compliance? If yes, provide a copy of or link to the Proposer's HIPAA Privacy & Security policies and describe the Proposer's monitoring activities and the frequency of those activities with regard to PHI.

Access Control

1. How will users gain access (i.e., log in) to Information Resources?
2. Do Information Resources provide the capability to use local credentials (i.e., federated authentication) for user authentication and login? If yes, describe how Information Resources provide that capability.
3. Do Information Resources allow for multiple security levels of access based on affiliation (e.g., staff, faculty, and student) and roles (e.g., system administrators, analysts, and information consumers), and organizational unit (e.g., college, school, or department)? If yes, describe how Information Resources provide for multiple security levels of access.
4. Do Information Resources provide the capability to limit user activity based on user affiliation, role, and/or organizational unit (i.e., who can create records, delete records, create and save reports, run reports only, etc.)? If yes, describe how Information Resources provide that capability. If no, describe what alternative functionality is provided to ensure that users have need-to-know based access to Information Resources.
5. Do Information Resources manage administrator access permissions at the virtual system level? If yes, describe how this is done.
6. Describe Proposer's password policy including password strength, password generation procedures, password storage specifications, and frequency of password changes. If passwords are not used for authentication or if multi-factor authentication is used to Information Resources, describe what alternative or additional controls are used to manage user access.

Complete the following additional questions if Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:

7. What administrative safeguards and best practices does Proposer have in place to vet Proposer's and third-parties' staff members that would have access to the environment hosting University Records to ensure need-to-know-based access?
8. What procedures and best practices does Proposer have in place to ensure that user credentials are updated and terminated as required by changes in role and employment status?
9. Describe Proposer's password policy including password strength, password generation procedures, and frequency of password changes. If passwords are not used for authentication or if multi-factor authentication is used to Information Resources, describe what alternative or additional controls are used to manage user access.

Use of Data

Complete the following additional questions if Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:

1. What administrative safeguards and best practices does Proposer have in place to vet Proposer's and third-parties' staff members that have access to the environment hosting all systems that would hold or process University Records, or from which University Records may be accessed, to ensure that University Records will not be accessed or used in an unauthorized manner?
2. What safeguards does Proposer have in place to segregate University Records from system data and other customer data and/or as applicable, to separate specific University data, such as HIPAA and FERPA protected data, from University Records that are not subject to such protection, to prevent accidental and unauthorized access to University Records ?
3. What safeguards does Proposer have in place to prevent the unauthorized use, reuse, distribution, transmission, manipulation, copying, modification, access, or disclosure of University Records?
4. What procedures and safeguards does Proposer have in place for sanitizing and disposing of University Records according to prescribed retention schedules or following the conclusion of a project or termination of a contract to render University Records unrecoverable and prevent accidental and unauthorized access to University Records? Describe the degree to which sanitizing and disposal processes addresses University data that may be contained within backup systems. If University data contained in backup systems is not fully sanitized, describe processes in place that would prevent subsequent restoration of backed-up University data.

Data Transmission

1. Do Information Resources encrypt all University Records in transit and at rest? If yes, describe how Information Resources provide that security. If no, what alternative methods are used to safeguard University Records in transit and at rest?

Complete the following additional questions if Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:

2. How does data flow between University and Information Resources? If connecting via a private circuit, describe what security features are incorporated into the private circuit. If connecting via a public network (e.g., the Internet), describe the way Proposer will safeguard University Records.
3. Do Information Resources secure data transmission between University and Proposer? If yes, describe how Proposer provides that security. If no, what alternative safeguards are used to protect University Records in transit?

Notification of Security Incidents

Complete the following additional questions if Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:

1. Describe Proposer's procedures to isolate or disable all systems that interact with Information Resources in the event a security breach is identified, including any systems that would hold or process University Records, or from which University Records may be accessed.
2. What procedures, methodology, and timetables does Proposer have in place to detect information security breaches and notify University and other customers? Include Proposer's definition of security breach.
3. Describe the procedures and methodology Proposer has in place to detect information security breaches, including unauthorized access by Proposer's and subcontractor's own employees and agents and provide required notifications in a manner that meets the requirements of the state breach notification law.

Compliance with Applicable Legal & Regulatory Requirements

Complete the following additional questions if Information Resources will be hosted by Proposer:

1. Describe the procedures and methodology Proposer has in place to retain, preserve, backup, delete, and search data in a manner that meets the requirements of state and federal electronic discovery rules, including how and in what format University Records are kept and what tools are available to University to access University Records.
2. Describe the safeguards Proposer has in place to ensure that systems (including any systems that would hold or process University Records, or from which University Records may be accessed) that interact with Information Resources reside within the United States of America. If no such controls, describe Proposer's processes for ensuring that data is protected in compliance with all applicable US federal and state requirements, including export control.
3. List and describe any regulatory or legal actions taken against Proposer for security or privacy violations or security breaches or incidents, including the final outcome.]

APPENDIX SEVEN

**CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PARTIES
(Texas Ethics Commission Form 1295)**

This is a sample Texas Ethics Commission's FORM 1295 – CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PARTIES. Contractor must use the Texas Ethics Commission electronic filing web page (at https://www.ethics.state.tx.us/whatsnew/elf_info_form1295.htm) to complete the most current Certificate of Interested Parties form and submit the form as instructed to the Texas Ethics Commission and University. **The Certificate of Interested Parties will be submitted only by Contractor to University with the signed Agreement.**

CERTIFICATE OF INTERESTED PARTIES		FORM 1295	
Complete Nos. 1 - 4 and 6 if there are interested parties. Complete Nos. 1, 2, 3, 5, and 6 if there are no interested parties.		OFFICE USE ONLY	
1 Name of business entity filing form, and the city, state and country of the business entity's place of business.			
2 Name of governmental entity or state agency that is a party to the contract for which the form is being filed.			
3 Provide the identification number used by the governmental entity or state agency to track or identify the contract, and provide a description of the goods or services to be provided under the contract.			
4 Name of Interested Party	City, State, Country (place of business)	Nature of Interest (check applicable)	
		Controlling	Intermediary
5 Check only if there is NO Interested Party. <input type="checkbox"/>			
6 AFFIDAVIT I swear, or affirm, under penalty of perjury, that the above disclosure is true and correct.			
_____ Signature of authorized agent of contracting business entity			
AFFIX NOTARY STAMP / SEAL ABOVE			
Sworn to and subscribed before me, by the said _____, this the _____ day of _____, 20_____, to certify which, witness my hand and seal of office.			
_____ Signature of officer administering oath Printed name of officer administering oath Title of officer administering oath			
ADD ADDITIONAL PAGES AS NECESSARY			

APPENDIX EIGHT
INFORMATION SECURITY THIRD-PARTY ASSESSMENT SURVEY
(SEPARATE ATTACHMENT)

APPENDIX NINE

UT SYSTEM GUIDING PRINCIPLES

The University of Texas System

Guiding Principles for Coded/Abstracted Services

The University of Texas (UT) System and its health institutions are committed to ensuring their professional services documentation, coding, and billing activities are conducted in accordance with applicable law and regulations. The Guiding Principles described in this document are intended to establish a framework for billing compliance program activities at the UT System health institutions. These Principles are based on the U. S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) Office of Inspector General (OIG) Compliance Program for Individual and Small Physician Practices,¹ the OIG Compliance Program Guidance for Third-Party Medical Billing Companies,² and the OIG Supplemental Compliance Program Guidance for Hospitals.³ Neither the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) nor the OIG have published compliance program guidance specific to academic medical centers or to abstracted/coded professional services. Therefore, these Guiding Principles are not intended to set forth all of the UT System health institution policies, substantive programs, and practices designed to achieve billing compliance.

- 1. Each department or unit that uses coders/abstractors/computer-assisted coding software to code services should develop, with the assistance of the applicable UT System health institution compliance office, an appropriate monitoring plan to promote prevention, detection, and resolution of instances of conduct that do not conform to federal and state law, as well as to third-party payer (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, TriCare, managed care plans, etc.) program requirements. The monitoring plan should clearly indicate the frequency of conducting applicable reviews, as well as the method (or methods) to be used in selecting an appropriate review sample.*
- 2. There is no set formula established by the OIG or by CMS for determining how or when these reviews should be conducted. One available method is to review five or more submitted and paid claims per payer, or five to ten submitted and paid claims per coder/abstractor. Another effective method is to periodically identify and review risk areas or potential billing vulnerabilities, as well as the CPT, HCPCS, and/or ICD-10 codes associated with these risks or vulnerabilities.*
- 3. The larger the sample size reviewed, the greater the comfort level about the results of the review. If a risk-based approach is used by the UT System health institution to conduct its billing compliance reviews, the appropriate minimum sample size for the identified risk should be determined by the involved institution prior to initiating any review of the identified risk. The risks and benefits of including a larger sample size in the involved review (e.g., the ability of an external agency or entity to extrapolate review findings from the selected sample to the larger universe of affected claims) should be considered by the involved UT System health institution before initiating a review. Optimally, a random selection of submitted claims should be included in the review to ensure that the related coding and billing was performed accurately.*
- 4. Reviews, regardless of the formula or approach used, should be conducted on a schedule established by each UT System health institution and based on the institution's available resources and identified risks. If a hybrid (i.e., a combination of risk-based reviews and random reviews of involved coders/abstractors) review approach is applied by the UT System health institution, some risks or coders/abstractors may be reviewed more frequently than others. This is acceptable, as long as the established billing compliance program is being followed.*

5. *At least once each fiscal year, each UT System health institution should identify, prioritize, and formally document its real (based on previous internal review or external audit results) and perceived billing compliance risks (i.e., conduct a risk assessment) related to coded/abstracted services. A formal, documented billing compliance monitoring plan designed to address the identified risks should also be developed. The monitoring plan should be reviewed at least annually, and be periodically reviewed by the appropriate UT System health institution compliance committee(s). The formal, documented risk assessment and associated billing compliance monitoring plan should be made available to the UT System Office of Health Affairs and to UT System Office of Compliance and, as appropriate, shared with the UT System Medical Billing Compliance Advisory Committee.*
6. *Involved coders/abstractors should maintain a high-level of accuracy and consistency. The UT System health institutions should develop effective monitoring and corrective action programs to address identified deficiencies, with increasing levels of oversight, education/training, and/or discipline for coders/abstractors who do not consistently meet identified quality/accuracy benchmarks.*
7. *The results of monitoring activities/reviews should be shared with the involved coder/abstractor, as well as with other appropriate parties (e.g., the coder's/abstractor's direct supervisor, the company/entity responsible for developing and maintaining the involved computer-assisted coding software, etc.). Collectively, monitoring/review results should be periodically communicated through the appropriate channels to the appropriate compliance oversight committee(s).*
8. *For professional and/or hospital services that are abstracted by coders and/or by computer-assisted software, the guidance contained with the UT System Texas Guiding Principles for Professional Services and the UT System Guiding Principles for Hospital Services should also be followed.*
9. *If problems are identified as a result of the review process, the UT System health institution should determine whether a focused review should be conducted of a larger sample of involved claims/services. The System UT health institution should also determine whether identified problems or examples of non-compliance should be incorporated into existing billing compliance training and education programs.*
10. *Any identified overpayments should be returned to the payer and/or patient in a timely manner and in compliance with any applicable federal/state law or third-party payer requirement.*
11. *Ideally, qualified coding/billing staff and, when necessary (e.g., medical necessity determinations), medically-trained persons (e.g., nurse or physician) should be involved in the reviews.*
12. *To effectively and consistently respond to identified problems or to coders/abstractors whose coding habits are consistently non-compliant, a documented process outlining corrective actions and related procedures should be developed by each UT System health institution. Corrective actions and related procedures should be reviewed/approved by the institution's leadership. Appropriate disciplinary actions should be taken by UT System health institutions, when necessary.*
13. *Continuous billing compliance education/training efforts should be both proactive and reactive. Monitoring/review results can be an effective driver for any educational/training efforts instituted as part of the UT System health institution's compliance program.*
14. *A formal, documented quality assurance (QA) program should be implemented by each UT System health institution to ensure reviews are being performed consistently and accurately by all involved parties.*

¹ *Federal Register*, Vol. 65, No. 194, Thursday, October 5, 2000, Notices, pp. 59434 – 59452.

² *Federal Register*, Vol. 63, No. 243, Friday, December 18, 1998, Notices, pp. 70138 – 70152.

³ *Federal Register*, Vol. 70, No. 19, Monday, January 31, 2005, Notices, pp. 4858 – 4876.

The University of Texas System Guiding Principles for Hospital Services Billing

The University of Texas (UT) System and its health institutions are committed to ensuring their hospital services coding and billing activities are conducted in accordance with applicable law and regulations. The Guiding Principles described in this document are intended to establish a framework for billing compliance program activities by the UT System health institutions. These Principles are based on the U. S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) Office of Inspector General (OIG) Compliance Program Guidance for Hospitals,¹ as well as the OIG Supplemental Compliance Program Guidance for Hospitals.² Neither the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) nor the OIG have published compliance program guidance specific to academic medical centers. Therefore, these Guiding Principles are not intended to set forth all of the UT System health institution policies, substantive programs, and practices designed to achieve billing compliance.

1. *UT System health institutions should identify and focus compliance/review efforts on those areas of potential concern or risk that are most relevant to their individual institutions. Compliance measures, including ongoing monitoring activities, adopted by a UT System health institution to address identified risk areas should be tailored to fit the unique environment of the institution (including its structure, operations, resources, and prior enforcement experience).*
2. *A risk analysis or assessment for hospital services should be conducted at least annually. Risks initially identified in the analysis or assessment may be given higher priority, lowered in priority, suspended, or replaced, based on the UT System health institution's continuing analysis or as a result of new, emerging risks or vulnerabilities. The formal, documented risk analysis or assessment should be made available to the UT System Office of Health Affairs and to UT System Office of Compliance and, as appropriate, shared with the UT System Medical Billing Compliance Advisory Committee.*
3. *Effective auditing and monitoring plans will help the UT System health institutions avoid the submission of incorrect claims to third-party payers (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, TriCare, managed care plans, etc.). Detailed, annual monitoring plans designed to minimize the risks associated with improper claims and billing practices should be developed. The monitoring plan should be re-evaluated at least annually, and should address the identified areas of concern for the involved UT System health institution. The formal, documented monitoring plan should be made available to the UT System Office of Health Affairs and to UT System Office of Compliance and, as appropriate, shared with the UT System Medical Billing Compliance Advisory Committee.*
4. *Periodic reviews should be conducted to ensure the billing compliance program is being followed. The schedule for these reviews should be established by each UT System health institution and based on the institution's available resources and identified risks. The focus of each review should be identified through an appropriate risk analysis/assessment process.*
5. *The larger the sample size reviewed, the greater the comfort level about the results of the review. An appropriate minimum sample size for the identified risk should be determined by the involved institution prior to initiating any review of the identified risk. The risks and benefits of including a larger sample size in the involved review (e.g., the ability of an external agency or entity to extrapolate review findings from the selected sample to the larger universe of affected claims) should be considered by the involved UT System health institution before initiating a review.*

Optimally, a random selection of submitted claims should be included in the review to ensure that the related coding and billing was performed accurately.

6. *The role of all involved reviewers/analysts/auditors should be clearly established and formally documented. Reviewers/analysts/auditors should be qualified, with the requisite certifications, and independent (i.e., not directly involved in the charge capture or coding activity for the service or services being reviewed).*
7. *If problems are identified as a result of the review process, the involved UT System health institution should determine whether a focused review should be conducted of a larger sample of similar claims/services. The UT System health institution should also determine whether identified problems should be incorporated into the existing billing compliance training and education process.*
8. *Any identified overpayments should be returned to the involved payer and/or patient in a timely manner and in compliance with any applicable federal/state law or third-party payer requirement.*
9. *A documented process outlining corrective actions and related procedures should be developed by each UT System health institution and reviewed/approved by the institution's leadership. Appropriate disciplinary actions should be taken by the involved UT System health institution, when necessary.*
10. *Billing compliance review results should be shared with the appropriate hospital leadership or management, and also be communicated through the appropriate channels to the appropriate compliance oversight committee(s).*
11. *UT System health institutions should require appropriate personnel to attend specific and ongoing billing compliance training. Each UT System health institution should determine which training topics and delivery methods, as well as associated training schedules, would most help the institution achieve its billing compliance goals/objectives.*
12. *A formal, documented quality assurance (QA) program should be implemented by each UT System health institution to ensure reviews are being performed consistently and accurately by all involved parties/individuals.*

¹ *Federal Register*, Vol. 63, No. 35, Monday, February 23, 1998, Notices, pp. 8987 – 8998.

² *Federal Register*, Vol. 70, No. 19, Monday, January 31, 2005, Notices, pp. 4858 – 4876.

The University of Texas System

Guiding Principles for Professional Services Billing

The University of Texas (UT) System and its health institutions are committed to ensuring their professional services documentation, coding, and billing activities are conducted in accordance with applicable law and regulations. The Guiding Principles described in this document are intended to establish a framework for billing compliance program activities at the UT System health institutions. These Principles are based on the U. S. Department of Health and Human Services (DHHS) Office of Inspector General (OIG) Compliance Program for Individual and Small Physician Practices,¹ as well as the OIG Compliance Program Guidance for Third-Party Medical Billing Companies.² Neither the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) nor the OIG have published compliance program guidance specific to academic medical centers. Therefore, these Guiding Principles are not intended to set forth all of the UT System health institution policies, substantive programs, and practices designed to achieve billing compliance.

1. *Prior to initiating any billing activity, new billing providers (e.g., physicians, advanced practice providers, etc.) involved in generating claims to third-party payers (e.g., Medicare, Medicaid, TriCare, managed care plans, etc.) should receive initial billing compliance training. Once the initial training is completed, affected billing providers should regularly receive ongoing billing compliance training, as determined by each UT System health institution.*
 - a. *Conducting appropriate and timely training and education on practice standards and procedures related to documentation, coding, and billing is a basic component of a voluntary and effective compliance program.*
 - b. *Individuals who are directly involved with documentation, coding, or billing should receive extensive billing compliance education and training specific to that individual's responsibilities. The training provided to billing providers may differ from the training provided to coding and billing staff. Each UT System health institution should determine which training topics and delivery methods, as well as associated training schedules, would most help the institution achieve its billing compliance goals/objectives.*
 - c. *All employees, including billing providers, must understand that compliance is a condition of continued employment with and/or appointment by the involved UT System health institution.*
2. *Billing providers who are new to the involved UT System health institution pose an increased billing compliance risk. Therefore, an initial review should be performed for new billing providers within the first 90 days after the new billing provider has received his or her billing compliance training and has begun submitting claims/bills to third-party payers or patients. Any number of cases/encounters, up to ten, should be included in this initial review.*
3. *Following the initial review of new billing providers, periodic reviews should be conducted to ensure the billing compliance program is being followed. There is no set formula established by the OIG or by CMS for determining how or when these reviews should be conducted. One available method is to review five or more submitted and paid claims per third-party payer, or five to ten submitted and paid claims per billing provider. Another effective method is to periodically identify and review risk areas or potential billing vulnerabilities, as well as the CPT, HCPCS, and/or ICD-10 codes associated with these risks areas or potential vulnerabilities.*
4. *The larger the sample size reviewed, the greater the comfort level about the results of the review. If a risk-based approach is used by the UT System health institution to conduct its billing compliance reviews, the appropriate minimum sample size for the identified risk should be determined by the involved institution prior to initiating the review of the identified risk. The risks and benefits of including a larger sample size in the involved review (e.g., the ability by an external agency or entity to extrapolate review findings from the selected sample to a larger universe of affected claims) should be considered by the involved UT System health institution before initiating a review. Optimally, a random selection of submitted claims should be included in the review to ensure that the related coding and billing was performed accurately.*
5. *Reviews, regardless of the formula or approach used, should be conducted on a schedule established by each UT System health institution and based on the institution's available resources and identified risks. If a hybrid (i.e., a combination of risk-based reviews and random reviews of submitted/paid claims for each billing provider) review approach is used by the UT System health institution, some risks or providers may be reviewed more frequently than others. This is acceptable, as long as the established billing compliance program is being followed.*
6. *At least once each fiscal year, each UT System health institution should identify, prioritize, and formally document its real (based on previous internal review or external audit results) and perceived billing compliance risks (i.e., conduct a risk assessment). A formal, documented billing compliance monitoring plan designed to address the identified risks should also be developed. The monitoring plan should be reviewed at least annually, and be periodically reviewed by the appropriate UT System health institution compliance committee(s). The formal, documented risk assessment and associated billing compliance monitoring plan should be made available to the*

UT System Office of Health Affairs and to UT System Office of Compliance and, as appropriate, shared with the UT System Medical Billing Compliance Advisory Committee.

- 7. If problems are identified as a result of the review process, the UT System health institution should determine whether a focused review should be conducted of a larger sample of similar claims/services. The UT System health institution should also determine whether identified problems or examples of non-compliance should be incorporated into existing billing compliance training and education programs.*
- 8. Any identified overpayments should be returned to the payer and/or patient in a timely manner and in compliance with any applicable federal/state law or third-party payer requirement.*
- 9. Ideally, for both initial and ongoing reviews, qualified coding/billing staff and, when necessary (e.g., medical necessity determinations), medically-trained persons (e.g., nurse or physician) should be involved.*
- 10. To effectively and consistently respond to identified problems or to billing providers whose documentation, coding, or billing habits are consistently non-compliant, a documented process outlining corrective actions and related procedures should be developed by each UT System health institution. Corrective actions and related procedures should be reviewed/approved by the institution's leadership. Appropriate disciplinary actions should be taken by UT System health institutions, when necessary.*
- 11. Billing compliance review results should be shared with the involved billing provider, as well as with other appropriate parties (e.g., department/division chair, billing provider's direct supervisor, etc.).*

Collectively, review results should be periodically communicated through the appropriate channels to the appropriate compliance oversight committee(s).

- 12. A formal, documented quality assurance (QA) program should be implemented by each UT System health institution to ensure reviews are being performed consistently and accurately by all involved parties/individuals.*

¹ *Federal Register*, Vol. 65, No. 194, Thursday, October 5, 2000, Notices, pp. 59434 – 59452.

² *Federal Register*, Vol. 63, No. 243, Friday, December 18, 1998, Notices, pp. 70138 – 70152.

APPENDIX TEN

STANDARD SET OF REPORTS

1. E&M Coding Outliers: Established Patient – will measure the usage of “*established patient*” E&M codes at each institution to that of its peers to identify outliers.
 - Relationship to Risk
 - Increased claims rejections
 - Increased audit scrutiny from third party payers
 - Increased costs due to rework
 - Inaccuracy in workload reporting
 - Value of Report
 - Monitors the institution(s) use of “*established patient*” E&M codes
 - Ensures accurate reporting of case mix
 - Ensures accurate financial and health care policy reporting
 - Focuses on institution targeted clinical care.
 - Calculation/Algorithm
 - For each institution reporting encounter data, sums are calculated to identify the frequency of use for each for each level established patient E&M code reported and for all established E&M codes reported
 - Percentages are calculated for each level of established patient E&M code to reflect its utilization with respect to all E&M codes (e.g., taken together the percentages would equal 100 percent)
 - Essentially answering the question: Of all established patient E&M codes, what percent were the percent of an individual code.
 - The mean percentage of utilization and standard deviation from each E&M codes is calculated for each institution complexity group.
 - Data Sources
 - Patient Care Database
 - ✓ Work
 - ✓ Visit/Procedure Information
2. E&M Coding Outliers: New Patient – will measure the usage of “*new patient*” E&M codes at each institution to that of its peers to identify outliers.
 - Relationship to Risk
 - Increased claims rejections
 - Increased audit scrutiny from third party payers
 - Increased costs due to rework
 - Inaccuracy in workload reporting
 - Value of Report
 - Monitors the institution(s) use of “*new patient*” E&M codes
 - Ensures accurate reporting of case mix
 - Ensures accurate financial and health care policy reporting
 - Focuses on institution targeted clinical care.
 - Calculation/Algorithm
 - For each institution reporting encounter data, sums are calculated to identify the frequency of use for each for each level new patient E&M code reported and for all new E&M codes reported

- Percentages are calculated for each level of new patient E&M code to reflect its utilization with respect to all E&M codes (e.g., taken together the percentages would equal 100 percent)
 - Essentially answering the question: Of all new patient E&M codes, what percent were the percent of an individual code.
 - The mean percentage of utilization and standard deviation from each E&M codes is calculated for each institution complexity group.
 - Data Source(s)
 - Integrated Billing Claims Tracking
 - Encounters and CPT Codes
 - EHR/Billing Database
3. Institution Preventable Third Party Payment – measures the volume of “preventable” adjustments to billed charges and/or denials by third party payers.
- Relationship to Risk
 - Third Party Billing Accuracy
 - Adverse Audit Scrutiny
 - Inaccurate Financial Reporting
 - Value of Report
 - Monitors “preventable” third party claim and service level adjustments made to billed charges
 - Strengthens oversight of institution-based revenue cycle business processes
 - Calculation/Algorithm
 - Number of “preventable” claim-level and service level adjustments and/or denials by the institution. Time frame is the EDI payment transaction processed by an intermediary (ex. Novitas Solutions, Inc.) and non-Medicare payers during the previous fiscal quarter with a “preventable” claim adjustment reason code (CARC) (see table below) appended to the payment.
 - For example: *1st Quarter performance data released January 20, 2017 will contain EDI transactions processed during the period beginning September 1st and ending December 31, 2016, with a “preventable” CARC appended to the claim.*
 - Note potential CARCs listed below:
 - Data Source(s)
 - EDI Health Care Claim/Payment Advice Files

CARC Code	CARC Description
UT01	The procedure code/bill type is inconsistent with the place of service.
UT02	Exact duplicate claim/service.
UT03	The time limit for filing has expired.
UT04	Insured has no dependent coverage.
UT05	Claim/service not covered by this payer/contractor. Institution must send the claim/service to the correct payer/contractor.
UT06	Appeal procedures not followed or time limits not met.
UT07	Payment adjusted because the payer deems the information submitted does not support this many/frequency of services.
UT08	Payment is denied when performed/billed by this type of provider.
UT09	Precertification/authorization/notification absent.
UT10	Precertification/authorization exceeded.
UT11	Revenue code and Procedure code do not match.

UT12	This service/equipment/drug is not covered under the patient's current benefit plan.
------	--

4. Institution Reasons Not Billable Oversight – measures the number of outpatient encounters for which “preventable” Reasons Not Billable have been assigned.
- Relationship to Risk
 - Reasons Not Billable (RNB)
 - Value of Report
 - Identifies internal control weaknesses
 - Enables improved resource utilization
 - Detects opportunities for revenue generation
 - Calculation/Algorithm
 - Number of outpatient encounters from which “preventable” RNBs have been assigned. Time frame is previous quarter offset by two months based on date of the RNB.
 - For example: *First quarter performance data released on January 20, 2017 will contain RNBs assigned or edited during the period beginning August 1, 2016 and ending September 31, 2016. The months offset is to afford the institution field users time to assign new RNBs (see list below) or edit temporary RNBs to encounters.*
 - Data Source(s)
 - Integrated Billing Claims Tracking
 - Encounters and CPT Codes
 - EHR/Billing Database

UTRNB Code	Policy or Regulation
UTRNB01 – Resident Supervision Not Met	
UTRNB02 – Student Note Only	
UTRNB03 – Unsigned Document	
UTRNB04 – Date of Birth Mismatch	
UTRNB05 – Est Pt/No Exam/No Complexity	CMS 1995 Documentation Guidelines CMS 1997 Documentation Guidelines
UTRNB06 - Est Pt/No HX/No Complexity	CMS 1995 Documentation Guidelines CMS 1997 Documentation Guidelines
UTRNB07 - Est Pt/No HX/No Exam	CMS 1995 Documentation Guidelines CMS 1997 Documentation Guidelines
UTRNB08 – New Pt/No Complexity	
UTRNB09 – New Pt/No Exam	CMS 1995 Documentation Guidelines CMS 1997 Documentation Guidelines
UTRNB10 – New Pt/No HX	CMS 1995 Documentation Guidelines CMS 1997 Documentation Guidelines
UTRNB11 – No Chief Complaint	CMS 1995 Documentation Guidelines CMS 1997 Documentation Guidelines
UTRNB12 – No Diagnosis/Symptoms In Note	CMS 1995 Documentation Guidelines CMS 1997 Documentation Guidelines
UTRNB13 – No Documentation	
UTRNB14 – No Physician Order	
UTRNB15 – No Plan Of Care	
UTRNB16 – Note Not Written Timely	
UTRNB17 – Medical Necessity Other	
UTRNB18 – Prescription Not Released	

APPENDIX ELEVEN

CLOUD SECURITY QUESTIONNAIRE

Cloud Security Questionnaire		
Control Group	Assessment Questions	Response
Verification		
Independent Audits	How often do you conduct network penetration tests of your cloud service infrastructure?	
	How often do you conduct regular application penetration tests of your cloud infrastructure?	
	How often do you conduct internal audits?	
	Do you conduct external audits regularly as prescribed by industry best practices and guidance?	
	Are the results of the network penetration tests available to tenants at their request?	
	Are the results of internal and external audits available to tenants at their request?	
Third Party Audits	Will you permit UT to conduct vulnerability scans on hosted applications and your network?	
	Do you have external third-party conduct vulnerability scans and periodic penetration tests on your applications and networks?	
Audit Tools Access	How do you restrict, log, and monitor access to your information security management systems? (Ex. Hypervisors, firewalls, vulnerability scanners, network sniffers, APIs, etc.)	
Information System Regulatory Mapping	How do you ensure customer data is logically segmented so that data may be produced for a single tenant only, without inadvertently accessing another tenant's data?	
	Do you have the capability to logically segment and recover data for a specific customer in the case of a failure or data loss?	
Intellectual Property	Describe the controls you have in place to protect tenants intellectual property.	
Data Governance		
Ownership / Stewardship	Do you follow a structured data-labeling standard (ex. ISO 15489, Oasis XML Catalog Specification, CSA data type guidance)?	
Classification	Can you provide the physical location/geography of storage of a tenant's data upon request?	
	Do you allow tenants to define acceptable geographical locations for data routing or resource instantiation?	
Handling / Labeling / Security Policy	Are policies and procedures established for labeling, handling, and security of data and objects which contain data?	
Retention Policy	Describe technical control you have in place to enforce tenant data retention policies.	

Cloud Security Questionnaire

Control Group	Assessment Questions	Response
Secure Disposal	Describe your process for secure disposal or destruction of physical media and secure deletion or sanitization of all computer resources of UT data once UT has determined the hosted resources are no longer needed.	
Nonproduction Data	How do you ensure no production data is replicated or used in non-production environments?	
Information Leakage	Describe the controls in place to prevent data leakage or intentional/accidental compromise between tenants.	
	What Data Loss Prevention (DLP) or extrusion prevention solution is in place for all systems which interface with your cloud service offering?	
Facility Security		
Controlled Access Points	Are physical security perimeters (fences, walls, barriers, guards, gates, electronic surveillance, physical authentication mechanisms, reception desks, and security patrols) implemented?	
Unauthorized Persons Entry	How are ingress and egress points such as service areas and other points where unauthorized personnel may enter the premises, monitored, controlled or isolated from data storage and process?	
Asset Management	What are your procedures governing asset management and repurposing of equipment used to support UT hosted services or data?	
Human Resources Security		
Background Screening	Are state of residency and national fingerprint-based record checks conducted on employees or contractors who have access to UT's data, applications or the networks supporting UT's data and or applications?	
Employment Agreements	Do you specifically train your employees regarding their role vs. the tenant's role in providing information security controls?	
	Do you document employee acknowledgment of training they have completed?	
Employment Termination	Are roles and responsibilities for following performing employment termination or change in employment procedures assigned, documented, and communicated?	

Cloud Security Questionnaire

Control Group	Assessment Questions	Response
Information Security		
Management Program	Do you provide tenants with documentation describing your Information Security Management Program (ISMP)?	
Management Support / Involvement	Are policies in place to ensure executive and line management take formal action to support information security through clear documented direction, commitment, explicit assignment, and verification of assignment execution?	
Policy	Do your information security and privacy policies align with particular industry standards (ISO- 27001, ISO-22307, CoBIT, etc.)?	
	Do you have agreements which ensure your providers adhere to your information security and privacy policies?	
	Do you have the capability to continuously monitor and report the compliance of your infrastructure against your information security baselines?	
Policy Reviews	Do you notify your tenants when you make material changes to your information security and/or privacy policies?	
Policy Enforcement	Is a formal disciplinary or sanction policy established for employees who have violated security policies and procedures?	
	Are employees made aware of what action might be taken in the event of a violation and stated as such in the policies and procedures?	
User Access Policy	What controls do you have in place to ensure timely removal of systems access which is no longer required for business purposes?	
User Access Restriction / Authorization	Describe the process for granting and approving access to UT data or hosted services.	
User Access Revocation	Describe the process for timely de-provisioning, revocation or modification of user access to the UT data or hosted services upon any change in status of employees, contractors, customers, business partners, or third parties?	
User Access Reviews	Do you require at least annual certification of entitlements for all system users and administrators (exclusive of users maintained by your tenants)?	
	If users are found to have inappropriate entitlements, are all remediation and certification actions recorded?	

Cloud Security Questionnaire

Control Group	Assessment Questions	Response
Training / Awareness	Do you provide annually a formal security awareness training program for cloud-related access and data management issues for all persons with access to UT data or hosted services?	
	Do you benchmark your security controls against industry standards?	
Segregation of Duties	How do you maintain segregation of duties within your cloud service offering?	
Encryption	Do you have the capability to allow creation of unique encryption keys per tenant?	
	Do you support tenant generated encryption keys or permit tenants to encrypt data to an identity without access to a public key certificate. (e.g. Identity based encryption)?	
	What encryption method and level of encryption is applied to UT's data at rest and does it meet FIPS 140-2?	
	For UT data in transport, what encryption level is applied and is the cryptographic module FIPS 140-2 certified.	
Encryption Key Management	Describe your key management procedures.	
Vulnerability / Patch Management	Describe your patch management process.	
Antivirus / Malicious Software	Do you have anti-malware programs installed on all systems which support UT hosted services and data?	
	How do you ensure that security threat detection systems which use signatures, lists, or behavioral patterns are updated across all infrastructure components which support UT's hosted services and data?	
Incident Management	Do you have a documented security incident response plan?	
	Do you have processes for handling and reporting of security incidents that include preparation, detection, analysis, containment, eradication, and recovery?	
	What steps are taken to ensure all employees are made aware of the incident reporting procedures?	
Incident Reporting	Does your security information and event management (SIEM) system merge data sources (app logs, firewall logs, IDS logs, physical access logs, etc.) for granular analysis and alerting?	

Cloud Security Questionnaire

Control Group	Assessment Questions	Response
Network Monitoring	List the tools used to monitor network events, detect attacks, and provide identification of unauthorized use.	
Source Code Access Restriction	Describe the controls in place to prevent unauthorized access to your application, program or object source code, and assure it is restricted to authorized personnel only.	
Utility Programs Access	How are utilities that can significantly manage virtualized partitions (ex. shutdown, clone, etc.) appropriately restricted and monitored?	
Release Management		
Production Changes	Do you have documented change management procedures?	
Quality Testing	Do you provide your tenants with documentation which describes your quality assurance process?	
Outsourced Development	Do you have controls in place to ensure that standards of quality are being met for all software development?	
	Do you have controls in place to detect source code security defects for any outsourced software development activities?	
Unauthorized Software Installations	What controls do you have in place to restrict and monitor the installation of unauthorized software onto your systems?	
Resiliency		
Business Continuity Testing	Are policy, process, and procedures defining business continuity and disaster recovery in place to minimize the impact of a realized risk event?	
	Are business continuity plans subject to test at planned intervals or upon significant organizational or environmental changes to ensure continuing effectiveness?	
Equipment Power Failures	How are Security mechanisms and redundancies implemented to protect equipment from utility service outages (e.g., power failures, network disruptions, etc.)?	

Cloud Security Questionnaire

Control Group	Assessment Questions	Response
Security Architecture		
Customer Access Requirements	Are all identified security, contractual, and regulatory requirements for customer access contractually addressed and remediated prior to granting customers access to data, assets, and information systems?	
User ID Credentials	Do you support use of, or integration with, existing customer-based Single Sign On (SSO) solutions to your service?	
Password	Describe password requirements	
Application Security	Do you utilize an automated source-code analysis tool to detect code security defects prior to production?	
Data Integrity	Are data input and output integrity routines (i.e., reconciliation and edit checks) implemented for application interfaces and databases to prevent manual or systematic processing errors or corruption of data?	
Remote User Multifactor Authentication	Describe multi-factor authentication method required for all remote user access.	
Segmentation	Are system and network environments logically separated to ensure protection and isolation of sensitive data?	
Wireless Security	Are policies and procedures established and mechanisms implemented to protect network environment perimeter and configured to restrict unauthorized traffic?	
	Are policies and procedures established and mechanisms implemented to ensure proper security settings enabled with strong encryption for authentication and transmission, replacing vendor default settings? (e.g., encryption keys, passwords, SNMP community strings, etc.)	
	Are policies and procedures established and mechanisms implemented to protect network environments and detect the presence of unauthorized (rogue) network devices for a timely disconnect from the network?	
Clock Synchronization	Do you utilize a synchronized time-service protocol (ex. NTP) to ensure all systems have a common time reference?	
Audit Logging / Intrusion Detection	What file integrity controls and network intrusion detection (IDS) tools are deployed to help facilitate timely detection, investigation by root cause analysis, and response to incidents?	
	Is Physical and logical user access to audit logs restricted to authorized personnel?	

Cloud Security Questionnaire

Control Group	Assessment Questions	Response
Risk Management		
Program	Is your organization insured by a 3rd party for losses?	
	Do your organization's service level agreements provide tenant remuneration for losses they may incur due to outages or losses experienced within your infrastructure?	
Assessments	Are formal risk assessments aligned with the enterprise-wide framework and performed at least annually, or at planned intervals, determining the likelihood and impact of all identified risks, using qualitative and quantitative methods?	
	Is the likelihood and impact associated with inherent and residual risk determined independently, considering all risk categories (e.g., audit results, threat and vulnerability analysis, and regulatory compliance)?	
Mitigation / Acceptance	Are risks mitigated to acceptable levels based on company-established criteria in accordance with reasonable resolution time frames?	
	Is remediation conducted at acceptable levels based on company-established criteria in accordance with reasonable time frames?	
Business / Policy Change Impacts	Do risk assessment results include updates to security policies, procedures, standards, and controls to ensure they remain relevant and effective?	
Third Party Access	Do you provide multi-failure disaster recovery capability?	
	Do you monitor service continuity with upstream providers in the event of provider failure?	
	Do you have more than one provider for each service you depend on?	
	Do you provide access to operational redundancy and continuity summaries which include the services on which you depend?	
	Do you provide the tenant the ability to declare a disaster?	
	Do you provided a tenant triggered failover option?	
	Do you share your business continuity and redundancy plans with your tenants?	

THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS SYSTEM ADMINISTRATION

OCTOBER 1, 2016

APPENDIX III

POLICY ON UTILIZATION

HISTORICALLY UNDERUTILIZED BUSINESSES

VENDOR/COMMODITIES



**The University of Texas System Office
of HUB Programs
Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs)**

Contents

• Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUB)s	Page 3
• Summary of Requirements/Historically Underutilized Business (HUB) Subcontracting Plan (HSP)	Pages 4-6
• Summary of Attachments required from Respondents	Page 7
• Letter of Transmittal	Page 8
• Letter of HUB Commitment (indefinite duration/indefinite quantity contracts)	Page 9
• HSP Quick Checklist	Page 10
• HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP)	Page 11-18
○ Section 2 – Good Faith Effort Subcontractor Selection	Page 12
○ Self-Performance Justification	Page 14
○ HSP Good Faith Effort Method A (Attachment A)	Page 15
○ HSP Good Faith Effort Method B (Attachment B)	Page 16-17
• HUB Subcontracting Opportunity Notification Form Minority and Trade Organizations contact information is available online at: https://www.comptroller.texas.gov/purchasing/vendor/hub/resources.php	Page 18
• HUB Subcontracting Plan Prime Contractor Progress Assessment Report (PAR) (Required of successful respondent for payment requests only)	Page 19

The University of Texas System
Office of HUB Programs

POLICY ON UTILIZATION OF HISTORICALLY UNDERUTILIZED BUSINESSES (HUBS)

Introduction

In accordance with the Texas Government Code, Sections 2161.181-182 and Title 34, Section 20.13 of the Texas Administrative Code (TAC), the Board of Regents of The University of Texas System, acting through the Office of HUB Development shall make a good faith effort to utilize Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs) in contracts for construction services, including professional and consulting services; and commodities contracts. The HUB Rules promulgated by the Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts (the "Texas Comptroller"), set forth in 34 TAC Sections 20.10-20.28, encourage the use of HUBs by implementing these policies through race, ethnic and gender-neutral means.

The purpose of the HUB Program is to promote full and equal business opportunities for all business in State contracting in accordance with the following goals as specified in the State of Texas Disparity Study:

- 11.2% for heavy construction other than building contracts;
- 21.1% for all building construction, including general contractors and operative builder's contracts;
- 32.9% for all special trade construction contracts;
- 23.7% for professional services contracts;
- 26% for all other services contracts, and
- 21.1% for commodities contracts.

The University of Texas System shall make a good faith effort to meet or exceed the above stated goals to assist HUBs in receiving a portion of the total contract value of all contracts that UT System expects to award in a fiscal year. The University of Texas System may achieve the annual program goals by contracting directly with HUBs or indirectly through subcontracting opportunities in accordance with the Texas Government Code, chapter 2161, Subchapter F.

NOTE: The goals above are the State of Texas HUB goals. For purposes of this procurement, The University of Texas System goals listed in the Special Instructions on page 11 will apply.

SUMMARY OF REQUIREMENTS
Historically Underutilized Business (HUBs) Subcontracting Plan (HSP)

It is the policy of The University of Texas System and each of its component institutions, to promote and encourage contracting and subcontracting opportunities for Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs) in all contracts. Accordingly, UT System has adopted “**EXHIBIT H, Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses**”. The policy applies to all contracts with an expected value of \$100,000 or more. The Board of Regents of The University of Texas System is the contracting authority.

1. In all contracts for professional services, contracting services, and/or commodities with an expected value of \$100,000 or more, The University of Texas System, “UT System” or the “University” will indicate in the purchase solicitation (e.g. RFQ, RFP, or CSP) whether or not subcontracting opportunities are probable in connection with the contract. A HUB Subcontracting Plan is a required element of the architect, contractor or vendor Response to the purchase solicitation. The HUB Subcontracting Plan shall be developed and administered in accordance with the Policy. **Failure to submit a required HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) will result in rejection of the Response.**
2. If subcontracting opportunities are probable UT System will declare such probability in its invitations for bids, requests for proposals, or other purchase solicitation documents, and shall require submission of the appropriate HUB Subcontracting Plan with the Response.
 - a. When subcontracting opportunities are probable, and the Respondent proposes to subcontract any part of the work, the Respondent shall submit a **HUB Subcontracting Plan as prescribed by the Texas Comptroller** identifying subcontractors **[34 TAC §20.14 (d) (1)(A)(B)(C)(D) (2) (3)(A)(B)(C)(D)(E)(F) (4)(A)(B)]**.
 - b. When subcontracting opportunities are probable, but the Respondent can perform such opportunities with its employees and resources, the Respondent’s HUB Subcontracting Plan shall include **Section 3 –Self Performance [34 TAC §20.14 (d) (5) (A) (B) (C) (D)]**.
3. If subcontracting opportunities are not probable UT System will declare such probability in its invitations or bids, requests for proposals, or other purchase solicitation documents and shall require submission of the appropriate HUB Subcontracting Plan with the Response.
 - a. When subcontracting opportunities are not probable, and the Respondent proposes to perform all the work with its employees and resources, the Respondent shall submit a HUB Subcontracting Plan that includes **Section 3 – Self Performance Justification**.
 - b. When subcontracting opportunities are not probable, but the Respondent proposes to subcontract any part of the work, the Respondent shall submit a **HUB Subcontracting Plan as prescribed by the Texas Comptroller** identifying subcontractors.
4. Respondents shall follow, but are not limited to, procedures listed in the Policy when developing a HUB Subcontracting Plan.
5. **Competitive Sealed Proposals (CSP):** Respondents shall submit a HUB Subcontracting Plan (packaged separately) twenty-four (24) hours following the Response submission date and time or as prescribed by the project manager. Submit one (1) original and one (1) PDF copy on CD, DVD, or flash drive of the HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP).
6. In making a determination whether a good faith effort has been made in the development of the required HUB Subcontracting Plan, UT System shall follow the procedures listed in the Policy. If accepted by the

University, the HUB Subcontracting Plan shall become a provision of the Respondent’s contract with UT System.

Revisions necessary to clarify and enhance information submitted in the original HUB subcontracting plan may be made in an effort to determine good faith effort. Any revisions after the submission of the HSP shall be approved by the HUB Coordinator.

7. **Design Build (DB) and Construction Manager @ Risk (CM@R) responses:** Respondents to a “design build” or “construction manager-at-risk” purchase solicitation shall include the Letter of HUB Commitment in their Response attesting that the Respondent has read and understands the Policy on Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs), and a HUB Subcontracting Plan for all preconstruction and construction services including a HUB Subcontracting Plan as prescribed by the Texas Comptroller specific to construction services identifying first, second and third tier subcontractors. Respondents proposing to perform Part 1 pre construction services with their own resources and employees shall submit, as part of their HSP, the Self Performance Justification. Submit one (1) original and one (1) PDF copy on CD, DVD, or flash drive of the HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP).
8. **DB and CM@R HUB Contract Requirements:** Contractors engaged under design-build and construction manager-at-risk contracts shall submit a HUB Subcontracting Plan for all preconstruction and construction Phase Services, and, must further comply with the requirements of this Policy by developing and submitting a HUB Subcontracting Plan for each bid package issued in buying out the guaranteed maximum or lump sum price of the project. The HSP shall identify first, second and third tier subcontractors.
9. The University of Texas System shall reject any Response that does not include a fully completed HSP as required. **An incomplete HUB Subcontracting Plan is considered a material failure to comply with the solicitation for proposals.**
10. **Changes to the HUB Subcontracting Plan:** Once a Respondent’s HSP is accepted by UT System and becomes a provision of the contract between Respondent and UT System, the Respondent can only change that HSP if (a) the Respondent complies with 34 TAC Section 20.14; (b) the Respondent provides its proposed changes to UT System for review; (c) UT System (including UT System’s HUB Coordinator) approves Respondent’s proposed changes to its HSP; and (d) UT System and the Respondent amend their contract (in writing signed by authorized officials of both parties) in order to replace the contract’s existing HSP with a revised HSP containing the changes approved by UT System.
11. **Expansion of Work:** If, after entering into a contract with a Respondent as a result of a purchase solicitation subject to the Policy, UT System wishes to expand the scope of work that the Respondent will perform under that contract through a change order or any other contract amendment (the “additional work”), UT System will determine if the additional work contains probable subcontracting opportunities not identified in the initial purchase solicitation for that contract. If UT System determines that probable subcontracting opportunities exist for the additional work, then the Respondent must submit to UT System an amended HUB Subcontracting Plan covering those opportunities that complies with the provisions of 34 TAC Section 20.14. Such an amended HSP must be approved by UT System and the Respondent (including UT System’s HUB Coordinator) before (a) the contract may be amended by UT System and the Respondent to include the additional work and the amended HSP and (b) the Respondent performs the additional work. If a Respondent subcontracts any of the additional subcontracting opportunities identified by UT System for any additional work (i) without complying with 34 TAC Section 20.14 or (ii) before UT System and that Respondent amend their contract to include a revised HSP that authorizes such subcontracting, then the Respondent will be deemed to be in breach of its contract with UT System. As a result of such breach, UT System will be entitled to terminate its contract with the Respondent, and the Respondent will be subject to any remedial actions provided by Texas law, including those set forth in Chapter 2161, Texas Government Code, and 34 TAC

Section 20.14. The University may report a Respondent’s nonperformance under a contract between that Respondent and UT System to the Texas Comptroller in accordance with 34 TAC Sections 20.10 through 20.18.

12. A Response may state that the Respondent intends to perform all the subcontracting opportunities with its own employees and resources in accordance with the Policy. However, if such a Respondent enters into a contract with UT System as a result of such a Response but later desires to subcontract any part of the work set forth in that contract, before the Respondent subcontracts such work it must first change its HUB Subcontracting Plan in accordance with the provisions of Section 10 above.
13. The University of Texas System shall require a professional services firm, contractor or vendor to whom a contract has been awarded to report the identity and the amount paid to its subcontractors on a monthly basis using a **HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) Prime Contractor Progress Assessment Report (PAR)** as a condition for payment.
14. If the University of Texas System determines that the successful Respondent failed to implement an approved HUB Subcontracting Plan in good faith, UT System, in addition to any other remedies, may report nonperformance to the Texas Comptroller in accordance with 34 TAC Section 20.14, (g) (1) related remedies of nonperformance to professional services firms, contractor and vendor implementation of the HSP.
15. In the event of any conflict between this “Summary of Requirements” and the remainder of the HUB Policy, the remainder of the HUB Policy will control.
16. These requirements, including the attachments referred to above, may be downloaded over the internet from: <http://utsystem.edu/offices/historically-underutilized-business/hub-forms>. For additional information contact: The University of Texas System
Office of HUB Programs
201 West Sixth Street, Room B.140E
Austin, TX 78701
(512) 499-4530

**Other Services/Vendor/Commodities HSP
Summary of Attachments Required from Respondents**

	Letter of Transmittal Page 8	Letter of HUB Commitment Page 9	HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) Pages 11-18	Progress Assessment Report (PAR) Page 19
1. UT SYSTEM DETERMINES THAT SUBCONTRACTING OPPORTUNITIES ARE PROBABLE.				
1. A. Respondent Proposes Subcontractors: Attachments required from the Respondent for the HUB Subcontracting Plan if the solicitation states that <u>subcontracting opportunities are probable</u> .	X		X	
1. B. Respondent Proposes Self-Performance: Attachments required from the Respondent for the HUB Subcontracting Plan if the solicitation states that <u>subcontracting opportunities are probable</u> , but the Respondent can perform such opportunities with its employees and resources.	X		X	
2. UT SYSTEM DETERMINES THAT SUBCONTRACTING OPPORTUNITIES ARE NOT PROBABLE.				
2. A. Respondent Proposes Self-Performance: Attachments required from the Respondent for the HUB Subcontracting Plan if the solicitation states that <u>subcontracting opportunities are not probable</u> , but the Respondent can perform such opportunities with its employees and resources.	X		X	
2. B. Respondent Proposes Subcontractors: Attachments required from the Respondent for the HUB Subcontracting Plan if the solicitation states that <u>subcontracting opportunities are not probable</u> , but the Respondent proposes to subcontract any part of the work.	X		X	
3. INDEFINITE DURATION/INDEFINITE QUANTITY CONTRACTS: Submit with initial qualifications. Attachments required from the Respondent prior to contract execution for each contract associated with a solicitation for miscellaneous services.		X	X	
4. CHANGES IN THE HUB SUBCONTRACTING PLAN AFTER AWARD: Attachments required from the Respondent to whom a contract has been awarded if it desires to make changes to the approved HUB Subcontracting Plan.			X	
5. REPORTING : Progress Assessment Report (PAR) required with all payment requests. The submittal of this attachment is a condition of payment.				X

(RESPONDENT’S BUSINESS LETTERHEAD)

Date

Regional HUB Coordinator
Office of HUB Programs
The University of Texas System
201 West Sixth St., Room B.140E
Austin, Texas 78701

RE: Historically Underutilized Business Plan for
(Project Title): _____
Project Number _____

Dear ,

In accordance with the requirements outlined in the specification section “HUB Participation Program,” I am pleased to forward this HUB Subcontracting Plan as an integral part of our response in connection with your invitation for Request for Proposals referencing the above project.

I have read and understand The University of Texas System Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs). I also understand the State of Texas Annual Procurement Goal according to 34 Texas Administrative Code Section 20.13, and the goal as stated in the Agency Special Instructions section of the HUB Subcontracting Plan, page 11.

26% for all other services contracts

Subcontractors	No. of Subcontractors	Total Subcontract \$ Value	Total Estimated HUB %	% Minority Owned	% Woman Owned	% Service Disabled Veteran
HUB						
NON-HUB						
TOTAL						

I understand the above HUB percentages must represent Texas Comptroller HUB certification standards. For each of the listed HUB firms, I have attached a Texas Comptroller HUB Certification document.

Should we discover additional subcontractors claiming Historically Underutilized Business status during the course of this contract we will notify you of the same. In addition, if for some reason a HUB is unable to fulfill its contract with us, we will notify you immediately in order to take the appropriate steps to amend this contractual obligation.

Sincerely,

(Project Executive)

cc: Contract Administrator

RESPONDENT'S BUSINESS LETTERHEAD

Date

Regional HUB Coordinator
Office of HUB Programs
The University of Texas System
201 West Sixth St., Room B.140E
Austin, Texas 78701

RE: Historically Underutilized Business Plan for (Project Title _____
Project Number: _____

Dear :

In accordance with the requirements outlined in the specification section "HUB Participation Program", I am pleased to forward this HUB Subcontracting Plan as an integral part of our proposal in connection with your invitation for request for proposals, referencing Project Number _____.

I have read and understand The University of Texas System Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs).

Good Faith Effort will be documented by a two-part HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) process. Part one (1) of the HSP submission will reflect self-performance with the appropriate sections completed per the instructions in Option One of the HSP Quick Checklist located on page 10 of The University of Texas Exhibit H Policy on Utilization of Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs).

As the scope of work/project is defined under this ID/IQ contract, part two (2) of the process will require a revised HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) and the Good Faith Effort will be documented per instructions in Attachment B (page 16-17) and Option Three of the HSP Quick Check List. The revised HUB Subcontracting Plan will be submitted to the HUB Coordinator prior to execution of each contract process. Documentation of subcontracted work will be provided with each pay request.

Sincerely,

Project Executive

cc: Project Manager



HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) QUICK CHECKLIST

While this HSP Quick Checklist is being provided to merely assist you in readily identifying the sections of the HSP form that you will need to complete, it is very important that you adhere to the instructions in the HSP form and instructions provided by the contracting agency.

- **If you will be awarding all of the subcontracting work you have to offer under the contract to only Texas certified HUB vendors, complete:**
 - Section 1 - Respondent and Requisition Information
 - Section 2 a. - Yes, I will be subcontracting portions of the contract.
 - Section 2 b. - List all the portions of work you will subcontract, and indicate the percentage of the contract you expect to award to Texas certified HUB vendors.
 - Section 2 c. - Yes
 - Section 4 - Affirmation
 - GFE Method A (Attachment A) - Complete an Attachment A for each of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in Section 2 b.
- **If you will be subcontracting any portion of the contract to Texas certified HUB vendors and Non-HUB vendors, and the aggregate percentage of all the subcontracting work you will be awarding to the Texas certified HUB vendors with which you do not have a continuous contract* in place for more than five (5) years meets or exceeds the HUB Goal the contracting agency identified in the "Agency Special Instructions/Additional Requirements", complete:**
 - Section 1 - Respondent and Requisition Information
 - Section 2 a. - Yes, I will be subcontracting portions of the contract.
 - Section 2 b. - List all the portions of work you will subcontract, and indicate the percentage of the contract you expect to award to Texas certified HUB vendors and Non-HUB vendors.
 - Section 2 c. - No
 - Section 2 d. - Yes
 - Section 4 - Affirmation
 - GFE Method A (Attachment A) - Complete an Attachment A for each of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in Section 2 b.
- **If you will be subcontracting any portion of the contract to Texas certified HUB vendors and Non-HUB vendors or only to Non-HUB vendors, and the aggregate percentage of all the subcontracting work you will be awarding to the Texas certified HUB vendors with which you do not have a continuous contract* in place for more than five (5) years does not meet or exceed the HUB Goal the contracting agency identified in the "Agency Special Instructions/Additional Requirements", complete:**
 - Section 1 - Respondent and Requisition Information
 - Section 2 a. - Yes, I will be subcontracting portions of the contract.
 - Section 2 b. - List all the portions of work you will subcontract, and indicate the percentage of the contract you expect to award to Texas certified HUB vendors and Non-HUB vendors.
 - Section 2 c. - No
 - Section 2 d. - No
 - Section 4 - Affirmation
 - GFE Method B (Attachment B) - Complete an Attachment B for each of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in Section 2 b.
- **If you will not be subcontracting any portion of the contract and will be fulfilling the entire contract with your own resources (i.e., employees, supplies, materials and/or equipment), complete:**
 - Section 1 - Respondent and Requisition Information
 - Section 2 a. - No, I will not be subcontracting any portion of the contract, and I will be fulfilling the entire contract with my own resources.
 - Section 3 - Self Performing Justification
 - Section 4 - Affirmation

***Continuous Contract:** Any existing written agreement (including any renewals that are exercised) between a prime contractor and a HUB vendor, where the HUB vendor provides the prime contractor with goods or service, to include under the same contract for a specified period of time. The frequency the HUB vendor is utilized or paid during the term of the contract is not relevant to whether the contract is considered continuous. Two or more contracts that run concurrently or overlap one another for different periods of time are considered by CPA to be individual contracts rather than renewals or extensions to the original contract. In such situations the prime contractor and HUB vendor are entering (have entered) into "new" contracts.



HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP)

In accordance with Texas Gov't Code §2161.252, the contracting agency has determined that subcontracting opportunities are probable under this contract. Therefore, all respondents, including State of Texas certified Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUBs) must complete and submit this State of Texas HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) with their response to the bid requisition (solicitation).

NOTE: Responses that do not include a completed HSP shall be rejected pursuant to Texas Gov't Code §2161.252(b).

The HUB Program promotes equal business opportunities for economically disadvantaged persons to contract with the State of Texas in accordance with the goals specified in the 2009 State of Texas Disparity Study. The statewide HUB goals defined in 34 Texas Administrative Code (TAC) §20.13 are:

- **11.2 percent for heavy construction other than building contracts,**
- **21.1 percent for all building construction, including general contractors and operative builders' contracts,**
- **32.9 percent for all special trade construction contracts,**
- **23.7 percent for professional services contracts,**
- **26.0 percent for all other services contracts, and**
- **21.1 percent for commodities contracts.**

- - Agency Special Instructions/Additional Requirements - -

*In accordance with 34 TAC §20.14(d)(1)(D)(iii), a respondent (prime contractor) may demonstrate good faith effort to utilize Texas certified HUBs for its subcontracting opportunities if the total value of the respondent's subcontracts with Texas certified HUBs meets or exceeds the statewide HUB goal or the agency specific HUB goal, whichever is higher. When a respondent uses this method to demonstrate good faith effort, the respondent must identify the HUBs with which it will subcontract. If using existing contracts with Texas certified HUBs to satisfy this requirement, only the aggregate percentage of the contracts expected to be subcontracted to HUBs with which the respondent **does not** have a **continuous contract*** in place for **more than five (5) years** shall qualify for meeting the HUB goal. This limitation is designed to encourage vendor rotation as recommended by the 2009 Texas Disparity Study.*

In accordance with 34 TAC §20.13(d)(1)(D)(iii), the goals below are the applicable goals for the University of Texas Administration only.

Other Services Goal – 26%

- Responses for Special Trades construction shall submit a HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP) that meet the Good Faith Effort (GFE) prescribed in Method B, Attachment B. See instructions for Option Three on the HSP Quick Check List. No other Good Faith Effort method will be accepted.
- Responses for Miscellaneous Services Agreements for indefinite duration/indefinite quantity is a two (2) part process:
 1. Submit a Letter of HUB Commitment (page 9) and a GFE described in Option Four.
 2. Submit a revised HSP prior to the execution of each contract process as described in Option Three of the Quick Check List.
- Respondents shall submit a completed HSP to be considered responsive. Failure to submit a completed HSP shall result in the bid, proposal or other expression of interest to be considered non-responsive.
- Respondents who intend to self-perform all of their work shall submit an HSP for Self Performance HSP as described in Option Four.
- Prime Contractor Progress Assessment Report (PAR) shall be submitted with each request for payments as a condition of payment.
- Acceptable documentation of the GFE include fax, email or certified letter.

SECTION 1: RESPONDENT AND REQUISITION INFORMATION

a. Respondent (Company) Name: _____ State of Texas VID #: _____
 Point of Contact: _____ Phone #: _____
 E-mail Address: _____ Fax #: _____

b. Is your company a State of Texas certified HUB? - Yes - No

c. Requisition #: _____ Bid Open Date: _____ (mm/dd/yyyy)

Enter your company's name here: _____ Requisition #: _____

SECTION 2: RESPONDENT'S SUBCONTRACTING INTENTIONS

After dividing the contract work into reasonable lots or portions to the extent consistent with prudent industry practices, and taking into consideration the scope of work to be performed under the proposed contract, including all potential subcontracting opportunities, the respondent must determine what portions of work, **including contracted staffing, goods and services will be subcontracted**. Note: In accordance with 34 TAC §20.11, a "Subcontractor" means a person who contracts with a prime contractor to work, to supply commodities, or to contribute toward completing work for a governmental entity.

a. Check the appropriate box (Yes or No) that identifies your subcontracting intentions:

- **Yes**, I will be subcontracting portions of the contract. (If **Yes**, complete Item b of this SECTION and continue to Item c of this SECTION.)
- **No**, I will not be subcontracting any portion of the contract, and I will be fulfilling the entire contract with my own resources, including employees, goods and services. (If **No**, continue to SECTION 3 and SECTION 4.)

b. List all the portions of work (subcontracting opportunities) you will subcontract. Also, based on the total value of the contract, identify the percentages of the contract you expect to award to Texas certified HUBs, and the percentage of the contract you expect to award to vendors that are not a Texas certified HUB (i.e., Non-HUB).

Item #	Subcontracting Opportunity Description	HUBs		Non-HUBs
		Percentage of the contract expected to be subcontracted to HUBs with which you do not have a continuous contract* in place for more than five (5) years .	Percentage of the contract expected to be subcontracted to HUBs with which you have a continuous contract* in place for more than five (5) years .	Percentage of the contract expected to be subcontracted to Non-HUBs.
1		%	%	%
2		%	%	%
3		%	%	%
4		%	%	%
5		%	%	%
6		%	%	%
7		%	%	%
8		%	%	%
9		%	%	%
10		%	%	%
11		%	%	%
12		%	%	%
13		%	%	%
14		%	%	%
15		%	%	%
Aggregate percentages of the contract expected to be subcontracted:		%	%	%

(Note: If you have more than fifteen subcontracting opportunities, a continuation sheet is available online at <https://www.comptroller.texas.gov/purchasing/vendor/hub/forms.php>).

c. Check the appropriate box (Yes or No) that indicates whether you will be using **only** Texas certified HUBs to perform **all** of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in SECTION 2, Item b.

- **Yes** (If **Yes**, continue to SECTION 4 and complete an "HSP Good Faith Effort - Method A (Attachment A)" for **each** of the subcontracting opportunities you listed.)
- **No** (If **No**, continue to Item d, of this SECTION.)

d. Check the appropriate box (Yes or No) that indicates whether the aggregate expected percentage of the contract you will subcontract **with Texas certified HUBs** with which you **do not** have a **continuous contract*** in place with for **more than five (5) years**, **meets or exceeds** the HUB goal the contracting agency identified on page 1 in the "**Agency Special Instructions/Additional Requirements**."

- **Yes** (If **Yes**, continue to SECTION 4 and complete an "HSP Good Faith Effort - Method A (Attachment A)" for **each** of the subcontracting opportunities you listed.)
- **No** (If **No**, continue to SECTION 4 and complete an "HSP Good Faith Effort - Method B (Attachment B)" for **each** of the subcontracting opportunities you listed.)

***Continuous Contract:** Any existing written agreement (including any renewals that are exercised) between a prime contractor and a HUB vendor, where the HUB vendor provides the prime contractor with goods or service under the same contract for a specified period of time. The frequency the HUB vendor is utilized or paid during the term of the contract is not relevant to whether the contract is considered continuous. Two or more contracts that run concurrently or overlap one another for different periods of time are considered by CPA to be individual contracts rather than renewals or extensions to the original contract. In such situations the prime contractor and HUB vendor are entering (have entered) into "new" contracts.

Enter your company's name here: _____ Requisition #: _____

SECTION 2: RESPONDENT'S SUBCONTRACTING INTENTIONS (CONTINUATION SHEET)

This page can be used as a continuation sheet to the HSP Form's page 2, Section 2, Item b. Continue listing the portions of work (subcontracting opportunities) you will subcontract. Also, based on the total value of the contract, identify the percentages of the contract you expect to award to Texas certified HUBs, and the percentage of the contract you expect to award to vendors that are not a Texas certified HUB (i.e., Non-HUB).

Item #	Subcontracting Opportunity Description	HUBs		Non-HUBs
		Percentage of the contract expected to be subcontracted to HUBs with which you do not have a <u>continuous contract*</u> in place for <u>more than five (5) years</u> .	Percentage of the contract expected to be subcontracted to HUBs with which you have a <u>continuous contract*</u> in place for <u>more than five (5) years</u> .	Percentage of the contract expected to be subcontracted to non-HUBs.
16		%	%	%
17		%	%	%
18		%	%	%
19		%	%	%
20		%	%	%
21		%	%	%
22		%	%	%
23		%	%	%
24		%	%	%
25		%	%	%
26		%	%	%
27		%	%	%
28		%	%	%
29		%	%	%
30		%	%	%
31		%	%	%
32		%	%	%
33		%	%	%
34		%	%	%
35		%	%	%
36		%	%	%
37		%	%	%
38		%	%	%
39		%	%	%
40		%	%	%
41		%	%	%
42		%	%	%
43		%	%	%
Aggregate percentages of the contract expected to be subcontracted:		%	%	%

Enter your company's name here: _____ Requisition #: _____

SECTION 3: SELF PERFORMING JUSTIFICATION (If you responded "No" to SECTION 2, Item a, you must complete this SECTION and continue to SECTION 4.) If you responded "No" to SECTION 2, Item a, in the space provided below **explain how** your company will perform the entire contract with its own employees, supplies, materials and/or equipment.

SECTION 4: AFFIRMATION

As evidenced by my signature below, I affirm that I am an authorized representative of the respondent listed in SECTION 1, and that the information and supporting documentation submitted with the HSP is true and correct. Respondent understands and agrees that, if awarded any portion of the requisition:

- The respondent will provide notice as soon as practical to all the subcontractors (HUBs and Non-HUBs) of their selection as a subcontractor for the awarded contract. The notice must specify at a minimum the contracting agency's name and its point of contact for the contract, the contract award number, the subcontracting opportunity they (the subcontractor) will perform, the approximate dollar value of the subcontracting opportunity and the expected percentage of the total contract that the subcontracting opportunity represents. A copy of the notice required by this section must also be provided to the contracting agency's point of contact for the contract no later than ten (10) working days after the contract is awarded.
- The respondent must submit monthly compliance reports (Prime Contractor Progress Assessment Report - PAR) to the contracting agency, verifying its compliance with the HSP, including the use of and expenditures made to its subcontractors (HUBs and Non-HUBs). (The PAR is available at <https://www.comptroller.texas.gov/purchasing/docs/hub-forms/ProgressAssessmentReportForm.xls>).
- The respondent must seek approval from the contracting agency prior to making any modifications to its HSP, including the hiring of additional or different subcontractors and the termination of a subcontractor the respondent identified in its HSP. If the HSP is modified without the contracting agency's prior approval, respondent may be subject to any and all enforcement remedies available under the contract or otherwise available by law, up to and including debarment from all state contracting.
- The respondent must, upon request, allow the contracting agency to perform on-site reviews of the company's headquarters and/or work-site where services are being performed and must provide documentation regarding staffing and other resources.

Signature	Printed Name	Title	Date (mm/dd/yyyy)
-----------	--------------	-------	----------------------

Reminder:

- If you responded "Yes" to SECTION 2, Items c or d, you must complete an "HSP Good Faith Effort - Method A (Attachment A)" for each of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in SECTION 2, Item b.
- If you responded "No" SECTION 2, Items c and d, you must complete an "HSP Good Faith Effort - Method B (Attachment B)" for each of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in SECTION 2, Item b.

HSP Good Faith Effort - Method A (Attachment A)

Rev. 10/16

Enter your company's name here: _____ Requisition #: _____

IMPORTANT: If you responded "Yes" to SECTION 2, Items c or d of the completed HSP form, you must submit a completed "HSP Good Faith Effort - Method A (Attachment A)" for each of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in SECTION 2, Item b of the completed HSP form. You may photo-copy this page or download the form at <https://www.comptroller.texas.gov/purchasing/docs/hub-forms/hub-sbcont-plan-gfe-achm-a.pdf>

SECTION A-1: SUBCONTRACTING OPPORTUNITY

Enter the item number and description of the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION 2, Item b, of the completed HSP form for which you are completing the attachment.

Item Number: _____ Description: _____

SECTION A-2: SUBCONTRACTOR SELECTION

List the subcontractor(s) you selected to perform the subcontracting opportunity you listed above in SECTION A-1. Also identify whether they are a Texas certified HUB and their Texas Vendor Identification (VID) Number or federal Employer Identification Number (EIN), the approximate dollar value of the work to be subcontracted, and the expected percentage of work to be subcontracted. When searching for Texas certified HUBs and verifying their HUB status, ensure that you use the State of Texas' Centralized Master Bidders List (CMBL) - Historically Underutilized Business (HUB) Directory Search located at <http://mycpa.cpa.state.tx.us/passcmbsearch/index.jsp>. HUB status code "A" signifies that the company is a Texas certified HUB.

Company Name	Texas certified HUB	Texas VID or federal EIN <small>Do not enter Social Security Numbers. If you do not know their VID I EIN, leave their VID I EIN field blank.</small>	Approximate Dollar Amount	Expected Percentage of Contract
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%

REMINDER: As specified in SECTION 4 of the completed HSP form, if you (respondent) are awarded any portion of the requisition, you are required to provide notice as soon as practical to all the subcontractors (HUBs and Non-HUBs) of their selection as a subcontractor. The notice must specify at a minimum the contracting agency's name and its point of contact for the contract, the contract award number, the subcontracting opportunity they (the subcontractor) will perform, the approximate dollar value of the subcontracting opportunity and the expected percentage of the total contract that the subcontracting opportunity represents. A copy of the notice required by this section must also be provided to the contracting agency's point of contact for the contract no later than ten (10) working days after the contract is awarded.

HSP Good Faith Effort - Method B (Attachment B)

Enter your company's name here: _____	Requisition #: _____
---------------------------------------	----------------------

IMPORTANT: If you responded "**No**" to **SECTION 2, Items c and d** of the completed HSP form, you must submit a completed "HSP Good Faith Effort - Method B (Attachment B)" for **each** of the subcontracting opportunities you listed in **SECTION 2, Item b** of the completed HSP form. You may photo-copy this page or download the form at <https://www.comptroller.texas.gov/purchasing/docs/hub-forms/hub-sbcont-plan-gfe-achm-b.pdf>.

SECTION B-1: SUBCONTRACTING OPPORTUNITY

Enter the item number and description of the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION 2, Item b, of the completed HSP form for which you are completing the attachment.

Item Number: _____ Description: _____

SECTION B-2: MENTOR PROTEGE PROGRAM

If respondent is participating as a Mentor in a State of Texas Mentor Protege Program, submitting its Protege (Protege must be a State of Texas certified HUB) as a subcontractor to perform the subcontracting opportunity listed in **SECTION B-1**, constitutes a good faith effort to subcontract with a Texas certified HUB towards that specific portion of work.

Check the appropriate box (Yes or No) that indicates whether you will be subcontracting the portion of work you listed in SECTION B-1 to your Protege.

- Yes (If *Yes*, continue to SECTION B-4.)
- No / Not Applicable (If *No* or *Not Applicable*, continue to SECTION B-3 and SECTION B-4.)

SECTION B-3: NOTIFICATION OF SUBCONTRACTING OPPORTUNITY

When completing this section you **MUST** comply with items **a, b, c and d**, thereby demonstrating your Good Faith Effort of having notified Texas certified HUBs and trade organizations or development centers about the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION B-1. Your notice should include the scope of work, information regarding the location to review plans and specifications, bonding and insurance requirements, required qualifications, and identify a contact person. When sending notice of your subcontracting opportunity, you are encouraged to use the attached HUB Subcontracting Opportunity Notice form, which is also available online at <https://www.comptroller.texas.gov/purchasing/docs/hub-forms/HUBSubcontractingOpportunityNotificationForm.pdf>.

Retain supporting documentation (i.e., certified letter, fax, e-mail) demonstrating evidence of your good faith effort to notify the Texas certified HUBs and trade organizations or development centers. Also, be mindful that a working day is considered a normal business day of a state agency, not including weekends, federal or state holidays, or days the agency is declared closed by its executive officer. The initial day the subcontracting opportunity notice is sent/provided to the HUBs and to the trade organizations or development centers is considered to be "day zero" and does not count as one of the seven (7) working days.

- a.** Provide written notification of the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION B-1, to three (3) or more Texas certified HUBs. Unless the contracting agency specified a different time period, you must allow the HUBs at least seven (7) working days to respond to the notice prior to you submitting your bid response to the contracting agency. When searching for Texas certified HUBs and verifying their HUB status, ensure that you use the State of Texas' Centralized Master Bidders List (CMBL) - Historically Underutilized Business (HUB) Directory Search located at <http://mycpa.cpa.state.tx.us/tpasscmlsearch/index.jsp>. HUB status code "**A**" signifies that the company is a Texas certified HUB.
- b.** List the **three (3) Texas certified HUBs** you notified regarding the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION B-1. Include the company's Texas Vendor Identification (VID) Number, the date you sent notice to that company, and indicate whether it was responsive or non-responsive to your subcontracting opportunity notice.

Company Name	Texas VID <small>(Do not enter Social Security Numbers.)</small>	Date Notice Sent <small>(mm/dd/yyyy)</small>	Did the HUB Respond?
			<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No
			<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No
			<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No

- c.** Provide written notification of the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION B-1 to **two (2)** or more trade organizations or development centers in Texas to assist in identifying potential HUBs by disseminating the subcontracting opportunity to their members/participants. Unless the contracting agency specified a different time period, you must provide your subcontracting opportunity notice to trade organizations or development centers at least seven (7) working days prior to submitting your bid response to the contracting agency. A list of trade organizations and development centers that have expressed an interest in receiving notices of subcontracting opportunities is available on the Statewide HUB Program's webpage at <https://www.comptroller.texas.gov/purchasing/vendor/hub/resources.php>.

- d.** List **two (2) trade organizations or development centers** you notified regarding the subcontracting opportunity you listed in SECTION B-1. Include the date when you sent notice to it and indicate if it accepted or rejected your notice.

Trade Organizations or Development Centers	Date Notice Sent <small>(mm/dd/yyyy)</small>	Was the Notice Accepted?
		<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No
		<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No

HSP Good Faith Effort - Method B (Attachment B) Cont.

Rev.10/16

Enter your company's name here: _____ Requisition #: _____

SECTION B-4: SUBCONTRACTOR SELECTION

Enter the item number and description of the subcontracting opportunity you listed in **SECTION 2, Item b**, of the completed HSP form for which you are completing the attachment.

- a. Enter the item number and description of the subcontracting opportunity for which you are completing this Attachment B continuation page.

Item Number: _____ Description: _____

- b. List the subcontractor(s) you selected to perform the subcontracting opportunity you listed in **SECTION B-1**. Also identify whether they are a Texas certified HUB and their Texas Vendor Identification (VID) Number or federal Employer Identification Number (EIN), the approximate dollar value of the work to be subcontracted, and the expected percentage of work to be subcontracted. When searching for Texas certified HUBs and verifying their HUB status, ensure that you use the State of Texas' Centralized Master Bidders List (CMBL) - Historically Underutilized Business (HUB) Directory Search located at <http://mycpa.cpa.state.tx.us/tpasscmbsearch/index.jsp>. HUB status code "A" signifies that the company is a Texas certified HUB.

Company Name	Texas certified HUB	Texas VID or federal EIN <small>Do not enter Social Security Numbers. If you do not know their VID I EIN, leave their VID I EIN field blank.</small>	Approximate Dollar Amount	Expected Percentage of Contract
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%
	<input type="checkbox"/> - Yes <input type="checkbox"/> - No		\$	%

- c. If any of the subcontractors you have selected to perform the subcontracting opportunity you listed in **SECTION B-1** is not a Texas certified HUB, provide written justification for your selection process (attach additional page if necessary):

REMINDER: As specified in SECTION 4 of the completed HSP form, if you (respondent) are awarded any portion of the requisition, you are required to provide notice as soon as practical to all the subcontractors (HUBs and Non-HUBs) of their selection as a subcontractor. The notice must specify at a minimum the contracting agency's name and its point of contact for the contract, the contract award number, the subcontracting opportunity it (the subcontractor) will perform, the approximate dollar value of the subcontracting opportunity and the expected percentage of the total contract that the subcontracting opportunity represents. A copy of the notice required by this section must also be provided to the contracting agency's point of contact for the contract no later than ten (10) working days after the contract is awarded.



HUB Subcontracting Opportunity Notification Form

In accordance with Texas Gov't Code, Chapter 2161, each state agency that considers entering into a contract with an expected value of \$100,000 or more shall, before the agency solicits bids, proposals, offers, or other applicable expressions of interest, determine whether subcontracting opportunities are probable under the contract. The state agency I have identified below in **Section B** has determined that subcontracting opportunities are probable under the requisition to which my company will be responding.

34 Texas Administrative Code, §20.14 requires all respondents (prime contractors) bidding on the contract to provide notice of each of their subcontracting opportunities to at least three (3) Texas certified HUBs (who work within the respective industry applicable to the subcontracting opportunity), and allow the HUBs at least seven (7) working days to respond to the notice prior to the respondent submitting its bid response to the contracting agency. In addition, at least seven (7) working days prior to submitting its bid response to the contracting agency, the respondent must provide notice of each of its subcontracting opportunities to two (2) or more trade organizations or development centers (in Texas) that serves members of groups (i.e., Asian Pacific American, Black American, Hispanic American, Native American, Woman, Service Disabled Veteran) identified in Texas Administrative Code, §20.11(19)(C).

We respectfully request that vendors interested in bidding on the subcontracting opportunity scope of work identified in **Section C, Item 2**, reply no later than the date and time identified in **Section C, Item 1**. Submit your response to the point-of-contact referenced in **Section A**.

SECTION A: PRIME CONTRACTOR'S INFORMATION

Company	Name: _____	State of Texas VID #: _____
Point-of-Contact: _____		Phone #: _____
E-mail Address: _____		Fax #: _____

SECTION B: CONTRACTING STATE AGENCY AND REQUISITION INFORMATION

Agency Name: _____		
Point-of-Contact: _____		Phone #: _____
Requisition #: _____		Bid Open Date: _____ (mm/dd/yyyy)

SECTION C: SUBCONTRACTING OPPORTUNITY RESPONSE DUE DATE, DESCRIPTION, REQUIREMENTS AND RELATED INFORMATION

1. Potential Subcontractor's Bid Response Due Date:

If you would like for our company to consider your company's bid for the subcontracting opportunity identified below in Item 2, we must receive your bid response no later than _____ on _____
Central Time Date (mm/dd/yyyy)

In accordance with 34 TAC §20.14, each notice of subcontracting opportunity shall be provided to at least three (3) Texas certified HUBs, and allow the HUBs at least seven (7) working days to respond to the notice prior to submitting our bid response to the contracting agency. In addition, at least seven (7) working days prior to us submitting our bid response to the contracting agency, we must provide notice of each of our subcontracting opportunities to two (2) or more trade organizations or development centers (in Texas) that serves members of groups (i.e., Asian Pacific American, Black American, Hispanic American, Native American, Woman, Service Disabled Veteran) identified in Texas Administrative Code, §20.11(19)(C).

(A working day is considered a normal business day of a state agency, not including weekends, federal or state holidays, or days the agency is declared closed by its executive officer. The initial day the subcontracting opportunity notice is sent/provided to the HUBs and to the trade organizations or development centers is considered to be "day zero" and does not count as one of the seven (7) working days.)

2. Subcontracting Opportunity Scope of Work:

3. Required Qualifications: - Not Applicable

4. Bonding/Insurance Requirements: - Not Applicable

5. Location to review plans/specifications: - Not Applicable



HUB Subcontracting Plan (HSP)

Rev. 10/16

Prime Contractor Progress Assessment Report

This form must be completed and submitted to the contracting agency each month to document compliance with your HSP.

Contract/Requisition Number: _____ Date of Award: _____ Object Code: _____

Contracting Agency/University Name: _____

Contractor (Company) Name: _____ State of Texas VID #: _____

Point of Contact: _____ Phone #: _____

Reporting (Month) Period: _____ Total Amount Paid this Reporting Period to Contractor: \$ _____

Report HUB and Non-HUB subcontractor information

When verifying subcontractors' HUB status, ensure that you use the State of Texas' Centralized Master Bidders List (CMBL) - Historically Underutilized (HUB)

Directory Search located at: <http://mycpa.cpa.state.tx.us/tpasscmlsearch/index.jsp>

HUB status code "A" signifies that the company is a Texas certified HUB.

Subcontractor's Name	Texas certified HUB (Yes or No)	Texas VID or federal EIN <small>Do not enter Social Security Numbers. If you do not know their VID / EIN, leave their VID / EIN field blank.</small>	Total Contract \$ Amount from HSP with Subcontractor	Total \$ Amount Paid this Reporting Period to Subcontractor	Total Contract \$ Amount Paid to Date to Subcontractor	Object Code (Agency Use Only)
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	
TOTALS:			\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	

Signature: _____

Title: _____

Date: _____

**INFORMATION SECURITY
THIRD-PARTY ASSESSMENT SURVEY**

NOTE: Please complete the survey below and return with Proposal.

Administrator Name: _____ Date: _____
 Address : _____ Website: _____
 IT Security Contact: _____ Email: _____ Phone: _____
 Location of Data Center: _____ Contact: _____ Phone: _____
 Location of Recovery Center: _____ Contact: _____ Phone: _____
 Years in Business: _____ Number of Employees: _____ Number of Customers Using the Product: _____
 UT Entity's Sponsoring Dept. **Office of Collaborative Business Services**

Name & Description of Service/Product: _____

Describe the Target Users for the Service/Product: _____

Technical Description (client, agent, SSL, FTP, hosted website, ASP, cloud computing, etc.): _____

Other Customer Software Required to Run the Product/Service: _____

Describe Pertinent Outsourced/Contracted Service Arrangements: (such as: support, cloud services, third-party applications, etc.) _____

Describe Security Features/Testing/External Assessments: _____

Note: Respond "yes" or "no" to the questions below. Explain Proposer's answer in the Comments column.

A. Data Centers	Answer	Comments
1. Has contract with third-party for data center services. If yes, specify type of service provided by data center provider: a. Managed Hosting (full responsibility for admin, mgmt, architecture, hardware and software), b. Managed Services (same as Managed Hosting but with administrator access to infrastructure and responsibility at the application level), c. Co-Location (Administrator has full responsibility of hardware but leveraging private data suites, cages, etc.)		
2. Number of years doing business with data center service provider?		
B. Policies, Standards and Procedures	Answer	Comments
1. Has formal written Information Security Policies.		
2. Will provide copies of the Information Security Policies.		
3. Will provide, if asked, examples of security documents, which you have indicated you maintain.		
4. Can provide supporting documentation of certifications and results of a third-party external Information Security assessment conducted within the past 2 years (SAS-70, SSAE-16, penetration test, vulnerability assessment, etc.)		
5. Maintains incident response procedures.		
6. Policy protects client information against unauthorized access; whether stored, printed, spoken, or transmitted.		
7. Policy prohibits sharing of individual accounts and passwords.		
8. Policy implements the following Information Security concepts: need to know, least privilege, and checks and balances.		
9. Receives and implements protections for security vulnerability alerts (such as CERTs).		
10. Requires system administrators to be educated and qualified.		
11. Implements AAA (Authentication, Authorization, Accounting) for all users.		
12. Performs background checks for individuals handling sensitive information.		
13. Termination or job transfer procedures immediately protect unauthorized access to information.		
14. Provides customer support with escalation procedures.		
15. Documented change control processes.		

16. Requires contractors, subcontractors, vendors, outsourcing ventures, or other external third-party contracts to comply with policies and customer		
17. Policy implements federal, state, and local regulatory requirements.		
18. Maintains a routine user Information Security awareness program.		
19. There is a formal routine Information Security risk management program for risk assessments and risk management.		
C. Architecture	Answer	Comments
1. Will provide a network architecture drawing for the customer solution, which demonstrates the defense-in-depth strategies.		
2. Implements and monitors firewall protections.		
3. Maintains routers and ACLs.		
4. Provides network redundancy.		
5. IDS/IPS technology is implemented and alerts are assessed.		
6. There is a DMZ architecture for Internet systems.		
7. Web applications that 'face' the Internet are on DMZ servers are separate from internal servers that house sensitive customer information.		
8. Maintains enterprise-wide virus/malware protection.		
9. There is an enterprise patch management system.		
10. Provides dedicated customer servers or explain how this is accomplished in a secure virtual or segmented configuration.		
11. Remote access is achieved over secure connections.		
12. Test environments both physical and logical are separated from production environments.		
13. Will provide architectural software solution data flow diagrams, which include implemented security controls.		
14. Wireless networks are encrypted, require user authentication, and there are secured/controlled access points.		
D. Configurations	Answer	Comments
1. All computers systems involved are kept current with security patches and have up-to-date malware protection.		
2. Encryption, with the strength of at least 256 bit, is used, required, and monitored when sensitive information is transmitted over untrusted or public connections.		
3. System banners are displayed prior to access and require the user's acknowledgment and agreement concerning: unauthorized use is prohibited, system are monitored, policies are enforced, and there is no expectation of privacy.		
4. Computers have password-protected screen savers that activate automatically to prevent unauthorized access when unattended.		
5. All unnecessary services are removed from computers.		
6. Servers run anti-intrusion software (such as tripwire, etc.).		
7. All administrator-supplied default passwords or similar "published" access codes for all installed operating systems, database management systems, network devices, application packages, and any other commercially produced IT products have been changed or disabled.		
8. Passwords have a minimum of 8 characters, expire, and have strength requirements.		
9. Passwords are never stored in clear text or are easily decipherable.		
10. All system operating systems and software are routinely checked to determine whether appropriate security settings are enabled.		
11. File and directory permissions are managed for least privilege and need-to-know accesses.		
12. Redundancy or high availability features are implemented for critical functions.		
13. All user access is authenticated with either a password, token or biometrics.		
14. All system changes are approved, tested and logged.		
15. Production data is not used for testing unless the data has been		
16. Application security follows industry best practices (such as OWASP).		
17. For system's support users, the account lockout feature is set for successive failed logon attempts.		
18. Split tunneling is prohibited when connecting to customer systems or networks.		
E. Product Design	Answer	Comments
1. If the product integrates with portable devices, sensitive information or information protected by law is encrypted when stored on these portable devices and requires password access.		

2. Access to sensitive information or information protected by law, across a public connection is encrypted with a secured connection and requires user authentication.		
3. If the product manages Protected Health Information (PHI), the product and company processes are HIPAA compliant.		
4. Management of any payment card information is compliant with the Payment Card Industry (PCI) Standards.		
5. Web applications are scanned, tested, and monitored for common application security vulnerabilities.		
6. Software, applications, and databases are kept current with the latest security patches.		
7. This product has been and can be Shibbolized.		
8. This product integrates with Active Directory or LDAP		
9. Encryption, with the strength of at least 256 bit, is available for stored data if the customer so desires.		
F. Access Control	Answer	Comments
1. Access is immediately removed or modified when personnel terminate, transfer, or change job functions.		
2. Achieves individual accountability by assigning unique IDs and prohibits password sharing.		
3. Critical data or systems are accessible by at least two trusted and authorized individuals.		
4. Access permissions are reviewed at least monthly for all server files, databases, programs, etc.		
5. Users only have the authority to read or modify those programs or data, which they need to perform their assigned duties.		
G. Monitoring	Answer	Comments
1. Access logs for all servers, sensitive databases, and sensitive files are reviewed at least monthly for anomalies.		
2. System event logging is implemented on all servers and records at a minimum who, what, and when.		
3. After normal business hours system activity and access (physical or logical) is reviewed and analyzed at least monthly.		
4. System logs are reviewed for failed logins or failed access attempts at least monthly.		
5. Dormant accounts on systems are reviewed and removed at least monthly.		
7. Network and firewall logs are reviewed at least monthly.		
8. Wireless access is reviewed at least monthly.		
9. Scanning is done routinely for rogue access points.		
10. IDS/IPS systems are actively managed and alert notifications have been implemented.		
11. Vulnerability scanning is performed routinely.		
12. Password complexity checking is done routinely.		
H. Physical Security	Answer	Comments
1. Access to secure areas are controlled such as: key distribution management, paper/electronic logs, or a receptionist always present when the doors are opened.		
2. Access to server rooms are controlled and follow need-to-know and least privilege concepts.		
3. Computer rooms have special safeguards in place i.e., cipher locks, restricted access, room access log.		
4. Disposal of printed confidential or sensitive information is shredded or otherwise destroyed securely.		
5. Customer information is either prohibited or encrypted (PHI, student data, SSN, etc.) on laptop computers or other portable devices.		
6. Desktops which display sensitive information are positioned to protect from unauthorized viewing.		
7. All visitors are escorted in computer rooms or server areas.		
8. Appropriate environmental controls been implemented where possible to manage the equipment risks such as: alarms, fire safety, cooling, heating, smoke detector, battery backup, etc.		
9. There are no external signs indicating the content or value of the server room or any room containing sensitive information.		
10. There are secure processes for destroying sensitive data on hard drives, tapes or removable media when it is no longer needed.		
I. Contingency	Answer	Comments
1. There is a written contingency plan for mission critical computing operations.		

2. Emergency procedures and responsibilities are documented and stored securely at multiple sites.		
3. The contingency plan is reviewed and updated at least annually.		
4. You have identified what computing services must be provided within specified critical timeframes in case of a disaster.		
5. Cross-functional dependencies been identified so as to determine how the failure in one system may negatively impact another one.		
6. You have written backup procedures and processes.		
7. You periodically test the integrity of backup media.		
8. Backup media is stored in a secure manner and access is controlled.		
9. You maintain a documented and tested disaster recovery plan.		
10. You have off-site storage and documented retrieval procedures for backups.		
11. You have rapid access to backup data.		
12. Backup media is appropriately labeled to avoid errors or data exposures.		

J. BUSINESS RELATIONSHIPS

Answer **Comments**

	Answer	Comments
1. Confidential agreements have been signed before proprietary and/or sensitive information is disclosed.		
2. Business associate contracts or agreements are in place and contain appropriate risk coverage for customer requirements.		
3. Business associates are aware of customer security policies and what is required of them.		
4. Business associates agreements document agreed transfer of customer's data when the relationship terminates.		
5. Contractual agreements will or do include the UT Entity's required information security language.		
6. By contractual agreement, the provider's outsource service arrangements and changes are made know to the customer and require preapproval when it involves management changes of the customer's data (such as: cloud services, offshoring, etc.).		
7. Contractual agreements accommodate customer requirements/restrictions concerning the physical storage location customer data and/or physical routing of sensitive information.		
8. Contractual language requires release of customer information to government agencies or other authorities must be managed by the customer.		
9. Technologies or management of customer information facilitates customer open records and records retention requirements.		
10. Technologies or management of customer information can facilitate customer requests for investigations, and if necessary, forensic analysis to include a documented chain of custody.		
11. Contracts protect customer correspondence with the provider (such as: email, voice, SMS, IM, etc.) and release requires customer approval.		