

1. U. T. System: Recommendation to Approve Chancellor's Docket No. 108

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that Chancellor's Docket No. 108 be approved.

It is requested that the Committee confirm that authority to execute contracts, documents, or instruments approved therein has been delegated to appropriate officials of the respective institution involved.

2. U. T. Board of Regents: Proposed Amendments to the Regents' Rules and Regulations, Part Two, Chapter IV (Purchasing)

RECOMMENDATION

The Chancellor concurs in the recommendation of the Acting Executive Vice Chancellor for Health Affairs, the Executive Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs, the Executive Vice Chancellor for Academic Affairs, and the Vice Chancellor and General Counsel that the Regents' Rules and Regulations, Part Two, Chapter IV, regarding purchasing, be amended as set forth below in congressional style:

Sec. 1. Purchasing Ethics.

...

- 1.4 Procurement officers [~~Purchasing agents~~], their staffs and others authorized by or under these regulations to make purchases shall not accept personal gifts or gratuities that might in any way result in an obligation to individuals or firms seeking business.

...

Sec. 2. Contacts with State Agencies and Vendors.--All contacts, whether oral or written, with State agencies and with vendors regarding procurement activities are handled through the Office of General Counsel or the primary procurement officers [~~official purchasing agents~~] and the divisions, departments, or offices to whom the purchasing function has been specifically delegated, unless advance written authorization is obtained from the primary procurement officer [~~purchasing agent~~] or the chief business officer for other persons to do so.

Sec. 3. Purchasing Procedures.

3.1 The primary procurement officer [~~official purchasing agent~~] of each component institution shall promulgate procedures to facilitate and expedite the purchasing function. Such procedures shall be developed in accordance with sound business practices and applicable State law. Purchasing procedures shall be implemented only after appropriate review and approval for inclusion in the institutional Handbook of Operating Procedures, including review and approval of the chief business officer.

...

Sec. 5. Purchaser Training and Certification.

5.1 Requirements of Purchaser Training and Certification Plan.--Each component institution and System Administration shall establish a purchaser training and certification plan that:

5.11 Requires all personnel who procure goods or services on behalf of the component institution or System Administration to obtain appropriate training.

5.12 Requires all personnel who procure goods and services through competitive methods to attain nationally recognized and/or State of Texas buyer certification, and

5.13 Conforms to the guidelines established by the Executive Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs.

5.2 Drafting and Implementation of Training and Certification Plan.--The primary procurement officer of each component institution and of System Administration shall draft a proposed training and certification plan for his or her respective institution and shall implement the plan after review and written approval of the plan by the respective chief business officer.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The amendments to Part Two, Chapter IV, Section 1, Subsection 1.4; Section 2; and Section 3, Subsection 3.1 of the Regents' Rules and Regulations are nonsubstantive and change the terminology of "official purchasing agent" to "primary procurement officer" to reflect current practice.

Proposed new Section 5 of Part Two, Chapter IV of the Regents' Rules and Regulations requires training for all U. T. System personnel who procure goods and services and requires certification for those U. T. System personnel who procure goods and services using competitive methods. The University of Texas System Purchasing Council, whose members are the primary procurement officers of each of the component institutions and System Administration, proposed the training and certification requirements.

Each component institution and System Administration will develop its own training and certification plan, in accordance with guidelines to be issued by the Executive Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs. The guidelines will require graduated training and certification levels based on the purchasing activities of the individual. The primary procurement officer of each component institution and System Administration will have the responsibility to develop an appropriate training and certification plan, which must then be approved by the respective chief business officer.

3. U. T. Board of Regents: Proposed Adoption of a Resolution Authorizing the Issuance, Sale, and Delivery of Board of Regents of The University of Texas System Permanent University Fund Refunding Bonds, Series 2002A, in an Aggregate Principal Amount Not to Exceed \$115,000,000 and Authorization for Officers of U. T. System to Complete All Transactions Related Thereto

RECOMMENDATION

The Chancellor concurs in the recommendation of the Executive Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs that the U. T. Board of Regents:

- a. Adopt a Resolution, substantially in the form before the Board of Regents, authorizing the issuance, sale, and

delivery of Board of Regents of The University of Texas System Permanent University Fund Refunding Bonds, Series 2002A, in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$115,000,000 to be used to refund a portion of the outstanding Permanent University Fund Refunding Bonds, Series 1992A, and to pay the costs of issuance

- b. Authorize appropriate officers and employees of U. T. System as set forth in the Resolution to take any and all actions necessary to carry out the intentions of the U. T. Board of Regents within the limitations and procedures specified therein; to make certain covenants and agreements in connection therewith; and to resolve other matters incident and related to the issuance, sale, security, and delivery of such bonds.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The U. T. System has outstanding \$108,515,000 of callable Permanent University Fund Refunding Bonds, Series 1992A, maturing July 1, 2003 through July 1, 2010. The interest rates on the Series 1992A Bonds are significantly above current market interest rates and range from 6.00% to 6.25%. The Series 1992A Bonds were structured with an optional redemption and can be called on July 1, 2002, at par.

Adoption of the Resolution would authorize the issuance of Permanent University Fund Refunding Bonds, Series 2002A, in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$115,000,000 for the purpose of refunding a portion of outstanding Permanent University Fund Refunding Bonds. The Resolution was drafted by outside bond counsel and has been reviewed by the U. T. System Office of General Counsel. It is not bound into the Agenda Book, but was sent separately with an Executive Summary of significant terms.

Proceeds from the Series 2002A Bonds will be used to purchase U. S. government securities to be placed in an escrow account. On July 1, 2002, proceeds from the escrowed securities will be used to redeem all of the callable outstanding Series 1992A Bonds.

Based on tax-exempt interest rates as of January 10, 2002, this current refunding will produce approximately \$9,000,000 of present value debt service savings.

4. U. T. Board of Regents: Proposed Adoption of a Resolution Authorizing the Issuance, Sale, and Delivery of Board of Regents of The University of Texas System Permanent University Fund Bonds, Series 2002B, in an Aggregate Principal Amount Not to Exceed \$205,000,000 and Authorization for Officers of U. T. System to Complete All Transactions Related Thereto

RECOMMENDATION

The Chancellor concurs in the recommendation of the Executive Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs that the U. T. Board of Regents:

- a. Adopt a Resolution, substantially in the form before the Board of Regents, authorizing the issuance, sale, and delivery of Board of Regents of The University of Texas System Permanent University Fund Bonds, Series 2002B, in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$205,000,000 to be used to refund \$191,000,000 of outstanding Permanent University Fund Flexible Rate Notes, Series A, and to pay the cost of issuance
- b. Authorize appropriate officers and employees of U. T. System as set forth in the Resolution to take any and all actions necessary to carry out the intentions of the U. T. Board of Regents within the limitations and procedures specified therein; to make certain covenants and agreements in connection therewith; and to resolve other matters incident and related to the issuance, sale, and delivery of such bonds.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The U. T. System has outstanding \$191,000,000 of Notes issued pursuant to the \$250,000,000 Permanent University Fund Flexible Rate Notes, Series A program. Generally, the Flexible Rate Notes, Series A program is used to provide interim financing for projects during the construction phase and the debt is not amortized. Once construction is complete, the Notes are refunded with long-term, fixed-rate bonds.

Adoption of the Resolution would authorize the issuance of Permanent University Fund Bonds, Series 2002B, in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$205,000,000 for the purpose of refunding \$191,000,000 of outstanding Permanent University Fund Flexible Rate Notes. The Resolution was drafted by outside bond counsel and has been reviewed by the U. T. System Office of General Counsel. It is not bound into the Agenda Book, but was sent separately with an Executive Summary of significant terms.

Proceeds from the Series 2002B Bonds will be used to purchase "Defeasance Obligations" (as defined in the Resolution authorizing the Permanent University Fund Flexible Rate Notes, Series A program) to be placed in an escrow account. Proceeds from the escrowed securities will be used to redeem the outstanding Notes. The remaining proceeds will be used to pay costs of issuance and provide for any original issue discount.

5. U. T. System: Report on Investments for the Fiscal Quarter Ended November 30, 2001

REPORT

Pages 21 - 27 contain the Summary Reports on Investments for the fiscal quarter ended November 30, 2001.

Item I on Pages 21 - 22 reports summary activity for the Permanent University Fund (PUF) investments. The PUF's net investment return for the quarter was negative 2.03%. The PUF's net investment return for marketable securities for the quarter was negative .57% versus its composite benchmark return of negative .18%.

Item II on Pages 23 - 25 reports summary activity for the General Endowment Fund (GEF), the Permanent Health Fund (PHF), and Long Term Fund (LTF). The GEF's net investment return for the quarter was negative 1.50%. The GEF's net investment return for marketable securities for the quarter was negative .48% versus its composite benchmark return of negative .18%.

Item III on Page 26 reports summary activity for the Short Intermediate Term Fund (SITF). Total net investment return on the SITF was 1.60% for the quarter versus the SITF's performance benchmark of 2.23%.

Item IV on Page 27 presents book and market value of cash, fixed income, equity, and other securities held in funds outside of internal investment pools. Total cash and equivalents, consisting primarily of component operating funds held in the Dreyfus money market fund, increased by \$117 million to \$990 million during the first quarter. Asset values for the remaining asset classes were fixed income securities: \$113 million versus \$113 million at previous quarter-end; equities: \$165 million versus \$167 million at previous quarter-end; and other investments of \$2 million versus \$17 million at previous quarter-end.

6. U. T. System: Recommendation to Approve Fiscal Year 2003 Budget Preparation Policies and Limitations for General Operating Budgets, Auxiliary Enterprises, Contracts and Grants, Restricted Current Funds, Designated Funds, and Service and Revolving Funds Activities; and Calendar for Budget Operations

RECOMMENDATION

With the concurrence of the U. T. System Executive Officers, the Chancellor recommends that the U. T. Board of Regents approve Budget Preparation Policies and Limitations and Calendar for use in preparing the Fiscal Year 2003 Operating Budget for the U. T. System as set out below:

U. T. System FY 2003 Budget Preparation Policies

General Guidelines – The regulations and directives included in the General Appropriations Act enacted by the 77th Texas Legislature serve as the basis for these guidelines and policies. In preparing the draft of the FY 2003 Operating Budget, the president of each component institution should adhere to guidelines and policies as detailed below and as included in the General Appropriations Act.

Overall budget totals, including reasonable reserves, must be limited to the funds available for the year from General Revenue Appropriations, Estimates of Educational and General Income, and limited use of institutional unappropriated balances.

Salary Guidelines – Recommendations regarding salary policy are subject to the following directives:

1. Salaries Proportional by Fund – Unless otherwise restricted, payment for salaries, wages, and benefits paid from appropriated funds, including local funds and educational and general funds as defined in Texas Education Code Section 51.009 (a) and (c), shall be proportional to the source of funds.

2. Merit Increases – Subject to available resources and resolution of any major salary inequities, institutions should give priority to implementing merit salary increases for faculty and staff keeping in mind the 2002-2003 biennium goal of at least an average 7% merit increase for faculty and staff. This would include the mandatory \$100 per month for nonfaculty employees required by the Legislature in the first year of the biennium.

Merit increases or advances in rank for faculty are to be on the basis of teaching effectiveness, research, and public service.

Merit increases or promotions for administrative and professional staff and classified staff are to be based on evaluation of performance in areas appropriate to work assignments.

To be eligible for a merit increase, classified staff must have been employed by the institution for at least six months as of August 31, 2002.

3. Mandated Increases – Implementation of the 4% increase in annual salary with a minimum of \$100 per month increase provided for nonfaculty by the General Appropriations Act beginning in 2001 should continue. Contingent on the State Comptroller certifying that sufficient revenue is available for 2003, a 3% increase in annual salary with a minimum of \$65 per month increase has been mandated by the General Appropriations Act for 2003.
4. Other Increases – Equity adjustments, competitive offers, and increases to accomplish contractual commitments may also be granted in this budget and should also consider merit where appropriate, subject to available resources. Such increases should be noted and explained in the supplemental data accompanying the budget.
5. New Positions – New administrative and professional, classified staff and faculty positions are to be requested only when justified by workloads or to meet needs for developing new programs.
6. It is the expectation that 2003 salary increases for merit, equity, or other reasons be included in the Operating Budgets.

Tobacco Settlement Funds – The distribution from the Endowment Funds appropriated to Higher Education and to the Permanent Health Fund for Health Related Institutions should be estimated at 4.7% as shown in the following tables:

Individual Endowments	
Component	Annual
U. T. El Paso	\$1,175,000
U. T. SWMC Dallas	2,350,000
U. T. MB Galveston	1,175,000
U. T. HSC Houston	1,175,000
U. T. HSC San Antonio	9,400,000
U. T. MDA Cancer Center	4,700,000
U. T. HC Tyler	1,175,000
U. T. RAHC*	940,000

*Lower Rio Grande Valley Regional Academic Health Center (RAHC)

Permanent Health Fund	
Component	Annual
U. T. SWMC Dallas	\$2,210,594
U. T. MB Galveston	1,875,745
U. T. HSC Houston	1,807,273
U. T. HSC San Antonio	1,651,546
U. T. MDA Cancer Center	1,751,117
U. T. HC Tyler	1,219,323

Capital Equity – Several of the U. T. System academic component institutions have included within an item of appropriation entitled Capital Equity and Excellence an amount of funds for Capital Equity Hold-Harmless, which is to be phased out entirely by the 2004-2005 biennium. The Legislature has stated this intent in Section 50 of Article III Special Provisions of the General Appropriations Act. The amounts which will not be reappropriated in the 2004-2005 biennium are:

Component	FY 2004	FY 2005
U. T. Arlington	\$2,928,730	\$2,928,730
U. T. Dallas	2,071,162	2,071,162
U. T. El Paso	2,092,588	2,092,588
U. T. Permian Basin	265,271	265,271
U. T. San Antonio	3,250,625	3,250,625
U. T. Tyler	539,254	539,254

Those institutions affected should plan their operations for FY 2003 in anticipation of the probability that this hold-harmless funding will be withdrawn in the following biennium.

Staff Benefits Guidelines – Recommendations regarding the State contribution for employees staff benefits such as group insurance premiums, teacher retirement, and optional retirement are subject to legislative determination via the General Appropriations Act. The Chancellor will issue supplemental instructions regarding the group insurance premiums and premium sharing rates at a later date.

Other Employee Benefits – Employer contributions to the self-insured Unemployment Compensation Fund are based on an actuarial study. Workers' Compensation Insurance rates are experience rated for each component. The Chancellor will issue appropriate instructions regarding the implementation of the Unemployment Compensation Fund and Workers' Compensation Insurance Benefits.

Other Operating Expenses Guidelines – Increases in Maintenance, Operation, Equipment, and Travel are to be justified by expanded workloads, for developing new programs, or for correcting past deferrals or deficiencies.

Budget Reductions – The General Appropriations Act (Article IX, Section 10.20) contains a provision requiring budget reductions to implement e-Texas Issue AFM-3 as follows:

Component	Reductions
U. T. System Administration	\$ 68,610
U. T. Arlington	114,842
U. T. Austin	580,268
U. T. Brownsville	15,142
U. T. Dallas	104,530
U. T. El Paso	89,543
U. T. Pan American	69,677
U. T. Permian Basin	10,518
U. T. San Antonio	91,252
U. T. Tyler	11,467
U. T. SWMC Dallas	190,796
U. T. MB Galveston	862,217
U. T. HSC Houston	144,753
U. T. HSC San Antonio	151,034
U. T. MDA Cancer Center	707,333
U. T. HC Tyler	84,448

FY 2003 Operating Budget and
Legislative Appropriations Request Calendar

February 14, 2002	U. T. Board of Regents approves budget policies for FY 2003 Operating Budgets
April 3-16, 2002	Budget major goals/policy hearings with System Administration
May 1, 2002	Receive detailed instructions for Legislative Appropriations Request for the biennium beginning September 1, 2003
May 3, 2002	Draft copies of budgets, salary rosters, and supplemental data due to System Administration
May 6-17, 2002	Technical budget hearings with System Administration
May 31, 2002	Final copies of budgets, salary rosters, and supplemental data due to System Administration
July 12, 2002	Draft of Legislative Appropriations Request due to System Budget Office for technical review
July 23, 2002	Final copy of Legislative Appropriations Request due to System Budget Office for printing
July 23, 2002	Operating Budget Summaries mailed to U. T. Board of Regents
July 31, 2002 (estimated)	Legislative Appropriations Request due to Legislative Budget Board and Governor's Budget Office
August 7-8, 2002	U. T. Board of Regents approves Operating Budget

August 16, 2002

Approved budgets and salary rosters due to System Administration for copying and binding

August-September 2002

Joint Legislative Appropriations Request Budget Hearings

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The U. T. System FY 2003 Budget Preparation Policies track the regulations and directives included in the General Appropriations Act enacted by the 77th Texas Legislature.

7. U. T. System: Request to Approve an Amendment to the Aggregate Amount of Equipment Financing for Fiscal Year 2002 and to Approve the Use of Revenue Financing System Parity Debt, Receipt of Certificate, and Finding of Fact with Regard to Financial Capacity

RECOMMENDATION

The Chancellor concurs in the recommendation of the Executive Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs that the U. T. Board of Regents approve an amendment to the aggregate amount of equipment to be purchased in Fiscal Year 2002 under the Revenue Financing System Equipment Financing Program from \$21,715,000 to \$31,715,000, an increase of \$10,000,000 to be allocated to U. T. Medical Branch – Galveston.

The Chancellor also concurs in the recommendation of the Executive Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs that, in compliance with Section 5 of the Amended and Restated Master Resolution Establishing The University of Texas System Revenue Financing System (the "Master Resolution") adopted by the U. T. Board of Regents

on February 14, 1991, amended on October 8, 1993 and August 14, 1997, and upon delivery of the Certificate of an Authorized Representative as set out on Page 36, the U. T. Board of Regents resolves that:

- a. Parity Debt shall be issued to pay the cost of equipment including costs incurred prior to the issuance of such Parity Debt
- b. Sufficient funds will be available to meet the financial obligations of the U. T. System, including sufficient Pledged Revenues as defined in the Master Resolution to satisfy the Annual Debt Service Requirements of the Financing System, and to meet all financial obligations of the Board relating to the Financing System
- c. U. T. Medical Branch – Galveston, which is a “Member” as such term is used in the Master Resolution, possesses the financial capacity to satisfy its direct obligation as defined in the Master Resolution relating to the issuance by the U. T. Board of Regents of tax-exempt Parity Debt in the aggregate amount of \$10,000,000 for the purchase of equipment
- d. This resolution satisfies the official intent requirements set forth in Section 1.150-2 of the U. S. Treasury Regulations.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

At the August 9, 2001 meeting, the U. T. Board of Regents approved the use of debt under the Revenue Financing System Equipment Financing Program in the aggregate amount of \$21,715,000 for equipment purchases in Fiscal Year 2002 at U. T. Arlington, U. T. Austin, U. T. El Paso, U. T. Southwestern Medical Center - Dallas, U. T. M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, and U. T. System Administration.

Approval of this item would increase the aggregate amount approved for equipment financing by \$10,000,000 to \$31,715,000. The increase would be for U. T. Medical Branch - Galveston to finance medical equipment. With the issuance of all approved equipment financing debt, the debt service coverage for the U. T. System

is projected to range from 2.2 times to 2.7 times from FY 2002 to FY 2006. Further details on the equipment, the source of funds for financing, and the debt coverage ratio for U. T. Medical Branch - Galveston may be found in the table on Page 37.

PARITY DEBT CERTIFICATE OF U. T. SYSTEM REPRESENTATIVE

I, the undersigned Assistant Vice Chancellor for Finance of The University of Texas System, a U. T. System Representative under the Amended and Restated Master Resolution Establishing The University of Texas System Revenue Financing System (the "Master Resolution") adopted by the U. T. Board of Regents ("Board") on February 14, 1991, and amended on October 8, 1993 and August 14, 1997, do hereby execute this certificate for the benefit of the Board pursuant to Section 5(a)(ii) of the Master Resolution in connection with the authorization by the Board to issue "Parity Debt" to finance equipment cost at U. T. Medical Branch - Galveston, and do certify that to the best of my knowledge, the Board is in compliance with and not in default of any terms, provisions, and conditions in the Master Resolution, the First Supplemental Resolution Establishing the Revenue Financing System Commercial Paper Program ("First Supplemental"), the Second Supplemental Resolution, the Third Supplemental Resolution, the Fourth Supplemental Resolution, the Fifth Supplemental Resolution, the Sixth Supplemental Resolution, the Seventh Supplemental Resolution as amended, the Eighth Supplemental Resolution, the Ninth Supplemental Resolution as amended, and the Tenth Supplemental Resolution.

EXECUTED this 15th day of January, 2002

/s/ Philip Aldridge
Assistant Vice Chancellor for Finance

8. U. T. System: Recommendation to Amend the Regental Policy Regarding Jurisdiction of Commissioned Peace Officers

RECOMMENDATION

The Chancellor concurs in the recommendation of the Executive Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs and the Vice Chancellor and General Counsel that the U. T. System Regental Policy regarding jurisdiction of commissioned peace officers be amended as set forth below in congressional style:

POLICY ON JURISDICTION OF POLICE OFFICERS [STATEMENT]

- (a) Commissioned peace officers employed by The University of Texas System or any of its component[~~s~~] institutions shall confine their activities related to the performance of the duties of peace officers to property owned, leased, or otherwise under the control of the U. T. System or one of the component institutions of the U. T. System (restricted primary jurisdiction), with the following exceptions:
- (1) The Chief of Police of each component institution within the U. T. System and the Director of Police for the U. T. System may, on a case by case basis, authorize commissioned peace officers employed by or temporarily assigned to a component institution to function outside of the "restricted primary jurisdiction"^[*] established by this Policy as peace officers on University business or in conjunction with a University sponsored event so long as the peace officers remain within their area of primary jurisdiction (county) as established by [~~Section 51.203,~~] Texas Education Code Section 51.203. For the purposes of this Policy, the term "restricted primary jurisdiction" is interpreted to mean all land, streets, buildings, and facilities or other property owned, leased, or otherwise under the control of the U. T. System or one of the component institutions. It is provided, however, that the provisions of the Hot Pursuit Policy (U. T. System Police Policy and Procedure Manual No. II-86-14, as amended) promulgated by the Director of Police for the U. T. System are not affected by this Policy.

- (2) The Director of Police for the U. T. System may authorize commissioned peace officers of the U. T. System to function as peace officers outside their primary jurisdiction (county) to assist another law enforcement agency in Texas, or to otherwise perform duties as a peace officer on official University business.
- (3) Each component institution's Chief of Police, if summoned by another law enforcement agency, is authorized to send commissioned peace officers to assist that agency as long as the officers remain within their primary jurisdiction (county).
- (b) The president of each component institution within the U. T. System shall be responsible for providing to the Director of Police for the U. T. System, for review and approval as to police jurisdiction, a map(s) showing all property owned, leased, or otherwise controlled by a component institution or U. T. System which is under the police control of a component institution. The Director of Police must approve, in writing, any extension of police jurisdiction that would obligate the University police to patrol property not owned or controlled by the University, including any city streets that border or cross the campus or any privately-owned buildings or structures located on the campus as reflected on the map submitted by the component institution. It is the continuing responsibility of the president or other administrative official to whom this responsibility is delegated to ensure that the maps on file with the Office of the Director of Police are kept current. The maps shall also denote thereon all land areas, streets, buildings, and facilities located contiguous to property owned, leased, or otherwise controlled by the component institution that are not owned, leased, or controlled by the component institution or the U. T. System. The Executive Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs or his or her delegate shall be responsible for fulfilling the requirements of this section for property owned, leased, or otherwise controlled by the U. T. System Administration.
- (c) The Director of Police for the U. T. System shall furnish copies of this Policy and the approved maps to the Chief of Police of ~~[for]~~ each component institution ~~[copies of this Policy and the approved maps]. [The component institution]~~ Each Chief[s] of Police shall distribute copies of this Policy and a map(s) delineating the component police department's restricted primary jurisdiction to the commissioned peace officers of that component ~~[under their control]~~.

- (d) Commissioned peace officers of the U. T. System or the component institutions shall, if time and circumstances permit, notify and request assistance from the police agency having primary jurisdiction when arrests are anticipated or warrants are to be served outside of the University police officers' restricted primary jurisdiction, as defined by this Policy.

[
*For the purposes of this Policy, the term "restricted primary jurisdiction" is interpreted to mean all land, streets, buildings, and facilities or other property owned, leased, or otherwise under the control of the U. T. System or one of the component institutions.]

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The recommended revisions will amend the U. T. System Regental Policy covering jurisdiction of commissioned peace officers adopted by the U. T. Board of Regents in August 1987, amended by the Board in June 1994, and editorially amended in September 2000 to renumber items and to change the "chief administrative officer" title to "president."

The addition of item (a)(3) authorizes the Chief of Police at each U. T. System component institution to send commissioned peace officers to assist another law enforcement agency as long as the officers remain within their primary jurisdiction.

The proposed amendment under item (b) will clarify that the U. T. System Director of Police must approve, in writing, any extension of police jurisdiction reflected on the map submitted by the component institution showing the property which is under the police control of that campus if the extension would obligate the University police to patrol property not owned or controlled by the University.

The addition of item (d) will conform the Policy to current practice that U. T. System commissioned officers will, if time and circumstances permit, notify and request assistance from the police agency having primary jurisdiction when arrests are anticipated or warrants are to be served outside the restricted primary jurisdiction of the University police.

The proposed amendments have been reviewed by the U. T. System Office of General Counsel.

INFORMATIONAL REPORTS

1. U. T. System: Discussion of the December 2001 Monthly Financial Report

REPORT

Mr. Kerry L. Kennedy, Executive Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs, will discuss the December 2001 Monthly Financial Report for the U. T. System, which was bound separately and sent to the Board with the Agenda Book.

2. U. T. System: Annual Presentation of Key Statistical Report

REPORT

Mr. Kerry L. Kennedy, Executive Vice Chancellor for Business Affairs, will report to the U. T. Board of Regents on the information contained in the updated U. T. System "Key Statistical Report" (formerly known as the "Reporting Package for the Board of Regents"). Information provided in the reference report includes financial, investment, and research data for the U. T. System institutions covering a five-year period ending August 31, 2001. The report also includes faculty, employee, and student demographics extending from the Fall 1997 Semester through the Fall 2001 Semester.

The Report will be mailed to members of the Board following the Board meeting. It will also be available on the World Wide Web at www.utsystem.edu/mis.